1 2 3		PWG MF	D Working Group Teleconference Meeting Minutes May 14, 2009	
5 4	1.	Attendees:		
5		Nancy Chen,	Okidata	
6		Lee Farrell,	Canon	
7		Ira McDonald,	High North Inc.	
8		Glen Petrie,	Epson	
9		Bill Wagner,	TIC	
10		Peter Zehler,	Xerox	
11 12	2.	Introduction & PWG	IP Policy :	
13		Peter Zehler, the MFD	Working Group Chairman reminded attendees the meeting is being	
14 15		conducted in accord wi	th the PWG IP policy. No objection.	
16	3.	Minutes Taker Assigned: Nancy Chen		
17 18	4.	Agenda:		
19		There was no objection to the agenda below:		
20		(1) Identify Minute Ta		
21		(2) Approval of minutes from last meeting		
22		ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/p	wg/mfd/minutes/pwg-ftf-mfd-minutes-20090427-28.pdf	
23		(3) Agenda bashing		
24		(4) Resource Service discussion		
25		(5) MFD specification discussion		
26		(6) Next steps – FaxOu	it specification	
27				
28	5.	Approval of Minutes from Last Meeting		
29		The minutes was appro-	ved without change.	
30				
31	6.	Review of Action Items from Face-to-Face		
32		We will review the act	ion items in the next teleconference.	
33				
34	7.		ervice Specification Last Call Comments/Resolutions	
35		-	Resolutions file: <u>ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/mfd/wd/MFD-Resource-</u>	
36		LastCallCommentResolution-20090514.pdf,		
37		Last Call Resource Service draft: <u>ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/mfd/wd/wd-mfdresourcemodel10-</u>		
38		<u>20090417.pdf</u>)		
39			ne proposed TOC style that is consistent with Scan Service spec.	
40		-	roposed text for Security Consideration	
41		-	write up. We agreed to change the example or remove 'e.g. virus scan'.	
42			esource, since the metadata such as DateTimeOfExpiration need also to be	
43			t was suggested that text should be added to state that access to all metadata	
44			esources should also be restricted to authorized users. Normally the default	
45 46			ld be that the alterations of metadata should be restricted to resource	
46		owner/creat	or, or authorized administrators. DateTimeOfExpiration or manufacturer's	

47	copyright should be READONLY, even owner or administrator may not be allowed to
48	modify. There might be cases when the Resource Service actually uses Form or Font
49	license expiration enforcement software. But in Resource Service, we assume that the
50	service enforces the expiration date and time of a Resource which is put in by the
51	resource owner then stored by Resource Service.
52	• Some Resource license is expired by allowable usage count. Many vendor implements
53	this feature. Hence the question of whether the Resource Service keeps the count of the
54	usage of every Resource. We plan to discuss this further in the next meeting.
55	 Currently the Resource Service specifies that at the DateTimeOfExpiration, the resource
56	MUST be deleted. However in reality, when a font/form is expired, it only becomes
57	inactive or disabled; does not necessary need to be removed. If the fonts are deleted at
58	expiration, then there is no way to optimize the license renewal – that is, getting a new
58 59	
	license key still requires to download the entire set of fonts. For 100 printers, you would
60	need to update them all through download. A one-time download process is much better.
61	It was then suggested that there should be an option for user to delete or disable the
62	resource at expiration. We agreed that:
63	 Add ResourceIsExpired attribute of Boolean and reference
64	DateTimeOfExpiration in the ResourceStatus of the ResourceEntry, make the
65	default value 'false' indicating the license of the resource is not expired (still
66	valid). If the value is 'true', the undeleted resource is expired. The Resource
67	Service must block Store/Retrieve/ReplaceResource operations on the expired
68	resources. Get/SetResourceElement still works, but should only allow user to set
69	DateTimeOfExpiration; should not allow user to set DateTimeAtCreation,
70	ResourceCreatorUserName. When the resource license is renewed, the resource
71	should get a new ResourceID, DateTimeOfExpiration, but not ReplaceResource.
72	This should be defined as an administrative operation.
73	
74	8. Review of updated MFD Overall Document
75	(file reviewed: <u>ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/mfd/wd/wd-mfdoverallmod10-20090513rev.pdf</u>)
76	• Question on WKV (WellKownValue) –
77	• Some of the WKVs are simply a list of keywords. Some has multiple sets of keywords
78	and extension pattern.
79	• Simple type union is the way to encode Type2 enumerations. Type1 is IANA registered.
80	Type2 is either IANA registered or PWG registered. Type3 enumeration also allows site-
81	specific keywords by using the extension pattern. A union is more than one set of
82	keywords, or keywords with site/vendor extension pattern.
83	• Pete will generate Schema diagrams from XMLSpy for Bill to replace LiquidXML diagrams.
84	Pete will also provide Nancy the updated Resource Service Schema diagrams from today's
85	discussion.
86	 Service Mark Coordinate diagram is added as Figure 8. IPP parameters by which the print
80 87	content region is defined are documented in Production Printing Set1 and Set2.
88	• Figure 3 Relationship within a MFD – the relationship between Services and each individual
89 00	service currently shown as inheritance should be corrected to composition relationship. The same
90 01	correction is needed for the relationship between the Subunits and each individual subunit. The
91	Scan Service candidate standard spec has the same figure which can be corrected through PWG
92	process by adding Errata to the spec.

93

94 **9.** Next Step

- 95 o Nancy will capture all required updates for Last Call comment resolutions and post the updated document as lcrc- mfdresource10-2009xxxx.pdf (.doc)
- 97 o Next teleconference in two weeks on May 28, 2009, Thursday, 3pm EDT. We will focus on
 98 reviewing the updated Resource Service spec.