

NIST SP800-218A Secure Software Development Practices for Generative AI and Dual-Use Foundation Models



Purpose

Augment the practices and tasks defined in SSDF (NIST SP 800-218)
 Version 1.1 by adding recommendations, considerations, notes, and informative references that are specific to generative AI and dual-use foundation model development

Scope

- AI model development, which includes data sourcing for, designing, training, fine-tuning, and evaluating AI models, as well as incorporating and integrating AI models into other software
- Consistent with SSDF version 1.1 and EO 14110, practices for the deployment and operation of AI systems with AI models are out of scope
- Similarly, while cybersecurity practices for training data and other forms of data being used for AI model development are in scope, the rest of the data governance and management life cycle is out of scope.



Sources of Expertise

- NIST research and publications on trustworthy and responsible AI, including the Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework (AI RMF 1.0)
- NIST's Secure Software Development Framework (SSDF) Version 1.1
- NIST general cybersecurity resources, including The NIST Cybersecurity Framework (CSF) 2.0
- AI model developers, AI researchers, AI system developers, and secure software practitioners from industry and government with expertise in the unique security challenges of AI models and the practices for addressing those challenges



AI Uses of SSDF Community Profiles

- AI model producers, AI system producers, AI system acquirers, and others can use the SSDF to foster their communications regarding secure AI model development throughout the software development life cycle
- Following SSDF practices should help AI model producers reduce the number of vulnerabilities in their AI models, reduce the potential impacts of the exploitation of undetected or unaddressed vulnerabilities, and address the root causes of vulnerabilities to prevent recurrences
- AI system producers can use the SSDF's common vocabulary when communicating with AI model producers regarding their security practices for AI model development and when integrating AI models into the software they are developing.
- AI system acquirers can also use SSDF terms to better communicate their cybersecurity requirements and needs to AI model producers and AI system producers, such as during acquisition processes



AI Uses of SSDP Community Profiles

- AI model producers, AI system producers, AI system acquirers, and others can use the SSDF to foster their communications regarding secure AI model development throughout the software development life cycle
- Following SSDF practices should help AI model producers reduce the number of vulnerabilities in their AI models, reduce the potential impacts of the exploitation of undetected or unaddressed vulnerabilities, and address the root causes of vulnerabilities to prevent recurrences
- AI system producers can use the SSDF's common vocabulary when communicating with AI model producers regarding their security practices for AI model development and when integrating AI models into the software they are developing.
- AI system acquirers can also use SSDF terms to better communicate their cybersecurity requirements and needs to AI model producers and AI system producers, such as during acquisition processes



Recommended practices are based on established secure software development practice documents

- Practices are organized into four groups:
 - **Prepare the Organization (PO):** Organizations should ensure that their people, processes, and technology are prepared to perform secure software development at the organization level.
 - Protect the Software (PS): Organizations should protect all components of their software from tampering and unauthorized access.
 - Produce Well-Secured Software (PW): Organizations should produce well-secured software with minimal security vulnerabilities in its releases.
 - Respond to Vulnerabilities (RV): Organizations should identify residual vulnerabilities in their software releases and respond appropriately to address those vulnerabilities and prevent similar ones from occurring in the future.



- Each practice definition includes the following elements:
 - **Practice:** The name of the practice and a unique identifier, followed by a brief explanation of what the practice is and why it is beneficial
 - Tasks: One or more actions that may be needed to perform a practice
 - Priority: Reflects the suggested relative importance of each task within the context of the profile and is intended to be a starting point for organizations to assign their own priorities
 - Recommendations (R), Considerations (C), and Notes (N) Specific to AI Model Development: May contain one or more items that recommend what to do or describe additional considerations for a particular task. Organizations are expected to adapt, customize, and omit items as necessary as part of the risk-based approach described in Section 2



Prepare the Organization (PO) Practices:

