- Subj: Issues for Job Monitoring MIB, version 0.851, dated 8/9/974/24/97
- 2 From: Tom Hastings 3 Date: 8/8/975/13/97
- 4 File: issues.doc
- 5 This file will be our standing list of open and closed issues for the Job Monitoring MIB.
- 6 The open issues are in the first section, closed issues not yet incorporated into the MIB
- 7 specification are in the second section, and the closed issues that are in the current
- 8 specification are in the third section. When an issue is closed, I indicate the resolution and
- 9 why and move the issue to the appropriate closed section, depending on whether I've also
- done the editing for it or not.
- Revision marks show changes agreed to at the Redmond, 8/6/97 meeting from the 3/26/97
- 12 version of the issues list. When I move an issue from Open to Closed, I only show the
- revision marks of what changed, not the movement itself.
- 14 This version of the issues list includes issues that are in the Internet Draft 0400 (same as
- revision 0.841) that need to be resolved.
- 16 I've updated the issues with the agreements reached at the JMP meeting, 6/26/974/4/97
- and the reasons behind the resolutions.
- 18 If you object to any of the proposed resolutions to the issues, please send e-mail.

19 **1. Open Issues**

- 20 The following issues are open:
- 21 none.

22 2. NoneClosed Issues - not yet reflected in the current draft

- The following issues have been closed but have not been incorporated in a draft:
- 24 none.

25 3. Closed Issues - reflected in the current draft (0.85)

- 26 The following issues have been closed and have been incorporated into the Internet Draft
- 27 0500 and version 0.8571 or earlier:
- 28 Issue 1 Should we add a standard SNMP RowStatus object to the jmJobTable and
- 29 **imAttributeTable**?
- 30 Closed: No. We decided to go with simplicity. Also customers have had experience with
- 31 printers that stop because some software isn't installed or running properly and so prevents
- 32 the printer from working. Finally, see issue 9 resolution in which no objects are read-
- write, but implementers can augment this MIB with objects that allow any object in this
- 34 MIB to be changed by duly authorized users. We can produce another MIB that
- augments this MIB in the future, if there is interest and a solution to standard means to
- write objects (and delete rows).

37 38 Issue 2 - If we add **RowStatus** to the **imJobTable**, should we add a 39 **jmGeneralTableOverflowPolicy** object to the **jmGeneralGroup**? 40 Closed: No, because we did not agree to add RowStatus and we are also concerned about the printer stopping for some configuration reason. Customers that care about accounting 41 42 should make sure that the accounting applications are running properly, perhaps even with 43 a daemon program that monitors the accounting programs. 44 Issue 3 - If we add **jmGeneralTableOverflowPolicy** object should it be read-write? 45 46 Closed: No, see issues 1, 2, and 9. 47 48 Issue 4 - Need to re-draw the job state transition diagram to add the needsAttention state 49 Tom H will make a table of all possible state transitions, so that it will mean the IETF 50 requirements for plain text in RFCs. 51 Closed: I added a job state transition table to replace the job state transition diagram. See 52 the Internet Draft 00 and version 0.71. Called the job state: 'processing-stopped'. 53 54 Issue 5 - Restore NMS having to access both the server and printer agents (Configuration 55 2b)? 56 Yes, with the following understandings: Configuration 2b will show a monitoring 57 application, a server, and a printer. The MIB will be only in the printer, but the 58 monitoring application is also monitoring the server by some other means than the Job 59 Monitoring MIB. The Job Monitoring MIB in the Printer shall have enough information 60 in it for the monitoring application to find the job in the Printer's Job Monitoring MIB that it found in the server (by other means). In such cases, the server usually deletes its copy 61 of the job, but need not. This configuration covers the configuration supported by the HP 62 63 5si Mopier private job monitoring MIB when driven from a Novell server. 64 ACTION ITEM (Tom Hastings, Bob Pentecost): Tom draw up a new configuration 2b and show to Bob before distributing it to the group. 65 66 Closed: I added the agreed configuration, but called it configuration 3, since it has 67 similarities to both configuration 1 and 2. See the Internet Draft 00 and version 0.71.

69 Issue 6 - If Configuration 2b is added to the spec, how does the monitor relate a job in the 70 server and the copy that is in the printer?

71 Closed: The new Configuration 2b does NOT have a Job Monitoring MIB in both the

72 server and printer; only in the printer.

68

- 74 Issue 7 If Configuration 2b is added to the spec, add a Boolean General object that says
- whether this Job Set requires the NMS to contact the printer's agent too?
- 76 Closed: No need, since the configuration won't have a Job Monitoring MIB in the server.

- Issue 8 Should we make a new **jmServerGroup** for objects needed by the
- 79 **serverOnly**(4) and **bothPrinterAndServer**(5) configurations?
- 80 Closed: No, we haven't identified any that are server only that can't be put into the
- 81 jmAttributeTable, so that non-server's need not implement.

82

- 83 Issue 9 What objects should be read-write, so that the system administrator can set
- 84 policy?
- 85 Closed: No, for simplicity. Also implementers can augment the Job Monitoring MIB with
- means to write any object. Add a paragraph that indicates that implementers could allow
- 87 monitoring applications to modify objects, by adding a private table that contains an
- 88 encrypted password, with date and time mixed in and set in the clear. In addition, the OID
- of the object to be written and the new value is contained in the table.

90

- 91 Issue 10 Should we add an object to specify the policy for SNMP Gets for other user's
- 92 jobs?
- 93 Closed: No, authorization and authentication are beyond SNMP and this Job Monitoring
- 94 MIB.

95

- Issue 11 Should the policy object for SNMP Gets for other user's jobs be writeable?
- 97 Closed: No, we didn't agree to add such an object in Issue 10 and if we had, it wouldn't
- have been writeable (see answer to issue 9).

99

- 100 Issue 12 What is the SNMPv1 and SNMPv2 error that an agent shall return if there is no
- instrumentation for an object?
- 102 Closed: There is no such SNMP error. ALL uninstrumented objects in mandatory groups
- of any MIB should always correctly return 'read-only' static values specified in 'DEFVAL'
- 104 clauses. 'DEFVAL' is a perfectly good SMIv2 feature intended to cover this situation.
- Returning ANY SNMP error for ANY object in a mandatory group with a legal instance
- qualifier (i.e., set of indices) is NOT legal in a literal reading of the SNMPv2 Protocol
- spec (RFC 1905, page 10, in 'Get-Request PDU' handling). That's what 'shall implement
- ALL the objects in this group' means! So add DEFVAL clauses to all objects.

- 110 Issue 13 - Why didn't the Printer MIB use this SNMP error instead of returning 111 unknown(2) enums? 112 Closed: The Printer MIB was correct to use these unknown(2) enums, instead of an 113 SNMP error. 114 115 Issue 14 - How do we add traps without adding too much network traffic? 116 Closed: For simplicity and to avoid the design problem of registering and unregistering 117 for traps, we decided not to add traps. The HP 5si Mopier private job monitoring MIB 118 has only one trap: when a job is added to the table. However, no application is using the 119 trap. Polling seems sufficient and not a problem. 120 121 Issue 15 - Should **imGeneralQueuingAlgorithm** be writeable, so that the system 122 administrator using an NMS can change the scheduling algorithm? 123 Closed: No, we agreed to delete this object, since no implementations of job monitoring 124 with any protocol have such an object. Even Printxchange did not implement this 125 attribute, even though ISO DPA has this Printer attribute. 126 127 Issue 16 - Add passThrough(6) to jmGeneralQueuingAlgorithm for servers that just 128 pass jobs through without queuing? 129 Closed: No, none of the implementations even had the jmGeneralQueuingAlgorithm 130 object, so we decided to delete the entire object. So we don't need to even decide 131 whether to add a new enum value to it. 132 133 Issue 20 - OK to have added **fileName**(3) to **JmAttributeTypeTC**? 134 Closed: Yes, some implementations have both file names and document names, so we 135 need both. 136 137 Issue 21 - Change **physicalDevice**(11) to a text string, so it can be used with servers that 138 don't have the Printer MIB?
- 139 Closed: Have both **physicalDeviceName** and **deviceIndex** as resources in the
- **jmAttributeTable**, so that neither, one, or the other or both can be implemented.

- 142 Closed: Issue 22 Why not require the agent to always return FAX numbers in ASCII,
- since it is easy to convert from Unicode to ASCII?
- 144 Closed: We decided to remove the fax number resource entirely, since it doesn't relate to
- printing. When a FAX job monitoring MIB is developed to augment this Job Monitoring
- 146 MIB, it will need other objects, besides the FAX phone numbers. The FAX phone

147 148 149 150 151	numbers enum can be registered at that time as a type 2 enum for use with the jmAttributeType object. (Had we kept this enum, and when it is registered, the data type will be ASCII, rather than the coded character set of the implementation), in order to fit into 63 octets. Two-octet Unicode would exceed the space since numbers with password, and extensions, etc., could exceed 31 digits.
152	
153	Issue 23 - Add resource item to indicate the output-bins that the job requests/uses?
154 155 156	Closed: Yes, it was in several implementations. So add outputBin as a resource enum which can have multiple entries, since some jobs may actually use more than one output bin.
157	
158 159 160	We also agreed to add colorantConsumed as a resource enum and mediumConsumed where the jmResourceName object would be the name of the actual colorant or medium consumed, with one row per different colorant and medium.
161	
162 163 164	Issue 24 - Move any resource items to the jmJobGroup , because monitoring applications needs to access the resource frequently without having to read the entire jmAttributeTable?
165 166 167	Closed: No, don't move any. In fact see issue 30, where we agreed to add a fourth index to the jmAttributeTable, which makes all resources directly addressable by jmAttributeTypeIndex as the third index.
168	
169	Issue 26 - Which indexes shall be persistent across power off and which need not be?
170	Closed: The persistent indexes shall be: jmJobIndex and jmGeneralJobSetIndex
171	
172 173	Issue 27 - Should jmGeneralJobCompletedPolicy be writeable, so that the system administrator using an NMS can change the length of time that completed jobs are kept?
174	Closed: No, see answer to issue 9.
175	
176 177	Issue 28 - Should jmGeneralQueuingAlgorithm be writeable, so that the system administrator using an NMS can change the scheduling algorithm?
178 179 180	Closed: No, none of the implementations even had the jmGeneralQueuingAlgorithm object, so we decided to delete the entire object. So we don't need to even decide whether to make the object writeable.
181	
182	Issue 29 - OK to require that imCompletedIndex be monatonically increasing?

