# PWG WIMS Conference Call Minutes September 9, 2010

Bill Wagner. Chair PWG WIMS/PMP

#### 1 Attendees

Jim Fitzpatrick MPSA

Ira McDonald High North (Samsung)

Joe Murdock Sharp
Glen Petrie Epson
Bill Wagner TIC
Peter Zehler Xerox

### 2 General

- Meeting was convened at 1 PM EDT, September 9, 2010 and ended about 1:50 PM EDT
- Bill Wagner agreed to take minutes
- Notice was made that the meeting was held in accord with the PWG Intellectual Property Policy. There were no objections.
- Minutes of the 26 August meeting (<u>ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/wims/minutes/wims\_100826.pdf</u>) were accepted

#### 3 Action Items Review

- > CIM efforts (ongoing): No activity
- > Generate background article about Power Management Model for MPSA: open
- ➤ Bill/Ira to write draft PM article and survey for MPSA: open
- > MPSA article schedule to be reviewed and sent to Jim Fitzpatrick for comments: done
- ➤ Ira to report on phase 2 Phase prototyping of Support, Transition, and Timeout by September 2010: schedule slipping at least two weeks
- ➤ Ira to make clarifications/additions to PWG Imaging System Power Management Model draft by September 2010; Stable draft into PWG Last Call by October 2010: schedule slipping to accommodate including phase 2 prototyping results. PWG last call now likely to conclude during December face-to-face.
- ➤ Ira to make clarifications/additions to PWG Imaging System Power MIB Prototype draft by September 2010; Stable draft into PWG Last Call by October 2010: slipping as per item above.

## 4 MPSA Liaison Articles and Surveys

- The article outline schedule and presentation was discussed. It was agreed that:
  - Each article would contain a link to a survey, with survey questions addressing:
    - Interest in the Article subject
    - Questions About information in the article
    - o Areas where more information was desired
    - Effectiveness of the article presentation
    - Specific questions related to perception/experience related to some aspect of the article subject
    - Where submitter agrees to a PWG contact should we wish to pursue some subject.
  - The MPSA survey mechanism would be used (to preserve MPSA member anonymity, if desired)
  - Articles should be shorter, perhaps generally in line with a subtopic (lettered entry in the outline) unless the subject can be condensed, Fir example, the PWG introduction probably could be one article
  - Articles would be scheduled for one a month, allowing a month for survey responses and analysis of responses.

- The first article would be the PWG intro, prepared in time for early October publication, reduced in scope but also introducing the article series and soliciting responses on area of interest.
- There was discussion on the order that material is presented, both with regard to obtaining and keeping reader interest and with obtaining user/administrator input on questions.
  - It was agreed to put the Cloud printing, etc subject be put at the end because the PWG has not yet settled on how we will address this and because we are not yet prepared to solicit applicable information
  - Both IDS Security issues and WIMS Power issues could use user input soon; the IDS because user demand is important to get NAC supplier cooperation and WIMS because the Imaging Power specification are soon to be finalized.
    - However, with the smaller article size and the monthly release, each subject as outlined would take several months.
    - Jim proposed an alternate approach were a group of volunteers would be solicited to look into a subject in more detail, allowing group members to be given a more involved article and a more comprehensive survey questionnaire
    - Alternatively, Bill suggested that the outline could be broken down into smaller granularity subjects allowing, for example, NAC issues and Imaging Power Management capabilities to each be one article. NAC issues would be schedule for November and Imaging Power Management for December.
    - Bill offered to generate a cut at a finer granularity outline, with each subject still standing on its own. The idea of creating "Survey Groups" could be proposed in the first article to see if there was interest.

# 5 Update on Power Management Documents Status

- ➤ Ira stated that the phase 2 prototyping efforts we delayed, and that it would be better to wait for the results and address comments in the specs, and put off the PWG last call to overlap the December face-to-face.
- Ira suggested that updates reflecting August face-to-face meeting comments (possibly along with information evolved in conjunction with MFD discussions) would be a few weeks later, probably in time for the October face-to-face meeting.
- Note that observations in the MFD meeting held later Thursday suggested that there may be a need to provide for persistence characteristics in the Power Counters, keeping them in line with the Imaging Counters as specified in <a href="PWG Candidate Standard 5106.1-2007">PWG Standardized Imaging System Counters</a>.

## 6 Next Steps – Action Items

- Action Items:
  - CIM efforts (ongoing)
  - Bill/Ira to write draft Power Management article and survey for MPSA
  - MPSA article schedule to be revised for smaller granularity and reviewed- Bill
  - Report on phase 2 PM prototyping of Support, Transition, and Timeout by October 2010
  - Clarifications/additions to PWG Imaging System Power Management Model and MIB documents draft by October 2010; Stable draft into PWG Last Call by December 2010
  - PWG Introductory draft to be ready for review to allow submission to MPSA by start of OPtober.
- Next Conference Call is at 1:00 PM EDT on 23 September.