- Define Security Requirements for Software Development (PO.1): Ensure that security requirements for software development are known at all times so that they can be taken into account throughout the software development life cycle (SDLC) and duplication of effort can be minimized because the requirements information can be collected once and shared. This includes requirements from internal sources (e.g., the organization's policies, business objectives, and risk management strategy) and external sources (e.g., applicable laws and regulations)
- Implement Roles and Responsibilities (PO.2): Ensure that everyone inside and outside of the organization involved in the SDLC is prepared to perform their SDLC-related roles and responsibilities throughout the SDLC



Prepare the Organization (PO) Practices:

- Implement Supporting Toolchains (PO.3): Use automation to reduce human effort and improve the accuracy, reproducibility, usability, and comprehensiveness of security practices throughout the SDLC, as well as provide a way to document and demonstrate the use of these practices. Toolchains and tools may be used at different levels of the organization, such as organization-wide or project-specific, and may address a particular part of the SDLC, like a build pipeline
- Define and Use Criteria for Software Security Checks (PO.4): Help ensure that the software resulting from the SDLC meets the organization's expectations by defining and using criteria for checking the software's security during development
- Implement and Maintain Secure Environments for Software Development (PO.5): Ensure that all components of the environments for software development are strongly protected from internal and external threats to prevent compromises of the environments or the software being developed or maintained within them. Examples of environments for software development include development, AI model training, build, test, and distribution environments. [Modified from SSDF 1.1



Protect Software (PS) Practices:

- Protect All Forms of Code from Unauthorized Access and Tampering (PS.1): Help prevent unauthorized changes to code, both inadvertent and intentional, which could circumvent or negate the intended security characteristics of the software. For code that is not intended to be publicly accessible, this helps prevent theft of the software and may make it more difficult or time-consuming for attackers to find vulnerabilities in the software
- Provide a Mechanism for Verifying Software Release Integrity
 (PS.2): Help software acquirers ensure that the software they acquire is legitimate and has not been tampered with
- Archive and Protect Each Software Release (PS.3): Preserve software releases in order to help identify, analyze, and eliminate vulnerabilities discovered in the software after release



Produce Well-Secured Software (PW) Practices:

- Design Software to Meet Security Requirements and Mitigate Security Risks (PW.1): Identify and evaluate the security requirements for the software; determine what security risks the software is likely to face during operation and how the software's design and architecture should mitigate those risks; and justify any cases where risk-based analysis indicates that security requirements should be relaxed or waived. Addressing security requirements and risks during software design (secure by design) is key for improving software security and also helps improve development efficiency
- Review the Software Design to Verify Compliance with Security Requirements and Risk Information (PW.2): Help ensure that the software will meet the security requirements and satisfactorily address the identified risk information
- Confirm the Integrity of Training, Testing, Fine-Tuning, and Aligning Data Before Use (PW.3): Prevent data that is likely to negatively impact the cybersecurity of the AI being consumed as part of AI model training, testing, fine-tuning, and aligning. [Not part of SSDF 1.1]



Produce Well-Secured Software (PW) Practices:

- Reuse Existing, Well-Secured Software When Feasible Instead of Duplicating Functionality (PW.4): Lower the costs of software development, expedite software development, and decrease the likelihood of introducing additional security vulnerabilities into the software by reusing software modules and services that have already had their security posture checked. This is particularly important for software that implements security functionality, such as cryptographic modules and protocols
- Create Source Code by Adhering to Secure Coding Practices
 (PW.5): Decrease the number of security vulnerabilities in the
 software, and reduce costs by minimizing vulnerabilities introduced
 during source code creation that meet or exceed organization-defined
 vulnerability severity criteria
- Configure the Compilation, Interpreter, and Build Processes to Improve Executable Security (PW.6): Decrease the number of security vulnerabilities in the software and reduce costs by eliminating vulnerabilities before testing occurs



Produce Well-Secured Software (PW) Practices:

- Review and/or Analyze Human-Readable Code to Identify
 Vulnerabilities and Verify Compliance with Security Requirements
 (PW.7): Help identify vulnerabilities so that they can be corrected before
 the software is released to prevent exploitation. Using automated methods
 lowers the effort and resources needed to detect vulnerabilities. Humanreadable code includes source code, scripts, and any other form of code
 that an organization deems human readable
- Test Executable Code to Identify Vulnerabilities and Verify
 Compliance with Security Requirements (PW.8): Help identify
 vulnerabilities so that they can be corrected before the software is released
 in order to prevent exploitation. Using automated methods lowers the effort
 and resources needed to detect vulnerabilities and improves traceability and
 repeatability. Executable code includes binaries, directly executed by the
 code and source code, and any other form of code that an organization
 deems executable
- Configure Software to Have Secure Settings by Default (PW.9): Help improve the security of the software at the time of installation to reduce the likelihood of the software being deployed with weak security settings, putting it at greater risk of compromise



Respond to Vulnerabilities (RV) Practices:

- Identify and Confirm Vulnerabilities on an Ongoing Basis
 (RV.1): Help ensure that vulnerabilities are identified more quickly so
 that they can be remediated more quickly in accordance with risk,
 reducing the window of opportunity for attackers
- Assess, Prioritize, and Remediate Vulnerabilities (RV.2): Help ensure that vulnerabilities are remediated in accordance with risk to reduce the window of opportunity for attackers
- Analyze Vulnerabilities to Identify Their Root Causes (RV.3):
 Help reduce the frequency of vulnerabilities in the future



TASKS

NIST SP800-218 Secure Software Development Framework (SSDF)



Prepare the Organization (PO):

 Define Security Requirements for Software Development (PO.1):

(1011)	
Task	AI-Specific Items
PO.1.1 : Identify and document all security requirements for the organization's software development infrastructures and processes, and maintain the requirements over time	 R1: Include AI model development in the security requirements for software development infrastructure and processes. R2: Identify and select appropriate AI model architectures and training techniques in accordance with recommended practices for cybersecurity, privacy, and reproducibility.
PO.1.2 : Identify and document all security requirements for organization-developed software to meet, and maintain the requirements over time	R1: Organizational policies should support all current requirements specific to AI model development security for organization-developed software. These requirements should include the areas of AI model development, AI model operations, and data science. Requirements may come from many sources, including laws, regulations, contracts, and standards. C1: Consider reusing or expanding the organization's existing data classification policy and processes. N1: Possible forms of AI model documentation include data, model, and system cards
PO.1.3 : Communicate requirements to all third parties who will provide commercial software components to the organization for reuse by the organization's own software	R1: Include AI model development security in the requirements being communicated for third-party software components

NIST SP800-218 Secure Software Development Framework (SSDF)



Prepare the Organization (PO):

Implement Roles and Responsibilities (PO.2):

Tilibiellielir voles alia vesholi	Sibilities (PO.2).
Task	AI-Specific Items
PO.2.1 : Create new roles and alter responsibilities for existing roles as needed to encompass all parts of the SDLC. Periodically review and maintain the defined roles and responsibilities, updating them as needed	·
PO.2.2 : Provide role-based training for all personnel with responsibilities that contribute secure development. Periodically review personnel proficiency and role-based training and update the training as needed	threats to AI models and their possible
PO.2.3 : Obtain upper management or authorizing official commitment to secure development, and convey that commitment to all with development related roles and responsibilities	R1: Leadership should commit to secure development practices involving AI models.



Prepare the Organization (PO):

• Implement Supporting Toolchains (PO.3):

Task	AI-Specific Items
PO.3.1 : Specify which tools or tool types must or should be included in each toolchain to mitigate identified risks, as well as how the toolchain components are to be integrated with each other	R1: Plan to develop and implement automated toolchains that secure AI model development and reduce human effort, especially at the scale often used by AI models. N1: Ideally, automated toolchains will perform the vast majority of the work related to securing AI model development. N2: See PO.4, PO.5, PS, and PW for information on tool types
PO.3.2 : Follow recommended security practices to deploy, operate, and maintain tools and toolchains	R1: Execute the plan to develop and implement automated toolchains that secure AI model development and reduce human effort, especially at the scale often used by AI models. R2: Verify the security of toolchains at a frequency commensurate with risk
PO.3.3 : Configure tools to generate artifacts of their support of secure software development practices as defined by the organization	N1: An artifact is "a piece of evidence" [16]. Evidence is "grounds for belief or disbelief; data on which to base proof or to establish truth or falsehood" [17]. Artifacts provide records of secure software development practices. Examples of artifacts specific to AI model development include attestations of the integrity and provenance of training datasets