183 Closed: Yes. Also jmQueueIndex. 184 185 Issue 30 - Should we move any **jmJobGroup** objects to the **jmResourceGroup**? 186 Closed: We agreed to add a fourth index to **jmResourceTable**. That index is **jmResourceType** enum, which will actually be the third index. The **jmResourceIndex** 187 188 will be moved from third to fourth position. See move8obj.doc in the contributions sub-189 direction. 190 This makes each resource in the **imResourceTable** directly addressable by 191 **jmResourceType**. making it as efficient to find an resource in the **jmResourceTable** as 192 to get an object in the jmJobTable. 193 Consequently, we agreed to move the following 9 objects to the **imResourcesTable**: 194 1. jmDeviceIndex 195 2. imJobSourceChannel - see Issue 37 196 3. jmJobSubmissionTime 197 4. imJobComment 198 5. jmJobTotalKOctets 199 6. jmJobKOctetsCompleted 200 7. jmJobStartedProcessingTime 201 8. imJobCompletionTime 202 9. jmJobAccountName 203 204 Issue 31 - Should we re-introduce **jmJobDeviceId** to handle configuration 2b? 205 Closed: We agreed to bring back configuration 2b in which the NMS has to query the 206 server by means outside the Job Monitoring MIB and the printer using the Job Monitoring 207 MIB. However, in this scenario, there is no Job Monitoring MIB in the server, so there is 208 no need to add back jmJobDeviceId for the job id assigned by the Printer for use in a 209 server's Job Monitoring MIB. 210 211 Issue 32 - Shouldn't we require any numeric portion of the client-side identifiers to always 212 be in the imJobIdNumber object? 213 The new Job Id table that Harry proposed replaces the jmJobIdNumber and jmJobIdName objects. 214 215 216 Issue 33 - Why have two client-side identifier objects? 217 Closed: Some job submission protocols, such as BSD LPR/LPD require two. 218 219 Issue 34 - What is the SNMPv1 and SNMPv2 error that an agent shall return if there is no 220 instrumentation for an object?

Closed: There is no such SNMP error. See the answer to Issue 12.

222	
223 224	Issue 37 - Change the jmJobSourceChannel from an index in the Printer MIB to the enum, since the server need not implement the Printer MIB?
225 226 227	Closed: No, spoolers typically know the job source channel. So keep as an index into the Printer MIB for use in Printers. Since we are moving this to the Resource Table, make the enum name reflect the index: jobSourceChannelIndex.
228	
229 230	Issue 38 - Do we need to add the jmJobChannelInformation object to the new jmServerGroup for servers that don't have a corresponding Printer MIB?
231 232 233	Closed: No, since we agreed to keep the Job Source Channel as an index into the Printer MIB, the monitoring application can access the jmJobChannelInformation object in the Printer MIB. see issue 37.
234	
235236	Issue 39 - ISSUE - Why not return the SNMP error ???, instead of -2, if the total K octets is unknown?
237	Closed: There is no such error. Return unknown(-2) if not known.
238	
239 240	Issue 41 - Is it worth rounding down jmJobKOctetsCompleted until the job completes and then round up?
241 242 243 244	Closed: No, lets round up to the next higher K as with jmJobKTotalOctets . The only time rounding down could make a difference is for a 1K job and that short a job will happen so quickly that the difference between rounding up versus rounding down can not be seen by people.
245	
246 247	Issue 42 - Are interpreters(10), sheetsCompleted(14), processingTime(20) the right resource items to require agents to implement?
248 249	Closed: We agreed only to make sheetsCompleted (14) mandatory, since the Printer MIB requires it. All other resource items are conditionally mandatory.
250	
251 252	Issue 43 - How can jmResourceName be a union of OCTET STRING, Integer32, and Counter32?
253 254 255 256 257 258	Closed: We agreed to replace jmResourceName by two objects: jmResourceNameAsText and jmResourceNameAsType . See res-type.doc in contributions sub-directory. We also agreed that each resource fills in either jmResourceNameAsText or jmResourceNameAsType , but not both. Also, except for mediaConsumed , no resource item that fills in a jmResourceAmount with a count, also fills in jmResourceNameAsText or jmResourceNameAsType .

- Subsequently we agreed to combine the three objects: **jmResourceNameAsText**,
- jmResourceNameAsType and jmResourceAmount into just two objects:
- jmResourceValueAsText and jmResourceValueAsInteger.

- 263 Issue 44 There was agreement that the Job Monitoring MIB needs a primary index that
- 264 can separate jobs into disjoint sets for purposes of scheduling. This primary index serves
- 265 the same purpose for the Job Monitoring MIB as the hrDeviceIndex does for the Printer
- MIB, except that the Job Monitoring MIB did not want to require the Host Resources
- 267 MIB. What is the definition of Job Set? How do job sets relate to queues? Can a Printer
- have more than one job set without having queuing? For a printer that is fed from
- 269 multiple external queues, are all the jobs from all those queues in a single job set in the
- 270 Printer?
- 271 Closed: See the Internet Draft 00 (and version 0.71). I added clarifications that an agent
- in a server (configuration 2) or printer (configuration 1 or 3) will represent each queue in
- 273 that server or printer as a distinct job set, since the agent is representing the queue that is
- in the server or printer that the agent is instrumenting. The agent cannot be expected to
- 275 represent a queue that is elsewhere (upstream or downstream). If the printer is fed from
- 276 multiple queues from the same or different servers, but the printer has only one queue,
- then the agent in that printer shall represent that queue in the printer as a single job set.
- 278 Though a Job Set is most often representing a job queue, we're not calling the job set and
- the job set index a queue and a queue index, since a server or printer need not implement a
- 280 queue and need not have any queuing. See if I succeeded in clarifying the definition of job
- set in the draft. I added cross references back to the terminology section as well, so that
- 282 people who skip over the terminology or forget after reading the terminology will be
- reminded to go back for further explanation.

284

- 285 Issue 45 After fully agreeing on what a Job Set is, should the name remain Job Set, or be
- changed to Queue, or Job Pool or Job Group to improve understandability? The new
- jmGeneralJobSetName would also be changed to jmGeneralQueueName, or
- jmGeneralJobPoolName, or jmGeneralJobGroupName. See the Internet Draft 00 and
- 289 version 0.71.
- 290 Closed: We agreed to leave the name as Job Set.

- Issue 46 Do we need to add a **jmGeneralJobSetName** object so that an operator can
- determine which job set he/she is looking at. The **jmGeneralJobSetName** is
- administratively assigned and could be (1) the queue name, (2) the server name if the
- server has only one job set or (3) the printer name if the printer has only one job set.
- 296 Closed: I added the **jmGeneralJobSetName** object to the general table for the same
- reason that we added **prtGeneralPrinterName** to the Printer MIB. I added the
- explanation that the Job Set Name can be (1) the queue name, (2) the server name if the

