

PWG WIMS Conference Call Minutes November 4, 2010

Bill Wagner. Chair PWG WIMS/PMP

1 Attendees

Andrew Mitchell	HP
Bill Wagner	TIC
Glen Petrie	Epson
Ira McDonald	High North (Samsung)
Jerry Thrasher	Lexmark
Joe Murdock	Sharp
Makoto "Mac" Matsuda	Brother
Nancy Chen,	Oki Data
Peter Zehler	Xerox

2 General

- Meeting was convened at 1 PM EDT, November 4 2010 and ended about 1:58 PM EDT
- Bill Wagner agreed to take minutes
- Notice was made that the meeting was held in accord with the PWG Intellectual Property Policy. There were no objections.
- Minutes of the WIMS October Face-to-face(<ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/wims/minutes/wims-f2f-minutes-20101019.pdf>) were accepted

3 Action Items Review

- CIM efforts (ongoing): No activity
- Bill/Ira to write draft PM article and survey for MPSA: Ira agreed to have preliminary draft posted for 18 November Concall.
- Add MFD Alerts to PWG MIB Alert Set: Ira, open

4 MPSA Liaison Articles and Surveys Results

- Joe Murdock will send November article to MPSA on 4 November
- Reviewed results of first survey (<ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/general/MPSA/PWG%20October%20Survey%20Results.pdf>)
 - Although response was limited (16), there was general agreement that
 - PWG articles was considered useful
 - Security issues are very important
 - Open, implemented and advertised implementation of Standards are important and figure into product purchase
 - Management using Web Services was desired
 - Despite suspicions based on the counts that those surveyed answered consistently yes of now, the individual responses list shows a fairly good mix.

5 Review of Power Management Model Specifications PWG Last Call responses

- Documents being reviewed are:
 - <ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/wims/wd/wd-wimspower10-20100926.pdf>
 - <ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/wims/wd/wd-wimspowermib10-20100926.pdf>
 - <ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/wims/wd/wd-wimspowermib10-20100926.mib>
- Only three responses had been received so far; for documents to advance to balloting, eight responses are necessary.

- Comments from Lexmark were primarily editorial (and accepted), but one comment related to the ability to request “Transitional Power states”. Comments from High North/Samsung also related to the term “Transitional”, suggesting that that be changed to “Interrupt” Power states.
 - Ira responded that such states were most certainly requested. He also indicated that he had meant “Transitory” instead of “Transitional”.
 - This appears a question of wording because although the state change requests may include the name of a “Transitional” power state, the request is in fact requesting that the device go to the stable state that that Transitional state causes the device to transition to. However, Ira objected to the notion that these were states that the device transitioned through in going to a stable state
 - Ira also maintained that these states were named and defined by DMTF and that transition to the stable states was instantaneous
 - Bill objected to the term “Interrupt states”, and objected that the transitions from one stable power state to another are not instantaneous, although the device may well not report the transitional or transitory power state.
 - It was concluded that what these states were and how they were to be named was unclear. This is an area that needs rework to be quite clear.
- Other High North/Samsung comments had been previously discussed at the face-to-face meeting.
- The Last Call period remains open pending sufficient response. More comments are anticipated and must be discussed and resolved. This is intended for the next WIMS concal, nominally on 18 November.

6 Next Steps – Action Items

- Action Items:
 - CIM efforts (ongoing)
 - Ira to post Power Management article and survey questions for MPSA
 - More responses to Power Management specifications to be solicited (Ira)
 - Add MFD Alerts to PWG MIB Alert Set:
 - Next Conference Call is at 1:00 PM EDT on 18 November, unless sufficient last call comments come in before 11 November to allow Last Call Comments resolution