NIST SP800-218 Secure Software Development Framework (SSDF)



Prepare the Organization (PO):

Define and Use Criteria for Software Security Checks (PO.4):

Task	AI-Specific Items
PO.4.1 : Define criteria for software security checks and track throughout the SDLC	R1: Implement guardrails and other controls throughout the AI development life cycle, extending beyond the traditional SDLC. C1: Consider requiring review and approval from a human-in-the-loop for software security checks beyond risk-based thresholds.
PO.4.2 : Implement processes, mechanisms, etc. to gather and safeguard the necessary information in support of the criteria	None



Prepare the Organization (PO):

 Implement and Maintain Secure Environments for Software Development (PO.5):

Task	AI-Specific Items
PO.5.1: Separate and protect each environment involved in software development	R1: Monitor, track, and limit resource usage and rates for AI model users during model development. R2: Only store sensitive data used during AI model development, including production data, within organization-approved environments and locations within those environments. R3: Protect all training pipelines, model registries, and other components within the environments according to the principle of least privilege C1: Consider separating execution environments from each other to the extent feasible, such as through isolation, segmentation, containment, access via APIs, or other means.
PO.5.2 : Secure and harden development endpoints (i.e., endpoints for software designers, developers, testers, builders, etc.) to perform development-related tasks using a risk-based approach	None



Prepare the Organization (PO):

 Implement and Maintain Secure Environments for Software Development (PO.5):

Task	AI-Specific Items
PO.5.3: Continuously monitor software execution performance and behavior in software development environments to identify potential suspicious activity and other issues. [Not part of SSDF 1.1]	 R4: Continuously monitor training-related activity in pipelines and model modifications in the model registry. R5: Follow recommended practices for securely configuring each environment. R6: Continuously monitor each environment for plaintext secrets



Protect Software (PS):

 Protect All Forms of Code and Data from Unauthorized Access and Tampering (PS.1):

Task	AI-Specific Items
PS.1.1 : Store all forms of code – including source code, executable code, and configuration-as-code – based on the principle of least privilege so that only authorized personnel, tools, services, etc. have access	R1: Secure code storage should include AI models, model weights, pipelines, reward models, and any other AI model elements that need their confidentiality, integrity, and/or availability protected. These elements do not all have to be stored in the same place or through the same type of mechanism. R2: Follow the principle of least privilege to minimize direct access to AI models and model elements regardless of where they are stored or executed. R3: Store reward models separately from AI models and data
PS.1.2: Protect all training, testing, finetuning, and aligning data from unauthorized access and modification. [Not part of SSDF 1.1]	 R1: Continuously monitor the confidentiality (for non-public data only) and integrity of training, testing, fine-tuning, and aligning data. C1: Consider securely storing training, testing, fine-tuning, and aligning data for future use and reference if feasible.



Protect Software (PS):

 Protect All Forms of Code and Data from Unauthorized Access and Tampering (PS.1):

Task	AI-Specific Items
PS.1.3: Protect all model weights and configuration parameter data from unauthorized access and modification. [Not part of SSDF 1.1]	R1: Keep model weights and configuration parameters separate from training, testing, finetuning, and aligning data. R2: Continuously monitor the confidentiality (for closed models only) and integrity of model weights and configuration parameters. R3: Follow the principle of least privilege to restrict access to AI model weights, configuration parameters, and services during development. R4: Specify and implement additional risk-proportionate cybersecurity practices around model weights, such as encryption, cryptographic hashes, digital signatures, multiparty authorization, and airgapped environments



Protect Software (PS):

- Provide a Mechanism for Verifying Software Release Integrity (PS.2):
 - **PS.2.1**: Make software integrity verification information available to software acquirers

Task	AI-Specific Items
PS.2.1 : Make software integrity verification information available to software acquirers	R1: Generate and provide cryptographic hashes or digital signatures for an AI model and its components, artifacts, and documentation. R2: Provide digital signatures for AI model changes



