1	Charter of the PWG
2 3	Projector and Display Management Working Group
4	Working Group
5	Status: Interim
6	Copyright © 2007 Printer Working Group. All Rights Reserved.
7	Version 0.8
8 9	ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/BOFs/pdm/Charter/wd-pdm-charter-20070321.pdf
10	Rick Landau < Richard_Landau@dell.com>
11 12	Nick D'Alessio <nick_d'alessio@dell.com> Ira McDonald <imcdonald@sharplabs.com></imcdonald@sharplabs.com></nick_d'alessio@dell.com>
13	Problem Statement:
14	Dynamic display devices such as video projectors and video displays are imaging
15 16	devices that are similar in many ways to printers and faxes. When such devices are permanently installed in offices or other locations, they need remote
17	management capabilities so that users can monitor their health and status.
18	Currently management of these devices is fragmented and proprietary. A standard
19	for management of these devices would benefit both the industry and the user
20	community.
21	PDM WG Co-Chairs:
22	Richard Landau, Dell
23	Raymond Rogers, Symon Comunications
24	PDM WG Secretary:
25	TBD
26	PDM WG Participants:
27	Koichi Ara, NEC
28 29	Jasper Chen, Coretronic Nick D'Alessio, Dell
30	Lee Farrell, Canon
31	Devin Fujimoto, Spinoza
32	Richard Landau, Dell
33 34	Josephine Lee, Delta Randy Massengale, Spinoza
35	Hiroyuki Hashimoto, Epson
36	Ira McDonald, High North
37 38	Vincent Ng, Dell Raymond Rogers, Symon
30	Raymond Rogers, Symon

PDM WG Document Editors: 39 40 Richard Landau and Nick D'Alessio, Dell 41 Hiroshi Midorikawa, Epson 42 **Mailing Lists:** 43 44 **TBD** Out-of-scope: 45 46 OOS-1. Do not define management for non-networked projectors or displays. 47 • OOS-2. Do not define management for desktop monitors attached to PCs. 48 • OOS-3. Do not define job submission protocols for video data. 49 • OOS-4. Do not define content access protocols for video data. 50 • OOS-5. Do not define page definition formats and languages to be implemented 51 in projectors and displays (e.g., HTML, PDF, PostScript, PCL, etc.). 52 **Objectives:** 53 OBJ-1. Define management requirements and usage models for projectors and 54 video display devices that are permanently or temporarily installed as networked 55 utility devices. 56 • OBJ-2. Define an architectural model of management objects and semantics for 57 the above set of requirements and usage models. 58 • OBJ-3. Define consistent names and semantics for management objects that can 59 be accessed through any supported management protocols. 60 • OBJ-4. Define an SNMP MIB in SMIv2 for the management objects in the 61 abstract model. 62 • OBJ-5. Define a set of CIM MOF classes for the management objects in the 63 abstract model. 64 • OBJ-7. Define a set of XML Schemata for the management objects in the abstract 65 model. 66 • OBJ-8. Define a Web Services Binding for the management objects in the abstract 67 model to one or more public standard Web Services protocols. Milestones: 68 69 70 **Charter Stage:** 71 • CH-1. DONE – Initial working draft of PDM WG charter – September 2006 72 • CH-2. DONE – Interim working draft of PDM WG charter – March 2006 73 • CH-3. Stable working draft of PDM WG charter – April 2006 74 • CH-4. PWG SC approval of PDM WG charter – April 2006 75 76 **Definition Stage:** 77 MODEL-2. Stable working draft of PDM Abstract Model and Requirements –

78

O4 CY07

79	• MODEL-3. WG Last Call of PDM Abstract Model and Requirements – Q2
80	CY08
81	 MIB-2. Prototype working draft of PDM SNMP MIB – Q1 CY08
82	 MIB-4. WG Last Call of PDM SNMP MIB – Q2 CY08
83	 MOF-2. Prototype working draft of PDM CIM MOF Classes – Q2 CY08
84	 MOF-4. WG Last Call of PDM CIM MOF Classes – Q4 CY08
85	 XML-2. Prototype working draft of PDM XML Schema – Q3 CY08
86	 XML-4. WG Last Call of PDM XML Schema – Q4 CY08
87	• WS-2. Prototype working draft of PDM Web Services Binding – Q4 CY08
88	 WS-4. WG Last Call of PDM XML Web Services Binding – Q1 CY09
89	
90	Implementation Stage:
91	 IMP-MIB-1. Interoperability event for PDM SNMP MIB – Q1 CY08
92	 IMP-MOF-1. Interoperability event for PDM CIM MOF – Q3 CY08
93	