"The maximum number of queued and completed jobs that this server or print can support at the same time. The value (-1) indicating other shall indicate that there is no fixed limit." i:= { jmGeneralEntry 4 }	299 300	server has only one job set or (3) the printer name if the printer has only one job set in the Terminology section and under each group where the jmJobSetIndex is used.
since some of the enums are not resources, such as fileName and documentName, jobSubmissionTime, jobCompletionTime, etc. Closed: I renamed the Resource Group and Table to jmAttributeGroup and jmAttributeTable. See version 0.71 and the Internet Draft 00. Issue 48 - Since jmJobIndex cannot be 0 according to SNMP rules for indexes, what shall an agent do that is instrumenting a printer or server that uses 0 as a valid job- identifier? Use largest positive integer for job 0? Or the agent map the 0 value to the value that is one higher than the maximum that the server or printer uses? Closed: With the Job ID table, what the values of the jmJobIndex are relative to job identifiers that the server or printer generate has become less important. However, agents can preserve the same values assigned by the server or printer in the jmJobIndex, by mapping a zero job-identifier value to one higher than the server or printer assigns. Issue 49 - Should we change the definition of the jmGeneralMaxNumberOfJobs to jmGeneralMaxJobIndex meaning the maximum value that the jmJobIndex object can have and the roll over to 1 happens for the next job received? Or add jmGeneralMaxJobIndex as another object in the General table? Then the monitoring application would know what the roll over limit would be. For agents that instrument servers or printers that use a job identifier of 0, the actual maximum number would be one more than the actual job identifier that the server or printer generates. So for LPD, the value of jmGeneralMaxNumberOfJobs obJECT-TYPE SYNTAX Integer32(02147483647) MAX-ACCESS read-only STATUS current DESCRIPTION "The maximum number of queued and completed jobs that this server or print can support at the same time. The value (-1) indicating other shall indicate that there is no fixed limit." ::= { jmGeneralEntry 4 }	301	
jmAttributeTable. See version 0.71 and the Internet Draft 00. Issue 48 - Since jmJobIndex cannot be 0 according to SNMP rules for indexes, what shall an agent do that is instrumenting a printer or server that uses 0 as a valid jobidentifier? Use largest positive integer for job 0? Or the agent map the 0 value to the value that is one higher than the maximum that the server or printer uses? Closed: With the Job ID table, what the values of the jmJobIndex are relative to job identifiers that the server or printer generate has become less important. However, agents can preserve the same values assigned by the server or printer in the jmJobIndex, by mapping a zero job-identifier value to one higher than the server or printer assigns. Issue 49 - Should we change the definition of the jmGeneralMaxNumberOfJobs to jmGeneralMaxJobIndex meaning the maximum value that the jmJobIndex object can have and the roll over to 1 happens for the next job received? Or add jmGeneralMaxJobIndex as another object in the General table? Then the monitoring application would know what the roll over limit would be. For agents that instrument servers or printers that use a job identifier of 0, the actual maximum number would be one more than the actual job identifier that the server or printer generates. So for LPD, the value of jmGeneralMaxNumberOfJobs obJect-TYPE SYNTAX Integer32(02147483647) MAX-ACCESS read-only STATUS current DESCRIPTION "The maximum number of queued and completed jobs that this server or print can support at the same time." The value (-1) indicating other shall indicate that there is no fixed limit." **The value (-1) indicating other shall indicate that there is no fixed limit." **Figure 1.1.** **Figure 2.1.** The value (-1) indicating other shall indicate that there is no fixed limit."	303	since some of the enums are not resources, such as fileName and documentName,
Issue 48 - Since jmJobIndex cannot be 0 according to SNMP rules for indexes, what shall an agent do that is instrumenting a printer or server that uses 0 as a valid jobidentifier? Use largest positive integer for job 0? Or the agent map the 0 value to the value that is one higher than the maximum that the server or printer uses? Closed: With the Job ID table, what the values of the jmJobIndex are relative to job identifiers that the server or printer generate has become less important. However, agents can preserve the same values assigned by the server or printer in the jmJobIndex, by mapping a zero job-identifier value to one higher than the server or printer assigns. Issue 49 - Should we change the definition of the jmGeneralMaxNumberOfJobs to jmGeneralMaxJobIndex meaning the maximum value that the jmJobIndex object can have and the roll over to 1 happens for the next job received? Or add jmGeneralMaxJobIndex as another object in the General table? Then the monitoring application would know what the roll over limit would be. For agents that instrument servers or printers that use a job identifier of 0, the actual maximum number would be one more than the actual job identifier that the server or printer generates. So for LPD, the value of jmGeneralMaxJobIndex would by 1000, not 999. The current definition of jmGeneralMaxNumberOfJobs is: jmGeneralMaxNumberOfJobs OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX Integer32(02147483647) MAX-ACCESS read-only STATUS current DESCRIPTION "The maximum number of queued and completed jobs that this server or print can support at the same time. The value (-1) indicating other shall indicate that there is no fixed limit." The value (-1) indicating other shall indicate that there is no fixed limit."		
shall an agent do that is instrumenting a printer or server that uses 0 as a valid jobidentifier? Use largest positive integer for job 0? Or the agent map the 0 value to the value that is one higher than the maximum that the server or printer uses? Closed: With the Job ID table, what the values of the jmJobIndex are relative to job identifiers that the server or printer generate has become less important. However, agents can preserve the same values assigned by the server or printer in the jmJobIndex, by mapping a zero job-identifier value to one higher than the server or printer assigns. Issue 49 - Should we change the definition of the jmGeneralMaxNumberOfJobs to jmGeneralMaxJobIndex meaning the maximum value that the jmJobIndex object can have and the roll over to 1 happens for the next job received? Or add jmGeneralMaxJobIndex as another object in the General table? Then the monitoring application would know what the roll over limit would be. For agents that instrument servers or printers that use a job identifier of 0, the actual maximum number would be one more than the actual job identifier that the server or printer generates. So for LPD, the value of jmGeneralMaxJobIndex would by 1000, not 999. The current definition of jmGeneralMaxNumberOfJobs is: jmGeneralMaxNumberOfJobs OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX Integer32(02147483647) MAX-ACCESS read-only STATUS current DESCRIPTION "The maximum number of queued and completed jobs that this server or print can support at the same time. The value (-1) indicating other shall indicate that there is no fixed limit." The value (-1) indicating other shall indicate that there is no fixed limit." SignGeneralEntry 4 }	307	
identifiers that the server or printer generate has become less important. However, agents can preserve the same values assigned by the server or printer in the jmJobIndex, by mapping a zero job-identifier value to one higher than the server or printer assigns. Issue 49 - Should we change the definition of the jmGeneralMaxNumberOfJobs to jmGeneralMaxJobIndex meaning the maximum value that the jmJobIndex object can have and the roll over to 1 happens for the next job received? Or add jmGeneralMaxJobIndex as another object in the General table? Then the monitoring application would know what the roll over limit would be. For agents that instrument servers or printers that use a job identifier of 0, the actual maximum number would be one more than the actual job identifier that the server or printer generates. So for LPD, the value of jmGeneralMaxJobIndex would by 1000, not 999. The current definition of jmGeneralMaxNumberOfJobs is: jmGeneralMaxNumberOfJobs OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX Integer32(02147483647) MAX-ACCESS read-only STATUS current DESCRIPTION "The maximum number of queued and completed jobs that this server or print can support at the same time. "The value (-1) indicating other shall indicate that there is no fixed limit." ::= { jmGeneralEntry 4 }	309 310	shall an agent do that is instrumenting a printer or server that uses 0 as a valid job- identifier? Use largest positive integer for job 0? Or the agent map the 0 value to the
Issue 49 - Should we change the definition of the jmGeneralMaxNumberOfJobs to jmGeneralMaxJobIndex meaning the maximum value that the jmJobIndex object can have and the roll over to 1 happens for the next job received? Or add jmGeneralMaxJobIndex as another object in the General table? Then the monitoring application would know what the roll over limit would be. For agents that instrument servers or printers that use a job identifier of 0, the actual maximum number would be one more than the actual job identifier that the server or printer generates. So for LPD, the value of jmGeneralMaxJobIndex would by 1000, not 999. The current definition of jmGeneralMaxNumberOfJobs is: jmGeneralMaxNumberOfJobs OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX Integer32(02147483647) MAX-ACCESS read-only STATUS current DESCRIPTION "The maximum number of queued and completed jobs that this server or print can support at the same time. The value (-1) indicating other shall indicate that there is no fixed limit." ::= { jmGeneralEntry 4 }	313 314	identifiers that the server or printer generate has become less important. However, agents can preserve the same values assigned by the server or printer in the jmJobIndex, by
jmGeneralMaxJobIndex meaning the maximum value that the jmJobIndex object can have and the roll over to 1 happens for the next job received? Or add jmGeneralMaxJobIndex as another object in the General table? Then the monitoring application would know what the roll over limit would be. For agents that instrument servers or printers that use a job identifier of 0, the actual maximum number would be one more than the actual job identifier that the server or printer generates. So for LPD, the value of jmGeneralMaxJobIndex would by 1000, not 999. The current definition of jmGeneralMaxNumberOfJobs is: jmGeneralMaxNumberOfJobs OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX Integer32(02147483647) MAX-ACCESS read-only STATUS current DESCRIPTION "The maximum number of queued and completed jobs that this server or print can support at the same time. The value (-1) indicating other shall indicate that there is no fixed limit." ::= { jmGeneralEntry 4 }	316	
<pre>jmGeneralMaxNumberOfJobs OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX Integer32(02147483647) MAX-ACCESS read-only STATUS current DESCRIPTION "The maximum number of queued and completed jobs that this server or print can support at the same time. The value (-1) indicating other shall indicate that there is no fixed limit." ::= { jmGeneralEntry 4 }</pre>	318 319 320 321 322 323	jmGeneralMaxJobIndex meaning the maximum value that the jmJobIndex object can have and the roll over to 1 happens for the next job received? Or add jmGeneralMaxJobIndex as another object in the General table? Then the monitoring application would know what the roll over limit would be. For agents that instrument servers or printers that use a job identifier of 0, the actual maximum number would be one more than the actual job identifier that the server or printer generates. So for LPD, the
SYNTAX Integer32(02147483647) MAX-ACCESS read-only STATUS current DESCRIPTION "The maximum number of queued and completed jobs that this server or print can support at the same time. The value (-1) indicating other shall indicate that there is no fixed limit." ::= { jmGeneralEntry 4 }	325	The current definition of jmGeneralMaxNumberOfJobs is:
- ,	327 328 329 330 331 332 333 334	SYNTAX Integer32(02147483647) MAX-ACCESS read-only STATUS current DESCRIPTION "The maximum number of queued and completed jobs that this server or print can support at the same time. The value (-1) indicating other shall indicate that
330 What is the purpose of impericially axivaline to 1300s as currently defined:	337 338	::= { jmGeneralEntry 4 } What is the purpose of jmGeneralMaxNumberOfJobs as currently defined?