Protect Software (PS):

Archive and Protect Each Software Release (PS.3):	
Task	AI-Specific Items
PS.3.1 : Securely archive the necessary files and supporting data (e.g., integrity verification information, provenance data) to be retained for each software release	R1: Perform versioning and tracking for infrastructure tools (e.g., pre-processing, transforms, collection) that support dataset creation and model training. R2: Include documentation of the justification for AI model selection in the retained information. R3: Include documentation of the entire training process, such as data preprocessing and model architecture. N1: AI models and their components may need to be added at this time to an organization's asset inventories
PS.3.2: Collect, safeguard, maintain, and share provenance data for all components of each software release (e.g., in a software bill of materials [SBOM])	R1: Track the provenance of an AI model and its components and derivatives, including the training libraries, frameworks, and pipelines used to build the model. R2: Track AI models that were trained on sensitive data (e.g., payment card data, protected health information, other types of personally identifiable information), and determine if access to the models should be restricted to individuals who already have access to the sensitive data used for training. C1: Consider disclosing the provenance of the training, testing, fine-tuning, and aligning data used for an AI model



Produce Well-Secured Software (PW):

• Design Software to Meet Security Requirements and Mitigate Security Risks (PW.1):

Task	AI-Specific Items
PW.1.1: Use forms of risk modeling – such as threat modeling, attack modeling, or attack surface mapping – to help assess the security risk for the software	R1: Incorporate relevant AI model-specific vulnerability and threat types in risk modeling. Examples of these vulnerability and threat types include poisoning of training data, malicious code or other unwanted content in inputs and outputs, denial-of-service conditions arising from adversarial prompts, supply chain attacks, unauthorized information disclosure, theft of AI model weights, and misconfiguration of data pipelines. C1: Consider periodic risk modeling updates for future AI model versions and derivatives after AI model release. C2: During risk modeling, consider checking that the AI model is not in a critical path to make significant security decisions without a human in the loop
PW.1.2 : Track and maintain the software's security requirements, risks, and design decisions	None



Produce Well-Secured Software (PW):

• Design Software to Meet Security Requirements and Mitigate Security Risks (PW.1):

Task	AI-Specific Items
PW.1.3 : Where appropriate, build in support for using standardized security features and services (e.g., enabling software to integrate with existing log management, identity management, access control, and vulnerability management systems) instead of creating proprietary implementations of security features and services	None



Produce Well-Secured Software (PW):

 Review the Software Design to Verify Compliance with Security Requirements and Risk Information (PW.2):

Task	AI-Specific Items
PW.2.1 : Have 1) a qualified person (or people) who were not involved with the design and/or 2) automated processes instantiated in the toolchain review the software design to confirm and enforce that it meets all of the security requirements and satisfactorily addresses the identified risk information	None



Produce Well-Secured Software (PW):

 Confirm the Integrity of Training, Testing, Fine-Tuning, and Aligning Data Before Use (PW.3):

Task	AI-Specific Items
PW.3.1: Analyze data for signs of data poisoning, bias, homogeneity, and tampering before using it for AI model training, testing, fine-tuning, or aligning purposes, and mitigate the risks as necessary. [Not part of SSDF 1.1]	 R1: Verify the provenance (when known) and integrity of training, testing, fine-tuning, and aligning data before use R2: Select and apply appropriate methods for analyzing and altering the training, testing, finetuning, and aligning data for an AI model. Examples of methods include anomaly detection, bias detection, data cleaning, data curation, data filtering, data sanitization, factchecking, and noise reduction. C1: Consider using a human-in-the-loop to examine data, such as with exploratory data analysis techniques
PW.3.2: Track the provenance, when known, of all training, testing, fine-tuning, and aligning data used for an AI model, and document which data do not have known provenance. [Not part of SSDF 1.1]	N1: Provenance verification is not possible in all cases because provenance is not always known. However, it is still beneficial for security purposes to track and verify provenance whenever possible, and to track when provenance is unknown



Produce Well-Secured Software (PW):

 Confirm the Integrity of Training, Testing, Fine-Tuning, and Aligning Data Before Use (PW.3):

Task	AI-Specific Items
PW.3.3: Include adversarial samples in the training and testing data to improve attack prevention. [Not part of SSDF 1.1]	R1: Use a process and corresponding controls to test the adversarial samples and put appropriate guardrails on training and testing use.



