1	PWG MFD Working Group Teleconference Meeting Minutes			
2	May 20, 2010			
3				
4	4 Attendees:			
5		Nancy Chen,	Oki Data	
6		Ira McDonald,	High North, Inc.	
7		Bill Wagner,	TIC	
8		Rick Yardumian	Canon	
9		Peter Zehler	Xerox	
10				
11	1.	Identify Minute Taker	– Nancy Chen	
12				
13	2.	PWG process		
14	Attendees were informed that the meeting is held in accord with the PWG Intellectual			
15		Property Policy. There w	vas no objection.	
16	-			
17	3.	Approval of minutes		
18			ce meeting minutes: <u>ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/mfd/minutes/pwg-</u>	
19			pdf was accepted. Bill has some issues with the MFD Overall spec	
20		which will be discussed	when the specification is reviewed.	
21				
22	4.	Agenda	1	
23		1. Identify Minute Ta		
24		2. Approval of minute	5	
25			pwg/mfd/minutes/pwg-mfd-minutes-20100506.pdf	
26		3. Agenda bashing		
27		4. Discuss MFD Requirements document (focus on use cases) <u>ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/mfd/wd/wd-mfdreq10-20100517.pdf</u>		
28				
29		5. Discuss Face to Face6. Next steps	ce agenda	
30 31		0. Next steps		
32	5	Update of MFD Schem	<u>م</u>	
32 33	5.	Pete announced that over this weekend he will published an updated version of the browse-		
33 34			a. There will also be links to the Schemas of Job Ticket, Document	
35			as and parameters of the operations.	
36		Tieket, service operation	is and parameters of the operations.	
37	6	Disposition of Hold <set< td=""><td>rvice>Job Operation</td></set<>	rvice>Job Operation	
38	0.	-	issue with whether a user can release a job held by an administrator	
39		6	and Semantics document. According to rfc2911, there is	
40			only administrator and job owner can hold/release jobs. It is further	
41			se job is implemented in a way outside the scope of rfc2911, the	
42		0	by job is implemented in a way outside the scope of fie2911, the	
43		promotion must pro-		
44	7.	Discuss MFD Requiren	nents Document	

45 <u>ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/mfd/wd/wd-mfdreq10-20100517.pdf</u>

46 47 48	 Agreed to remove all text referencing "PWG Semantic Model 2.0" to avoid the issues with referencing to-be-done/in-progress document/Schema. Corrected some editorial errors.
49 50	 Line 227 - Agreed to clearly specify version 1.1 of IPP, as the one formed the basis for PWG Semantic Model 1.0.
51 52 53	• Section 3.2.3, Line 410-412 – agreed that the model applied to SOHO level applications is a subset of the MFD model, but should be compatible with the model. Reword the statement.
54 55	• Agreed that all "template" in section 4.3.1 should be "Job Template" without indication of a specific service.
56 57	• Agreed to change the use case title: "Walk-Up Batch" to "Walk-Up Batch with Scan Input". And move the 2 nd paragraph of this use case before the 1 st paragraph.
58 59	 Agreed to start one-week working group Last Call after revision of the requirement document. The intent is to span PWG Last Call over the June face-to-face meeting. Jerry will email his comments to Pill
60 61	• Jerry will email his comments to Bill.
62	8. Discuss Face to Face Agenda
63 64	• The MFD Overall Model and Semantics document had been updated with the changes from the past meetings. However it still depends on the completion of FaxOut and FaxIn
65 66	specification. The "Service Operations" document will be updated instead for review at the face-to-face meeting.
67 68	 Ira will get the Schema Diagrams into the FaxIn document with some sections still to be filled for review at fact-to-face.
69 70 71 72	• Pete will have updated version of System Service for review. For IDS group's action item, Ira will provide a fragment of HCD health attributes in XML for Pete to add into MFD model XML Schema under System Service.
73	9. Next Teleconference next week on May 27, 2010, 3pm EDT.
74	• Finalize the requirement document from working group last call.
75 76	• Finalize the agenda for June face-to-face meeting.