339	Closed: No one could make a good case for this object, so we agreed to delete it. Also
340	with the new jmGeneralOldestActiveJobIndex and jmGeneralNewestActiveJobIndex,
341	an application can discover roll-over when the newest is less than the oldest.
342	
343 344 345 346 347	ISSUE 50 - Should we define the PrtInterpreterLangFamilyTC used with the documentFormat(12) attribute in the Job Monitoring MIB module, since the PrtInterpreterLangFamilyTC textual convention is not yet in an RFC? Or should the Job Monitoring MIB IMPORT the PrtInterpreterLangFamilyTC from the Printer-MIB to be?
348 349 350 351 352	Closed: Since the documentFormat(12) is an attribute, not an object, the value is the general jmAttributeValueAsInteger and so does not explicitly IMPORT any enum for use as attributes (only as objects). So the Internet Draft 00 (and version 0.71) no longer have PrtInterpreterLangFamilyTC , which nicely side-steps the issue that there is no RFC with PrtInterpreterLangFamilyTC enum defined.
353	
354 355 356 357	ISSUE 51 - Should the jmJobCurrentState (and JmJobStateTC) be changed from a type 2 enum to a type 1 enum, since adding states would have serious impact on released clients? Currently the IPP draft has the job-state and printer-state attributes defined as type 1 enums (actually they've changed the terminology, but not the concept, to <i>keyword</i>).
358 359	Closed: No, we will keep as a type 2 enum, in case we need to make an addition, such as follow IPP.
360	
361 362 363	ISSUE 52- Should JmJobStateReasonsTC and JmJobServiceTypesTC be defined using the RFC 1902 BITS built-in? JmJobStateReasonsTC is 540 bits, while JmJobServiceTypesTC is only 31 bits.
364 365 366	Closed. Instead of using BITS which requires compilers that conform to the 19xx RFC series, define the bit values as part of the DESCRIPTION in hexadecimal in each 32-bit integer. Create four jobStateReasonn (<i>n</i> =14) and four JmJobStateReasonsn TCs.
367	
368 369 370 371 372 373	ISSUE 53 - Should there be an object that specifies the current default coded character set of the Job Monitoring MIB, so that the client can figure out how to interpret objects of type OCTET STRING that are coded characters, in case the client might not be configured the same as the server or printer? See Section 6 in the Internet Draft 00 and revision 0.71 for the discussion of coded character sets, including the use of Unicode/ISO 10646.
374 375 376 377	Closed: No do not add such an object. Unlike the Printer MIB, the text strings in the Job Monitoring MIB originate from clients, not from the server or printer. Therefore, monitoring application programs are apt to be configured to support the same character set and language as the job submision clients. Alternatively, a Job Monitoring agent that

378 379	also implements the Printer MIB could use the objects in the Printer MIB to indicate the current localization of the Job Monitoring MIB.
380	
381 382 383 384 385 386	ISSUE 54 - Should we move all of the objects in the jmJobTable (9 objects) into the jmAttributeTable as enums and then specify some of them to be required for implementation? What about the jmQueueTable (6 objects)? What about the jmCompletedTable (3 objects)? This would reduce the number of required objects from 21 mandatory objects and 6 conditionally mandatory objects to just 9 mandatory objects and no conditionally mandatory objects.
387 388 389	Closed: We agreed to Harry's proposal to move all of jmJobTable objects to the Attribute Table, to delete the Queue and Completed Table and replace them with the jmJobIDTable and the jmJobStateTable.
390	
391 392 393 394	ISSUE 55 - Should we remove the needsAttention state to align with IPP (and DPA)? The printer-stopped job-state-reasons value from IPP has already been added to the JmJobStateReasonsTC , so that the user will be able to find out that the job that is processing has a printer stopped.
395 396 397 398	Closed: No, we like the needsAttention state. Furthermore, it will be straightforward for an agent instrumenting an IPP server or printer, to map the job and printer states, including job-state-reasons and printer-state-reasons, to the Job Monitoring MIB job states.
399	
400 401 402	ISSUE 56 - Which of the jmJobTable entries that were moved to the jmAttributeTable should be mandatory enums, if any? They were all mandatory when they were in the jmJobTable .
403 404 405 406 407 408 409 410 411 412	 physicalDeviceName (or physicalDeviceIndex) jobSourceChannelIndex jobSubmissionDateAndTime or jobSubmissionTimeStamp jobComment jobKOctetsTotal jobKOctetsCompleted jobStartedProcessingDateAndTime or jobStartedProcessingTimeStamp jobCompletedDateAndTime or jobCompletedTimeStamp jobAccountName
413 414 415 416	Or should we move any mandatory attributes, such as sheetsCompleted back to the jmJobTable , so that the attributes table contains no mandatory attributes, only conditionally mandatory attributes and the jmJobTable is the place that we put the mandatory information?
417 418 419	Closed: We agreed that any of the objects in the jmJobStateTable that are duplicates of the jmAttributeTable, shall be mandatory. This gives the following 8 attributes as mandatory:

420 421 422 423 424 425 426 427 428 429	<pre>jobState numberOfInterveningJobs deviceAlertCode jobKOctetsRequested jobKOctetsCompleted impressionsRequested impressionsCompleted outputBinName</pre>
430 431 432 433 434 435 436	ISSUE 57 - OK to change jmAttributeTypeIndex from not-accessible to read-only, so that it can be mentioned in the conformance clause where we specify that sheetsCompleted is the only attribute that is mandatory (so far). Currently the Internet Draft and version 0.71 get a compile error when attempting to mention an enum that is mandatory for an object that is not listed in the conformance clause. Objects that are not-accessible cannot be mentioned in the conformance clauses, but read-only can, since they (jmAttributeTypeIndex) would also get added to the list of objects in the group.
437 438	Closed: No, leave jmAttributeTypeIndex as non-accessible. Provide comments to list the attributes that are mandatory in the conformace section.
439	
440 441 442 443 444	Issue 58 - OK that sides, documentFormat , and physicalDeviceIndex/Name , remain as the only attributes that are both requested and used at the same time (with the same instance in the jmAttributeTable) as suggested in Ron's EMail of 2/15, or should we make four new attributes that are used/consumed and change the current ones to be requested?
445 446	Closed: Leave as they are. The difference between requested and consumed is not very great for these attributes.
447	
448 449	Issue 59 - Add the name of the source server or client? As an object or an attribute? See Bob Pentecost EMail of 2/25. Need more specific text for such proposals.
450	Closed: Agreed in principle, but need a specific specification for each.
451	
452 453 454	Issue 60 - Add the "file name of the job" and a "source port object to tell which client port the job came from"? As objects or attributes? See Bob Pentecost EMail of 2/25. Need more specific text for such proposals.
455	ACTION ITEM (Tom, Bob): Write up a proposal and send to the DL.
456	
457 458 459 460	Issue 61 - Need to clarify the semantics of each object and attribute with respect to Configuration 1, 2, and 3. See Bob Pentecost EMail of 3/10 (HP internal review). Most objects refer to the jobs as they exist in the server or printer that the agent embedded in, i.e., is instrumenting. A few objects, represent information that comes from upstream

- places in the case of configuration 1 from the client, in the case of configuration 2, the
- client as well, and in the case of configuration 3, the server and maybe even the client as
- 463 well.
- 464 ACTION ITEM (Tom): Analyze the existing attributes to see if the semantics need
- clarification depending on which configuration and send to the DL.
- 466 Closed: No more ambiguous attributes found.

- 468 Issue 62 Harry Lewis has a proposal for a mapping table that allows a monitoring
- application that knows a client identifier to directly address the mapping table with a single
- get in order to find the **jmJobIndex** that the printer is using. See 3/5/97 and 3/28/97
- EMail and ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/jmp/contributions/pwgjm.pdf. Harry will make a
- presentation at the JMP meeting.
- 473 Closed: The JMP accepted Harry's proposal.

474

- 475 Issue 63 Should we add attributes for inkjet plotters? See EMail from Patrick Powell, of
- 476 3/4/97
- 477 Closed: Wait until someone comes forward and wants such a value.

478

- 479 Issue 64 Need to fill out Appendix A on mapping from the job submission protocols to
- 480 the Job Monitoring MIB for each of the three configurations.
- 481 Closed: Put into a separate document.
- 482 ACTION ITEM (all): Write up your job submission protocol mapping to the Job
- 483 Monitoring MIB.

484

- 485 Issue 65 What Appendices should remain, which should be separate Internet Drafts
- and/or informational RFCs and which should disappear?
- 487 Closed: No appendices for the Job Monitoring MIB, except for supplemental information
- about the semantics of job states. A second appendix indicates the job submission
- protocols that support the **jmJobSubmissionID** concept and what mechanism is used for
- same. Put any other information into a separate informational RFC, such as mapping to
- 491 ISO DPA, mapping to IPP, mapping to other job submission protocols, etc.

- 493 Issue 66 What attributes need to have Server vs. Printer values, since both may be
- 494 needed in a single implementation?
- 495 From Harry Lewis mail of 2/19. There was a need for an attribute to specify whether the
- submission time was when the job was submitted to the server vs. the printer, since with

497 configuration 2 and 3, they could happen at quite different times. So I would think that 498 we would need another attribute. 499 Presumably a server would always have access to a date and time clock, so would *not* 500 need the TimeStamp form for the server. So should we replace: 501 1. jobSubmissionDateAndTime 502 2. JobSubmissionTimeStamp 503 with: 504 jobSubmissionToServerDateAndTime 505 2. jobSubmissionToPrinterDateAndTime 3. JobSubmissionToPrinterTimeStamp 506 507 If you are implementing just a printer and don't know when the job was submitted to the server, you would not implement the **jobSubmissionToServerDateAndTime** attribute. 508 509 What other attributes do we need separate instances for the server vs. 510 the printer in order to handle configuration 2 and 3? 511 Closed: Change the two attributes to the three attributes. 512 ACTION ITEM (Tom): Send to the DL, if find other attributes that are different between 513 the server and the printer. 514 515 ISSUE 67 - Delete the three objects in the Job State table that duplicate attributes? 516 jmJobStateKOctetsCompleted, jmJobStateImpressionsCompleted, and 517 imJobStateAssociatedValue? 518 An app can get all of these from the AttributeTable directlly: 519 jobStateKOctetsCompleted, jobStateImpressionsCompleted, and 520 iobStateAssociatedValue. 521 Closed: Delete the duplicates from the Attributes Table instead. 522 523 ISSUE 68 - Delete the **Job State Group/Table** all together, since all objects are also 524 duplicated as attributes? 525 If ISSUE 67 does delete the 3 objects from the **Job State table**, then only the 526 **imJobState** object remains. But that is also available in the Attribute Table as the 527 jobState attribute. 528 Closed: No, the Job State Table is useful to scan for jobs using Get Next and select the 529 desired columns. 530 531 ISSUE 69- Does order of assignment of **JmAttributeTypeTC** enums make any 532 difference? 533 Would it help if the mandatory attributes were first, so that Get Next would pick them up

534

first when getting the next conceptual row?

- Closed: No, can't use Get Next to step through jobs. The requester can specify which
- attributes using Get, since the agent is now required to materialize each supported
- attribute when the job is accepted. So the application can supply a number of Gets in a
- single PDU without fear of a error, once the application has learned which attributes the
- agent implements.