Produce Well-Secured Software (PW):

 Reuse Existing, Well-Secured Software When Feasible Instead of Duplicating Functionality (PW.4):

Task	AI-Specific Topics
PW.4.1 : Acquire and maintain well-secured software components (e.g., software libraries, modules, middleware, frameworks) from commercial, open source, and other third-party developers for use by the organization's software	R1: Incorporate relevant AI model-specific vulnerability and threat types in risk modeling. Examples of these vulnerability and threat types include poisoning of training data, malicious code or other unwanted content in inputs and outputs, denial-of-service conditions arising from adversarial prompts, supply chain attacks, unauthorized information disclosure, theft of AI model weights, and misconfiguration of data pipelines. C1: Consider periodic risk modeling updates for future AI model versions and derivatives after AI model release. C2: During risk modeling, consider checking that the AI model is not in a critical path to make significant security decisions without a human in the loop
PW.4.2 : Create and maintain well-secured software components in-house following SDLC processes to meet common internal software development needs that cannot be better met by third-party software components	None



Produce Well-Secured Software (PW):

 Reuse Existing, Well-Secured Software When Feasible Instead of Duplicating Functionality (PW.4):

Task	AI-Specific Items
PW.4.4 : Verify that acquired commercial, open-source, and all other third-party software components comply with the requirements, as defined by the organization, throughout their life cycles	R1: Verify the integrity, provenance, and security of an existing AI model or any other acquired AI components — including training, testing, fine-tuning, and aligning datasets; reward models; adaptation layers; and configuration parameters — before using them. R2: Scan and thoroughly test acquired AI models and their components for vulnerabilities and malicious content before use



Produce Well-Secured Software (PW):

 Create Source Code by Adhering to Secure Coding Practices (PW.5):

Task	AI-Specific Items
PW.5.1 : Follow all secure coding practices that are appropriate to the development languages and environment to meet the organization's requirements	R1: Expand secure coding practices to include AI technology-specific considerations. R2: Code the handling of inputs (including prompts and user data) and outputs carefully. All inputs and outputs should be logged, analyzed, and validated within the context of the AI model, and those with issues should be sanitized or dropped. R3: Encode inputs and outputs to prevent the execution of unauthorized code



Produce Well-Secured Software (PW):

• Configure the Compilation, Interpreter, and Build Processes to Improve Executable Security (PW.6):

Task	AI-Specific Items
PW.6.1 : Use compiler, interpreter, and build tools that offer features to improve executable security	C1: Consider using secure model serialization mechanisms that reduce or eliminate vectors for the introduction of malicious content.
PW.6.2 : Determine which compiler, interpreter, and build tool features should be used and how each should be configured, then implement and use the approved configurations	C1: Consider capturing compiler, interpreter, and build tool versions and features as part of the provenance tracking.



Produce Well-Secured Software (PW):

 Review and/or Analyze Human-Readable Code to Identify Vulnerabilities and Verify Compliance with Security Requirements (PW.7):

Task	AI-Specific Items
PW.7.1 : Determine whether code <i>review</i> (a person looks directly at the code to find issues) and/or code <i>analysis</i> (tools are used to find issues in code, either in a fully automated way or in conjunction with a person) should be used, as defined by the organization	R1: Code review and analysis policies or guidelines should include code for AI models and other related components.C1: Consider performing scans of AI model code in addition to testing the AI models
PW.7.2 : Perform the code review and/or code analysis based on the organization's secure coding standards, and record and triage all discovered issues and recommended remediations in the development team's workflow or issue tracking system	R1: Scan all AI models for malware, vulnerabilities, backdoors, and other security issues in accordance with the organization's code review and analysis policies or guidelines.



