PWG WIMS CIM Alignment

Irvine Face-to-Face Minutes December 4, 2008

Attendees

Amir Shahindoust	Toshiba
Bill Wagner	TIC
Dave Whitehead	Lexmark
Dinesh Srirangpatna	Samsung
Glen Petrie	Epson - phone
Grant Gilmore	360 Software
Ira McDonald	High North
Jerry Thrasher	Lexmark
Lee Farrell	Canon
Nancy Chen	Okidata
Pete Zehler	Xerox - phone
Rick Landau	Dell -phone
Shah Bhatti	Samsung

Introduction

- ❖ Meeting was convened at about 1:00PM PST.
- ❖ It was noted that the meeting is conducted under the PWG Membership and Intellectual Property rules. There were no objections.
- ❖ The proposed meeting agenda was presented, with no objections.
- ❖ Lee Farrell agreed to supply notes for minutes
- Minutes of the last face to face WIMS meeting and the last WIMS conference call were accepted.
 - o ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/wims/minutes/08OCT-Lexington-WIMS-CIM-face-to-face.pdf
 - o ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/wims/minutes/cim 08111

Dell CIM Provider Prototype Presentation

- * Rick Landau is continuing development of the Proxy CIM Provider prototype implementation. The primary purposes of this prototype are to:
 - o Validate the updated Printer CIM Schema
 - Provide one implementation of the CIM Schema to help advance the newly defined elements from the experimental state
- * Rick provided a detailed review of the CIM Provider Prototype activity.
 - o Presentation Slides are at:

ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/wims/cim/PWG-F2F-200812-WIMS-CIM-WG-session-00.pdf

o Files discussed are at:

 $\frac{ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/wims/cim/ProxyProviderSampleFiles-WIMS-CIM-mtg-20081204.zip$

- The group then discussed the email from Ricoh (konstantin.vorobyev@nts.ricoh.co.jp) saying that there is no place to put a manufacturer's name in the CIM printer model.
 - o The information that Ricoh is actively implementing the Printer CIM MOFs was enthusiastically received.
 - Rick said that the CIM_Printer.Caption object could be used. He has mapped "sys description" to this element in his proxy provider, Manufacturers typically identify themselves in this MIB object.
 - o Ira suggested that "instance ID" could be used, but Rick said that it is only available in the subunits, not in Printer Class.
 - Ira agreed to post a response to Ricoh with the "caption" recommendation, as well as noting the newly posted CIM V2.20 and alerting Konstantin to remaining problems in CIM PrintAlertRecord.RecordFormat (Action Item)

Consideration of Future Projects/Potential MIB Activity

- ❖ Previously Identified "future WIMS activities" were reviewed along with resolution
 - Evangelizing and perhaps sponsoring development activities for Web Service Management of Printers. The consensus was to restrict our WS specific activities to creating CIM schema.
 - Develop standardized management elements for MFDs, paralleling MFD effort in service definition with device management. The idea is that the existence of a standard will encourage support of a consistent set of elements (possibly in addition to proprietary).
 The conclusion was that the path to standard WS management elements would best start with standard SNMP MIBs.
 - Continued CIM activities:
 - Print Services CIM Schema In progress
 - Printer Profile Expose elements from networked printer MIB-II elements in CIM "networked Computer System" approach; also basis for the basic computing device in MFP
- ❖ This segued to a discussion of the integration the PMP (MIB) working group with WIMS.
 - What form should the combined WG take?
 - Keep PMP as separate WG?
 - Run two WGs in parallel?
 - Merge two WGs into one hardcopy device management WG?
 - o Depends on level of PMP type activities. Potential activities identified were:
 - Update and Publicize Printer MIB FAO
 - Current FAQ is out of date and hidden
 - Most of us are aware of implementation eccentricities
 - Users and Fleet Management Agencies both need to understand some
 - of these variations, and should have some place to voice their questions.
 - Printer Port Monitor MIB
 - update specification

- interoperability testing
- advance PPM to PWG standard
- MFD MIB or MIB extensions
 - MFD group is addressing service semantics; need to address management elements
 - Incomplete and aborted past attempts at MFD standards, including:
 - PWG Printer for Multi-Function Devices, March 2007 <u>ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/pmp/wd/wd-mfp-alert-groups10-20070313.pdf</u>
 - enums-only extension to Printer MIB for scan, fax, etc., MFD
 - alerts in existing prtAlertTable
 - Status:ready for prototype technically complete
- Power Management objects "Green" Issue
 - What elements? Existing private MIB/CIM Mapping?
 - Compatibility with CIM.
 - Consider evolving ECMA standards.
 - New MIB or Augmentation/Extension of existing MIB.

PMP/WIMS Integration

- ❖ With regard to the PMP/WIMS integration, it was decided to have one working group concerned with the management of hardcopy devices and system, but to maintain all of the management-related mailing lists. This included:
 - o PMP(PrinterMIB and Port Monitor MIB) and
 - WIMS (primarily CIM), and implicitly
 - o FIN (Finisher MIB),
 - o JMP (JOB MIB).

There was a suggestion that the integrated group retain the WIMS acronym, although with more appropriate meaning. (WIMS stood for Web-Based Imaging Management Service, which is inappropriate for the more general charter since we are no longer restricted to Web –Based methods, and are definitely not concerned with defining a management service.) Unfortunately, although we remain open to suggestions, there appears no reasonable root name corresponding to WIMS. (Well-considered Imaging Management Semantics; Widely-accepted Imaging management Stuff...). I suggest that we assign a new name (Hardcopy Device and Service Management).

❖ The change will require a revised charter expanding the scope. The requirements relative to having the charter voted on will need to be addressed by the PWG steering Committee.

Priority on Activities

The meeting concluded that priority must be given to the definition a standard set of Hardcopy device power management elements. Although the initial binding of these

- elements might be in a MIB or MIB extension, the effort must not be considered as an exclusively MIB oriented effort.
- ❖ There was no consensus on the second priority, not even the intention of further progressing the PWG Printer for Multi-Function Devices document.

Next Steps / Open Actions

- ❖ It was tentatively agreed that the next WIMS teleconference would wait until the new year, although January 5 might not be a good date.
- **❖** Action items
 - o Ira: send message to Konstantin
 - o Bill: take cut at revised charter

Meeting was adjourned at about 3:30 PM, PST.

Bill Wagner