- 541 ISSUE 70 Add some simple general device alert TC, instead of using the Printer MIB
- 542 **Alert** Codes.
- The **PrtAlertCodeTC** generic values are *not* much good to an end user without knowing
- which subunit. For example, **SubUnitEmpty** isn't very informative by itself. If an
- 545 implementation also has the Printer MIB, then a lot more information is available, so a
- 546 copy of the Printer Alert isn't very useful. If the implementation doesn't have the Printer
- MIB, then the Printer Alert codes aren't informative enough.
- Even worse, the deviceAlertCode(10) is Mandatory, which can't be implemented, if there
- isn't a Printer MIB also implemented.
- 550 Closed: No, use the alert codes.

551

- ISSUE 71 Are there any attributes that need to be clarified as to which apply to servers
- and which apply to devices and which apply to either?
- 554 ACTION ITEM (Tom): Send to the DL, if find other attributes that are different between
- 555 the server and the printer.
- 556 Closed: no more found.

557

- 558 ISSUE 72 What should happen to **jmGeneralNewestActiveJobIndex** when all the
- active jobs complete?
- Shall agent set it to 0 or leave it alone as a pointer to increment when the next job is
- accepted? If it is reset to 0 (to indicate that there are no more active jobs), what
- remembers where to put the next received job? What is remembered across power cycles,
- so that jmJobIndex values are not immediately re-assigned upon power up? If the newest
- active job is comleted before an older one, shall the agent search back to find the newest
- still active job and decrement **jmGeneralNewestJobIndex** to point to it? Or should this
- object really be left alone after the newest job completes and be called
- jmGeneralNewestJobIndex, since the newest job may no longer be active?
- Closed: the agent shall reset it to 0 and keep an internal variable for the next row to
- assign. That internal variable shall be persistent across power cycles. Also the agent shall
- 570 find the next newest active job, when the newest is canceled or completes and there are
- still active jobs in the tables.

572

Issue 73 - Is there a problem with **outputBinIndex** being made mandatory?

574 575 576 577 578 579 580	If outputBinIndex is made mandatory, but an implementation doesn't have the Printer MIB, the agent has to put 0 as the value. Should we add one more attribute: outputBinNumber , which is just a number, not an index into the Printer MIB? If we do, which should be mandatory? Just one more reason to get rid of the jmStateTable, which is forcing us to pick a particular outputBin implementation and make it mandatory. If we got rid of the JobState table, we could forget about making any of the 3 outputBinName, outputBinNumber, or outputBinIndex attribute mandatory.	
581 582 583	Closed: Don't add outputBinNumber. Don't Also keep outputBinIndex as a MULTI-RO enum value.	•
584		
585	ISSUE 74: Collapse pairs of attributes that u	se Integer vs Octets valus?
586 587 588 589 590 591	Analysis of the 78 attributes shows that there are 8 attribute pairs that could be collapsed into one attribute with the implementation using either the Integer or the Octets value (or both) to represent the attribute. The application would have to query both value objects. But if it is using GetNext, it has to get both each time anyway. Only if it is directly accessing an attribute would it have to get both values. On the other hand, it would be fewer Gets than having two attributes as we have now. The 8 pairs are:	
592 593 594 595 596 597 598 599 600	physicalDeviceIndex outputBinIndex mediumRequestedType colorantRequestedIndex colorantConsumedIndex jobSubmissionToDeviceDateAndTime jobStartedProcessingDateAndTime jobCompletedDateAndTime	physicalDeviceName outputBinName mediumRequestedName colorantRequestedName colorantConsumedName jobSubmissionToDeviceTimeStamp jobStartedProcessingTimeStamp jobCompletedTimeStamp
601 602 603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610	Should we collapse them into the following: physicalDevice outputBin mediumRequested colorantRequested colorantConsumed jobSubmissiontToDeviceTime jobStartedProcessingTime jobCompletedTime	
611 612 613	Closed: Yes, and allow agents to implemen	both with meaningful values in a single row? t one, the other, or both values. Making it an application that doesn't want to depend on

614	configurations.	
616		
617 618	ISSUE 75 - Should the Attribute enum values be grouped so additions could be added the appropriate section	in
619 620 621 622 623 624 625 626	When producing the first Internet-Draft, I re-arranged the Attribute enums into logical groups, so that attributes would be easier to find. We now have 78 attributes, so logical grouping is becoming important to make the list more understandable. Several people proposed adding attributes that were already present in the spec. Also Harry has expressed the concern that any re-assignment of at least OIDs, causes problems with tracking the drafts. Finally, when the standard achieves proposed status, there will be additional registrations. It might be helpful if the enums could be assigned to the appropriate group, instead of only at the end.	
627	The current logical grouping are:	
628 629 630 631 632 633 634 635 636 637	Job State attributes Job Identification attributes Job Parameter attributes Image Quality attributes (requested and used) Job Progress attributes (requested and consumed) Impression attributes (requested and consumed) Page attributes (requested and consumed) Sheet attributes (requested and consumed) Resource attributes (requested and consumed) Time attributes (set by server or device)	10 19 7 6 7 6 3 3 7 9
638	Ok to assign Job State and Job Identification in steps of 30 and the rest in steps of 20?	
639 640	See also Issue 69. We could put the mandatory attributes first, and then group the rest above.	as
641	Closed: Yes, group the enum assignments.	
642		
643 644 645	Issue 76 - So should jobName , jobOwner , and one of deviceNameRequested or queueNameRequested be made Mandatory?	
646 647 648	When we moved attributes from the job table to the attributes table (Issue 54 and 56), didn't make any of them mandatory for an agent to implement. Should any of them be made Mandatory?	we
649	The old job table had the following (mandatory) objects in it:	
650 651 652 653 654 655	jmJobName jmJobIdName jmJobIdNumber jmJobServiceType jmJobOwner jmJobDeviceNameOrQueueRequested	

656	jmJobCurrentState
657	jmJobStateReasons
658	
659	jmJobIdName and jmJobIdNumber have been replaced by jmJobSubmissionIDIndex
660 661	which is Mandatory.
662	jmJobServiceType need not be Mandatory.
663	Jindobber vice Type need not be Mandatory.
664	Also jmJobDeviceNameOrQueueRequested has been made into two separate attributes:
665	deviceNameRequested and queueNameRequested, so we'd have to make either one of
666	them mandatory.
667	
668	jmJobCurrentState is now jobState and is Mandatory
669	'a Li Coa Danna a la companya da la
670	jmJobStateReasons became four attributes: jobStateReasons1, jobStateReasons2,
671 672	jobStateReasons3, and jobStateReasons4. None of them need to be Mandatory.
673	So should jobName, jobOwner, and one of deviceNameRequested or
674	queueNameRequested be made Mandatory?
675	Closed: Only jobOwner is made manadatory, but it will remain in the Attribute table,
676	rather than being moved to the Job table.
677	
	Leave 77. Chould ich Commiste d Dote And Time (Time Storme he concelled time too on
678 679	Issue 77 - Should jobCompletedDateAndTime/TimeStam p be canceled time too, or add jobCanceledDateAndTime/TimeStamp ?
680	Should we just clarify the jobCompletedDateAndTime and jobCompletedTimeStamp
681	attributes may be used for either the time that the job completes or the time that the job
682	canceled?
683	Or is it better to add two new attributes: jobCanceledDateAndTime and
684	jobCanceledTimeStamp?
685	Closed: Clarify that completed included canceled and aborted.
686	
687	Issue 78 - Should the "multiplexor" jobStateAssociatedValue(4) attribute be removed
688	from the Job Attribute Table and the equivalent jmJobStateAssociatedValue object be
689	removed from the Job State table?
690	The associated values are also available as attributes in the attribute table. The application
691	has to either (1) request all 7 associated attributes or (2) first request the jobState(3)
692	attribute and the request the 1 pertenent attribute. Since all 7 will easily fit in a PDU
693	(minimum of 500 octets or so on all systems) and each request takes about 20 octets, so
694	you can get about 20 (5*4) attributes into a single PDU.
695	Closed: Yes. The application can request each object and/or attribute directly and it will
696	fit into a single PDU (20 objects or attributes).

```
697
```

- 698 Issue 79 - Should the 'printing' state be combined into the 'processing' state?
- 699 Many printers don't distinguish between 'processing' and 'printing', especially desktop
- 700 printers. For those that do, having a state change that really reflects progress, such as the
- 701 transition from processing to printing, is better handled as a job state reason, not as a
- 702 fundamental state change. Finally, since this MIB is intended for non-printing services in
- 703 the future, such as fax out, CD-ROM writing, fax-in, scanning, etc., it would help if one of
- 704 the states wasn't 'printing'. Even IPP, only has the state of 'processing', with a job-
- 705 state-reason of 'iob-printing' for those implementations that make the distinction and
- 706 want to go to the trouble of indicating the difference. IPP even indicates that "most
- 707 implementations won't bother with this nuance".
- 708 Closed: Yes, but the other differences between JMP and IPP need discussion with IPP.

- 710 Issue 80 - How handle IPP "sides" attribute?
- 711 The IPP "sides" attribute shows more than just 1 or 2. It has the following values: '1-
- 712 sided', '2-sided-long-edge' (i.e., duplex), and '2-sided-short-edge' (i.e., tumble).
- 713 Alternatives:
- 714 (a) Change the Job Monitoring MIB "sides(32)" attribute to an enum with these three
- 715 values.
- 716 (b) Add a new sides attribute, say, "sidesType" with these 3 enum values, and keep the
- 717 current "sides(32)" as an Integer32(1...2) (actually Integer32(-2...2), so can represent other
- 718 and unknown).
- 719 Closed: Don't include the IPP values; the agent can map them to 1 or 2.