Produce Well-Secured Software (PW):

• Test Executable Code to Identify Vulnerabilities and Verify Compliance with Security Requirements (PW.8):

Task	AI-Specific Items
PW.8.1 : Determine whether executable code testing should be performed to find vulnerabilities not identified by previous reviews, analysis, or testing and, if so, which types of testing should be used	 R1: Include AI models in code testing policies and guidelines. Several forms of code testing can be used for AI models, including unit testing, integration testing, penetration testing, red teaming, use case testing, and adversarial testing. C1: Consider automating tests within a development pipeline as part of regression testing where possible
PW.8.2 : Scope the testing, design the tests, perform the testing, and document the results, including recording and triaging all discovered issues and recommended remediations in the development team's workflow or issue tracking system	R1: Test all AI models for vulnerabilities in accordance with the organization's code testing policies or guidelines. R2: Retest AI models when they are retrained or new data sources are added.



Produce Well-Secured Software (PW):

Configure Software to Have Secure Settings by Default (PW.9):

Task	AI-Specific Items
PW.9.1 : Define a secure baseline by determining how to configure each setting that has an effect on security or a security-related setting so that the default settings are secure and do not weaken the security functions provided by the platform, network infrastructure, or services	None
PW.9.2 : Implement the default settings (or groups of default settings, if applicable), and document each setting for software administrators	N1: Documenting settings can be performed earlier in the process, such as when defining a secure baseline (see PW.9.1)



Respond to Vulnerabilities (RV):

 Identify and Confirm Vulnerabilities on an Ongoing Basis (RV.1):

Task	AI-Specific Items
RV.1.1: Gather information from software acquirers, users, and public sources on potential vulnerabilities in the software and third-party components that the software uses, and investigate all credible reports	R1: Log, monitor, and analyze all inputs and outputs for AI models to detect possible security and performance issues (see PO.5.3) R2: Make the users of AI models aware of mechanisms for reporting potential security and performance issues. R3: Monitor vulnerability and incident databases for information on AI-related concerns, including the machine learning frameworks and libraries used to build AI models N1: In this context, "users" refers to AI system producers and acquirers who are using an AI model.
RV.1.2 : Review, analyze, and/or test the software's code to identify or confirm the presence of previously undetected vulnerabilities	R1: Scan and test AI models frequently to identify previously undetected vulnerabilities. R2: Rely mainly on automation for ongoing scanning and testing, and involve a human-in-the-loop as needed. R3: Conduct periodic audits of AI models.



Respond to Vulnerabilities (RV):

 Identify and Confirm Vulnerabilities on an Ongoing Basis (RV.1):

Task	AI-Specific Items
RV.1.3 : Have a policy that addresses vulnerability disclosure and remediation, and implement the roles, responsibilities, and processes needed to support that policy	R1: Include AI model vulnerabilities in organization vulnerability disclosure and remediation policies. R2: Make users of AI models aware of their inherent limitations and how to report any cybersecurity problems that they encounter



Respond to Vulnerabilities (RV):

Assess, Prioritize, and Remediate Vulnerabilities (RV.2):

Task	AI-Specific Items
RV.2.1: Analyze each vulnerability to gather sufficient information about risk to plan its remediation or other risk response	N1: This may include deep analysis of generative AI and dual-use foundation model input and output to detect deviations from normal behavior
RV.2.2: Plan and implement risk responses for vulnerabilities.	R1: Risk responses for AI models should consider the time and expenses that may be associated with rebuilding them



Respond to Vulnerabilities (RV):

Analyze Vulnerabilities to Identify Their Root Causes (RV.3):

Task	AI-Specific Items
RV.3.1 : Analyze identified vulnerabilities to determine their root causes	N1: The ability to review training, testing, finetuning, and aligning data after the fact can help identify some root causes
RV.3.2 : Analyze the root causes over time to identify patterns, such as a particular secure coding practice not being followed consistently	None
RV.3.3 : Review the software for similar vulnerabilities to eradicate a class of vulnerabilities, and proactively fix them rather than waiting for external reports	None
RV.3.4 : Review the SDLC process, and update it if appropriate to prevent (or reduce the likelihood of) the root cause recurring in updates to the software or in new software that is created	None