720

- 721 Issue 81 - Add IPP "numberUp" attribute?
- 722 One of the IPP attributes that might be helpful to an administrator would be to record
- 723 number-up. An administrator that is bent on saving paper, might give rewards (or lower
- 724 charges) to users that used number-up.
- 725 Closed: No. Can get whether number up is being used by comparing the conditionally
- 726 mandatory pagesCompleted attribute with the jmJobImpressionsCompleted object.

- 728 ISSUE 82 - Change the OID assignment as Jeff Case and David Perkins suggest:
- 729 jobmonMIB
- 730 > jobmonMIB.1 jobmonMIBObjects
- 731 jobmonMIB.1.1 jmGeneral
- 732 jobmonMIB.1.1.1 jmGeneralTable
- 733
- jobmonMIB.1.1.1.1 jmGeneralEntry jobmonMIB.1.1.1.1.1 jmGeneralJobSetIndex 734
- 735 jobmonMIB.1.1.1.1.2 jmGeneralNumberOfActiveJobs
- 736 jobmonMIB.1.1.1.1.3 jmGeneralOldestActiveJobIndex
- jobmonMIB.1.1.1.1.4 jmGeneralNewestActiveJobIndex 738 jobmonMIB.1.1.1.5 jmGeneralJobPersistence

```
739
                jobmonMIB.1.1.1.1.6 jmGeneralAttributePersistence
740
                jobmonMIB.1.1.1.1.7 jmGeneralJobSetName
741
742
                jobmonMIB.1.2 jmJobID
743
                jobmonMIB.1.1.1 jmJobIDTable
744
                jobmonMIB.1.1.1.1 jmJobIDEntry
745
                jobmonMIB.1.1.1.1.1 jmJobSubmissionID
746
                jobmonMIB.1.1.1.1.2 jmJobIDJobSetIndex
747
                jobmonMIB.1.1.1.1.3 jmJobIDJobIndex
748
749
                jobmonMIB.1.3 jmJob
750
                jobmonMIB.1.1.1 jmJobStateTable
751
                jobmonMIB.1.1.1.1 jmJobStateEntry
752
                jobmonMIB.1.1.1.1.1 jmJobIndex
753
                jobmonMIB.1.1.1.1.2 jmJobState
754
                jobmonMIB.1.1.1.1.3 jmJobStateReason1
755
                jobmonMIB.1.1.1.1.4 jmNumberOfInterveningJobs
756
                jobmonMIB.1.1.1.5 jmJobKOctetsRequested
757
                jobmonMIB.1.1.1.1.6 jmJobStateKOctetsProcessed
758
                jobmonMIB.1.1.1.7 jmJobImpressionsRequested
759
                jobmonMIB.1.1.1.1.8 jmJobStateImpressionsCompleted
760
                jobmonMIB.1.1.1.1.9 jmJobOwner
761
762
                jobmonMIB.1.4 jmAttribute
763
                jobmonMIB.1.1.1 jmAttributeTable
764
                jobmonMIB.1.1.1.1 jmAttributeEntry
765
                jobmonMIB.1.1.1.1.1 jmAttributeTypeIndex
766
                jobmonMIB.1.1.1.1.2 jmAttributeInstanceIndex
767
                jobmonMIB.1.1.1.1.3 jmAttributeValueAsInteger
768
                jobmonMIB.1.1.1.1.4 jmAttributeValueAsOctets
769
770
                jobmonMIB.2
                                 jobmonMIBNotifications -- reserved
771
772
                jobmonMIB.3
                                jobmonMIBConformance
773
                jobmonMIB.3.1
                                jobmonMIBCompliance
774
                               jmMIBGroups
                jobmonMIB.3.2
775
                jobmonMIB.3.2.1 jmGeneralGroup
776
                jobmonMIB.3.2.2 jmJobIDGroup
777
                jobmonMIB.3.2.3 jmJobGroup
778
                jobmonMIB.3.2.4 jmAttributeGroup
779
780
      Correct?
      >yes, if
781
782
             1. jobmon is not somewhere under printmib
783
             2. you don't have something like
      >
784
                   jobmonMIB.2 jobmonNotifications
      >
785
      >
               in which case then
786
                   jobmonMIB.3 jobmonMIBconformance
      >
787
               would move down one arc
788
      Closed: Yes, including reserving an OID for traps, in case we need them in the future.
789
      Closed: Add jmGeneralJobSetIndex to jmGeneralTable and jmJobIndex to
790
      jmJobTable to follow SMI rules, even though previous version compiles cleanly. This
791
      will avoid what David Perkins refers to as "illegal" indexing. Then have to rename the two
792
      columns in the jmJobIDTable to not conflict.
```

- 794 ISSUE 83 Can some attributes be deleted before the **jmGeneralAttributePersistence**
- 795 expires?
- Harry Lewis' 5/2 e-mail suggested that some of the attributes, such as
- 797 "**numberOfInterveningJobs**(9)" don't even need to persist the shorter time specified by
- 798 **jmGeneralAttributePersistence**.
- 799 Closed: No. All attributes shall be instantiated at the same time and deleted at the same
- time. Then applications can require any number of objects and attributes in a single PDU
- and not get an error back on one that has been implemented but hasn't been put in the
- table. The values may change at any time.
- 803 Revisited: Subsequently, we relaxed this requirement, so that the agent NEED NOT
- materialize all supported attributes when the job is received.

- 806 ISSUE 84 Change Associated Value for 'printing' state to
- 807 **impressionsCompletedCurrentCopy**(56)?
- The MIB attribute jobStateAssociatedValue(4) specifies that the associated value for the
- 909 'printing' state is the STATIC attribute: **impressionsRequested**(54). Should we change it
- to the DYNAMIC value: **impressionsCompletedCurrentCopy**(56)? A monitoring
- application that wants to create a thermometer can read the STATIC
- impressionsRequested(54) attribute once from the jmAttributesTable.
- What about the STATIC **impressionsRequested**(54) associated value that is associated
- with the 'processing' state? Leave it or change it to something dynamic, like
- **jobKOctetsCompleted**(50)?
- 816 Closed: Since the Associated Value object/attribute is being deleted, this issue is moot.

817

- 818 ISSUE 85 Break the MIB into a monitoring and an accounting MIB?
- Need to agree if the accounting MIB augments the monitoring MIB or vice versa?
- Then need to agree on which attributes only apply to the augmenting MIB.
- 821 Closed: No. There are too many attributes that are used for both monitoring and
- accounting.

- 824 ISSSUE 86 Clarify jobCopiesRequested(44) vs. documentCopiesRequested(46)
- In order that systems that only support one document jobs and systems that support
- multiple documents per job, use the same attribute when the job has only one document
- 827 (most usual case) and multiple copies are being made, need to clarify which attribute to
- use: jobCopiesRequested(44) vs. documentCopiesRequested(46). Also which to use (or
- both) when muliple copies of a job are requested when the job has multiple documents as
- well. Need to map clearly to IPP and other job submission protocols.
- Closed: Use **jobCopiesRequested** for single document jobs for both systems that support
- only one documen t per job and ones that support mujltiple documents. Only use

833 834 835	documentCopiesRequested , when a multiple document job actually specifies that individual documents are to be made copies.
836	ISSUE 87 - When shall the mandatory attributes appear in the jmJobAttributesTable ?
837 838 839 840 841	Shall an agent materalize all mandatory attributes when the job is submitted, so that a requester can access them all with multiple explicit Gets in a single PDU, without fear of a missing object aborting the PDU? If the mandatory attributes are represented as objects in the jmJobStateTable , then it is clear from SNMP rules that the agent shall materalize at least an empty value for each mandatory object (attribute).
842 843 844 845	Closed: The agent can materialize all the attributes when the job is submitted, including with unknown values, or the agent can materialize the attribute subsequently when the attribute values become known. Management applications need to use GetNext to get attributes that might not be present yet or expect SNMP error codes to be returned.
846	
847 848	ISSUE 88 - Add jmGeneralNumberOfJobsProcessed object since server or printer was booted.?
849 850 851	Most MIBs have some sort of utilization counter. The Job Monitoring MIB should have one also. Add the object to the jmGeneralTable . We assume that this object SHALL NOT be persistent across power cycles.
852 853 854 855 856 857 858 859 860 861	The DESCRIPTION is proposed to be: jmGeneralNumberOfJobsProcessed OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX Integer32 (02147483647) MAX-ACCESS read-only STATUS current DESCRIPTION "The number of jobs that have completed processing for this job set since the server or device was powered on." ::= { jmGeneralEntry 7 } Closed: Do not add jmGeneralNumberOfJobsProcessed object. The number of pages
863864	printed is more indicative of load, than the number of jobs, since jobs can be short or long.
865 866	ISSUE 89 - Add jmGeneralAttributesImplemented object with bits for each attribute implemented?
867 868 869 870	Instead of an application not knowing which attributes an implementation implements and trying to discover by getting errors, or by always using Get Next, instead of Get, how about adding a jmGeneralAttributesImplemented object to the jmGeneralTable that has a bit for each attribute implemented.
871 872 873 874	<pre>jmGeneralAttributesImplemented OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX OCTET STRING(SIZE(032)) MAX-ACCESS read-only STATUS current</pre>

```
875
           DESCRIPTION
876
                 "A bit string indicating which JmAttributeTypeTC
877
                                                       The value is a
                enum values are implemented.
878
                constant independent of which bits are currenly in
879
                entries in the jmAttributeTable.
                                                           The most
880
                significant bit of the first octet is assigned the
881
                value 0 to correspond to enum 0 (not used), the next
882
                most significant bit of the first octet is assigned
883
                the value 1 to correspond to enum 1 (other), the
884
                next bit is assigned the value 2 (unknown), 3
885
                 (jobStateReasons2) etc. up to 32*8 - 1 = 255"
886
           ::= { jmGeneralEntry 8 }
887
      Closed: Do not add imGeneralAttributesImplemented object. The representatives of
888
      the applications did not think such an object would help. Their applications have to use
889
      GetNext anyway, since not all supported attributes are materialized when the job is
890
      accepted.
891
892
      ISSUE 90 - The (MANDATORY) OCTET STRING objects should have a minimum
893
      MAX size required
894
      Otherwise, trivial implementations can implement too short sizes and be conforming. The
      SNMP conformance syntax as the end of the MIB has provision for specifying the
895
896
      minimum maximum that SHALL be implemented. The 63 in OCTET
897
      STRING(SIZE(0..63)) is the maximum size and the 0 is the minimum size for an instance
898
      returned on a Get operation. The (MANDATORY) OCTET STRING objects are with
899
      suggested MAX size required:
900
      imGeneralJobSetName
                                8 octets required to be implemented
901
      jmJobSubmissionID
                                32 octets required to be implemented
902
      Closed: Agreed to 8 and 48 as the minimum maximum number of octets that an agent
903
      MUST support. We also agreed that jmJobSubmissionID MUST be fixed length, so that
904
      an application can omit trailing octets, in order to achieve a "search" capability on just the
905
      more significant part of the ID.
906
907
      ISSUE 91 - The MANDATORY jobOwner attribute should have a minimum MAX size
908
      required
      Otherwise, trivial implementations can implement too short sizes and be conforming.
909
910
      We suggest that 16 octets of the maximum 63 be required to be implemented. Such a
911
      maximum will also help with the next issue.
912
      Closed: We agreed to 16 octets be the minimum maximum that an agent MUST
913
      implement.
```

- 915 ISSUE 92 The MANDATORY **jobOwner** attribute needs to persist as long as there is
- 916 job data
- 917 92a: The MANDATORY **jobOwner** attribute needs to persist as long as there is job
- data. Otherwise, a client that does not have access to the **jobSubmissionID** or a system
- that does not have a **jobSubmissionID** cannot identify the jobs in the **jmJobTable**, after
- the agent removes the job's attributes from the **jmAttributeTable**.
- 921 Closed: Agreed.
- 922 92b: If it is agreed that the MANDATORY **jobOwner** SHALL persist for the longer
- period of time, then it should be moved to the **jmJobTable**, where all the other
- 924 MANDATORY attributes have been made objects.
- 925 Closed: Agreed.
- 926 92c: Then the **jmAttributeTable** could be made OPTIONAL, so that really low end
- printers would not need to implement the **jmAttributeTable** at all. Experience at Xerox
- 928 with low end non-queuing printers suggests that not requiring the **jmAttributeTable** is a
- win. With a required maximum of only 20 octets (see previous issue), it is reasonable to
- move the **jobOwner** to the MANDATORY **jmJobTable**.
- 931 Closed: No. The **jmAttributeTable** shall be MANDATORY for consistency. There
- isn't really any difference between an implementation that doesn't implement any attributes
- and one that doesn't implement the **jmAttributeTable**. So keep the conformance
- 934 requirements simpler to understand and state.

- 936 ISSUE 93 The **jobName** and **jobSubmission[ToDevice]Time** should be
- 937 MANDATORY
- The windows queue monitoring show three attributes: **jobOwner**, **jobName**, and start
- 939 time. In IPP, **jobName** is a MANDATORY attribute.
- 940 Closed: The **jobName** and **jobSubmissionTime** attributes are NOT MANDATORY.
- They are like any other attributes that shall be implemented, if the service or device has the
- 942 functionality and the agent is able to access it.
- 943 93a: In order to work for all configurations, the **jobSubmissionToDeviceTime** should be
- changed to **jobSubmissionTime** and be used for all three configurations: configuration 1:
- device, configuration 2: server, and configuration 3: device. The
- jobSubmissionToServerTime shall only be used in configuration 3, where
- 947 **jobSubmissionTime** is also used (for the device). Then **jobSubmissionTime** can be
- made MANDATORY (since it can be used in all three configurations).
- 949 Closed: Agreed.
- 950 93b: If the **jobName** attribute is made MANDATORY then it should have a minimum
- maximum value specified for conformance. We suggest that 12 octets is sufficient.
- 952 Closed: Not MANDATORY, so no minimum maximum value specified.

- 953 93c: If **jobName** and **jobSubmissionTime** are made MANDATORY, then they should
- be moved to the **jmJobTable** as well, so that the **jmAttributeTable** can remain
- 955 OPTIONAL (see previous issue).
- 956 Closed: Not MANDATORY, so not moved.

- 958 ISSUE 94 Are the 8 octet fields in the **jobSubmisionID** printable or binary?
- The text says "8-decimal-digits". Could it be allowed to be a binary sequence number or
- 960 random number? Then the chances of collision are even lower.
- 961 Closed: printable ASCII.

962

- 963 ISSUE 95 When reducing the size of the **jobSubmissionID** field from 2 to 1, the other
- 964 fields weren't increased by 1.
- 965 Closed: Fix and increase the jmJobSubmissionID index object from 32 octets to 48 octets,
- in order to be more unique by reducing the chances of truncation.

967

- 968 ISSUE 96 Add a **jobSubmissionID** format for **jobOwner**
- The first octet would be an ASCII '4'. The next 8 would be a sequential number, and the
- 970 remaining 23 octets would be the low order 23 octets of the **jobOwner**.
- 971 Closed: Agreed.

972

- 973 ISSUE 97 Add some **jobSubmissionID** formats for numeric identifiers
- 974 97a Add one for POSIX user numbers
- 975 Closed: Agreed
- 976 97b Add one for user account numbers
- 977 Closed: Agreed
- 978 97c Add one for DTMF incoming FAX routing number
- 979 Closed: Agreed

- 981 ISSUE 98 The sequence number and random number in the **jmJobSubmissionID**
- should be the least significant field, not the most significant field
- Then a requester can leave off the sequential number or random number in a GetNext and
- 984 find all of the jobs from a particular MAC address or client URL (or for a particular
- 985 **jobOwner**). In order to make this switch, we need to specify that when the MAC
- address, client URL, (jobOwner, or numberic id) is shorter than 23 octets, that the field is

shortened, rather than being padded out to 23 octets. The least significant field is always

8 8 octets with leading zeroes, so that we don't need any delimiters between the two fields.

989 So the spec would become:

```
990
        Format.
991
        Number
                 Description
992
993
                 octets 2 to n: upto last 23 bytes of the jobName
        1
994
                 attribute; n < 26
995
                 octets n to n+7:
                                    8-decimal-digit random number
996
997
        2
                 octets 2 to n: Client MAC address; n < 26
998
                 octets n to n+7: 8-decimal-digit sequential
999
                 number
1000
1001
1002
        3
                 octets 2 to n: last 23 bytes of the client URL;
1003
                 n < 26
1004
                                    8-decimal-digit sequential
                 octets n to n+7:
1005
                 number
1006
1007
                 to be registered according to procedures of a
1008
                                See section Error! Reference source
                 type 2 enum.
1009
      not found. on page Error! Bookmark not defined..
```

1010 Closed: Agreed, but extend the total length from 32 to 48 octets.

1011

- 1012 ISSUE 99 The **jobSubmisionID** format '0' makes no sense
- 1013 99a: There are two interpretations of the text there:
- 1. The agent only puts a single digit of '0' for the entire jobSubmissionID index but each
- subsequent submission would replace the entry.
- 1016 2. The agent tacks on whatever it wants after the '0' to make a unique jobSubmissionID
- index for each job.
- 1018 98b: If interpretation 2 is correct, then could we require the agent to use the **jobOwner**
- instead, rather than leaving it unspecified how the agent fills in the entry if interpretation is
- 1020 correct?
- 1021 Closed: The agent SHALL use any of the registered formats when the submitting client
- does not supply a **jobSubmissionID**.

- 1024 ISSUE 100 The **jobSubmissionIDTable** should have **jmJobSet** and **jmJobIndex** as
- 1025 indexes
- The current formats will have collisions of **jobSubmissionIDs** occasionally. The
- statement on lines 1695-1697: "None-the-less, collisions could occur, but without bas
- consequences, since this MIB is intended to be used only for monitoring jobs, not for
- 1029 controlling and managing them." is incorrect, since future IETF and priviate MIBs are

- likely to have 'missles', not 'cameras'. We've had experience with a table like this
- 1031 (jobClientIdTable) for a year and a half and we added the jmJobIndex equivalent to the
- row entry that the agent adds to make sure that no entry ever gets overwritten.
- So we propose that the **jmJobSet** object and the **jmJobIndex** objects be added as the
- least significant indexes to the **jmJobSubmissionIDTable**. They are only simple integers
- and **jmJobSet** is likely to be 1 in most implementations.
- 1036 Closed: Do not add **jmJobSet** and **jmJobIndex** as indexes to the **jmJobIDTable**. If
- implementors want to guarrantee uniqueness, they can request to register a new format in
- which the agent supplies some number of the least significant octets (same as if the
- submitting client did not supply a **jobSubmissionID**).

- 1041 ISSUE 101 add **jobSubmissionID** as an attribute, so can find the ID when scanning a
- job or attribute table.
- An accounting program that wants to find the **jobSubmissionID** would have to scan the
- 1044 entire jmJobSubmissionIDTable
- 1045 Closed: No, if a management application really needs the **jobSubmissionID** and doesn't
- know what it is apriori, then that application can scan the **imJobIDTable** looking for the
- jmJobIndex value that matches.

1048

- 1049 ISSUE 102 Make a TC out of the **jobSubmissionID** formats, so can publish new ones
- more easily?
- 1051 Closed: Yes.

- 1053 ISSUE 103 Specify a minimum required persistence time for
- 1054 jmGeneralAttributePersistence
- Put the lower bound right in the ASN.1. We suggest 60 seconds as a minimum with a
- recommendation of 300 (5 minutes). Even add a DEFVAL of 300 as the default. The
- real low cost device that doesn't want to keep job information around will have a small
- number of jobs anyway, since how many jobs can just a device process in a minute
- 1059 anyway?
- 1060 The spec would become:

```
1061
          SYNTAX
                      Integer32(60..2147483647)
1062
                      read-only
          MAX-ACCESS
1063
          STATUS
                      current
1064
          DESCRIPTION
1065
              "The minimum time in seconds for this instance of
1066
              the Job Set that an entry will remain in the
1067
              jmAttributeTable after processing has completed ,
1068
              i.e., the time in seconds starting when the job
1069
              enters the completed, canceled, or aborted state.
1070
              The value of this object MAY be either (1) set by
```

```
1071
                   the system administrator by means outside this
1072
                   specification or MAY be (2) fixed by the
1073
                   implementation, depending on implementation.
1074
1075
                   This value SHALL be equal to or less than the value
1076
                   of jmGeneralJobPersistence.
                                                           This value SHOULD be at
1077
                   least 300 which gives a monitoring application five
1078
                   minutes in which to poll for job data."

7AL { 300 } -- 5 minutes
1079
             DEFVAL
             ::= { jmGeneralEntry 5 }
1080
1081
        Closed: but the agreed values are 60 (one minute) as a recommendation and 15 (15
1082
        seconds) as the minimum, instead of 300 and 60, respectively.
1083
1084
        ISSUE 104: Add deviceAlertIndex attribute which is index into alert table?
1085
        deviceAlertCode (6) needs pointer to SNMP alert table - See pg. 36. When the device is a
1086
        printer, the alert code SHALL be the printer alert code. This is the current definition. But,
1087
        this is not very effective when genericAlertCodes are used. An index into the alert table
1088
        would provide more information (rather than just JAM, you'd know jam in Input 3, for
1089
        example). Maybe this is too much info for job monitoring? But it's just as easy for the
1090
        agent.
1091
        Proposed new attribute:
1092
        deviceAlertIndex(8)
                             -- Integer32(0..2147483647)
1093
          -- INTEGER: MULTI-ROW: The device alert table index for the device that
1094
          -- the job is using. When the device is a printer, this index SHALL be the
1095
          -- index into the prtAlertTable defined by the Printer MIB[1]. Whether
1096
          -- this attribute is instantiated for this job when another job is
1097
          -- using the device depends on implementation.
1098
        Closed: No, do not add deviceAlertIndex. Also remove deviceAlert(7), since an
1099
        application can access the Printer MIB if implemented. Also it is problematic for
1100
        attributes to go away during the life of the job, such as the alert code attribute. Also its
1101
        not good to duplicate information between two MIBs, since the information can become
1102
        stale.
1103
1104
        ISSUE 105: Ok to clarify that serverAssignedJobName(22) can be all digits?
1105
        What happened to serverAssignedJobNumber (2x) - See pg. 37
1106
        We used to have serverAssignedJobNumber, with syntax integer. I think we combined
1107
        this with serverAssignedJobName (22) and dropped it, but in so doing, it is not listed as
1108
        Octets (only). What about the original concern that (OS/2 and perhaps other) some os's
1109
        use an integer not a text string. Are we saying the integer must be converted to text?
1110
        I think you are referring to the jmJobIdNumber attribute that Ron proposed along with
1111
       jmJobIdName. We deleted both when switching over to your jmJobSubmissionID table
```

- scheme. Ron is in agreement I believe with not needing either jmJobIdName or
- imJobIdNumber.
- So for servers that assign numbers to jobs before submitting them to devices
- (configuration 3), rather than names, I would suggest that having the agent converting a
- number that it received in the job submission protocol to a text string would mean that we
- 1117 could use the same attribute and that an application would not need to deal with two
- attributes when querying.
- However, we should add a sentence clarifying that the text string may be a name or a
- 1120 number.
- 1121 Closed: Agreed, that **serverAssignedJobName** can be all numbers or even a URL.
- 1122
- 1123 ISSUE 106: Should **serverAssignedJobName** persist longer too?
- Persistence of serverAssignedJobName See pgs. 36, 37
- Two other attributes (jobOwner and jobName) mandate "long" persistence. If you read the
- note under serverAssignedJobName, it leads in with the same reasoning, but stops short of
- requiring "long" persistence. Which persistence value is serverAssignedJobName intended
- 1128 to follow?
- 1129 Closed: No.
- 1130
- 1131 ISSUE 107: Ok to remove the three IPP **timeSinceXxx** attributes?
- Eliminate "timeSince" Attributes See pg. 47 This is too much work for the agent and is
- 1133 contrary to SNMP in that sysUpTime should do the trick. I don't mind using a
- JmTimeStampTC rather than sysUpTime so much, but the NMS, not the agent, should
- calculate the times since.
- Good issue. The three timeSinceJobWasSubmitted(192),
- timeSinceStartedProcessing(195), and timeSinceCompleted(197) were added becaue this
- is the way IPP does these time attributes.
- On the other hand, is easier as you point out for the agent to use the JmTimeStampTC
- jobSubmissionToDeviceTime(191), jobStartedProcessingTime(194), and
- jobCompletedTime(196) and the NMS can do the work. Also having fewer time
- attributes to choose from does make the NMS's job easier. I'm in favor of removing the
- three timeSinceXXX attributes. An agent instrumenting an IPP system will have to do a
- little more computation.
- An agent instrumenting an IPP implemenation will either have access to the time that the
- job's state change happened and can convert to JmTimeStampTC or only has the time-
- since-xxx value and will have to substract that from the time of day and substract
- sysUpTime from the result to return the jmTimeStampTC value.

1149 1150 1151	Closed: Yes, remove the three timeSinceXxxx attributes. They can be computed from other time attributes which are also easier for the agent to deal with since the value does not change with time.
1152	
1153	ISSUE 108: Add IMPLIED to jmJobIDEntry INDEX statement on page 61?
1154 1155 1156 1157	IMPLIED/IMPLICIT - See pg. 61 The note reads "an IMPLICIT statement is NOT provided in the following INDEX clause, since it was not an SMIv2 feature. Therefore, the extra ASN.1 tag SHALL be included in the varbind in the SNMP request and the response." First, we think the terminology is IMPLIED, not IMPLICIT.
1158 1159	Closed: No. Change jmJobSubmissionID (index) to fixed length, so that a management application can omit trailing octets and get a partial match with SNMPv1 and SNMPv2.
1160	
1161 1162	ISSUE 109: Ok for agent to supply defaults for the job attributes depending on implmentation?
1163 1164	"Requested Attribute" defaults For requested attributes like copies, toner, quality etc. what if the requested value is not passed in? Should the agent use the device default?
1165 1166 1167	This is a difference between ISO DPA and IPP. In DPA, the server does populate the job object with the defaults. In IPP, the server doesn't, so that the defaults are only applied if neiter the requester nor the document PDL supplies the attribute.
1168 1169 1170	Closed: Clarify that requested attributes include values defaulted by the server or printer where they have the same semantics as if the requester had supplied them (and so are requested by the system).
1171	
1172 1173 1174 1175 1176	ISSUE 110: Break jmAttributeTable into two tables: jmAttributeAsIntegerTable and jmAttributeAsOctetsTable as suggested by David Perkins? Then an application will need about half as many Get Next operations in order to "harvest" all of the attributes, since there won't be any zero-length string values for attributes that don't have strings and -1 or 1 values representing 'other' for attributes that don't have integer values.
1177 1178	Closed: Do not divide the table into two tables. There is some benefit to walking the table in pairs even though most attributes are either integer or octet string, and not both.
1179	
1180 1181 1182	ISSUE 111 (restated): How does an application determine the coded character set for the objects and attributes that the agent generates (that cannot come from the job submitting client)? Raised by David Perkins.
1183	The following 3 objects and attributes are in question:
1184 1185 1186	<pre>_jmGeneralJobSetName object processingMessage attribute physicalDevice (name value) attribute</pre>

1187 1188	Closed: Add the JmUTF8StringTC for these three object/attributes. See separate issue111.doc .pdf.
1189	
1190 1191 1192 1193	ISSUE 112 (re-stated): How does a management application determine the coded character set for the per-job objects and attributes that are returned by the agent whether submitted by the job submitting client or defaulted by the agent when the job submitting client does not supply? Raised by David Perkins.
1194 1195	Closed: Add the JmUTF8StringTC for these objects and add a jobCodedCharSet attribute to indicate the set being used.
1196	
1197 1198 1199	ISSUE 113: The big concern [from the Area directors] is that from the user's perspective, jobs can be submitted via serial, parallel, or network connections, and the Job MIB is only going to know about the network connections. Raised by Chris Wellens.
1200 1201	Closed: Add additional text to clarify that the device may be directly connected over a serial or parallel port or networked to the client and/or server.
1202	
1203 1204 1205 1206 1207 1208 1209	ISSUE 114: If nested jobs are sent to the printer and all have a JobSubmissionID attached, what does the agent do? When a spooler receives a job, it can put a banner page on the job by wrapping it inside of a job. When this occurs, there can be separate JobSubmissionID's for each job. In fact, if the printer doesn't find a JobSubmissionID on the outer job, it will assign one. When the printer gets to the inner job, it will get the true JobSubmissionID that was attached to the client's job. Raised by Bob 'Pentecost on 4/17/97 and re-raised by Harry Lewis on 7/16/97.
1210 1211 1212	Closed: Add a reference to the Appendix B where PJL use of the job submission ID is included and indicate in Appendix B that the later occurrence of a job submission ID is the one that the agent uses. The Appendix B text is:
1213 1214 1215 1216 1217	NOTE - Some PJL implementations wrap a banner page as a PJL job around a job submitted by a client. In this case, there will be two job submission ids. The outer one being the one with the banner page and the inner one being the original user's job. The agent SHALL use the last received job submission ID for the jmJobSubmissionID index, so that the original user's job submission ID will be used, not the banner page job ID.
1218	
1219 1220 1221 1222	ISSUE 115: If the agent changes state to CANCEL as soon as it becomes aware of the cancel command (to satisfy the end user), there may still be a page or two in the pipeline that the accounting application would miss if it noticed the state change and performed it's data collection.
1223	So, we suggest using jobStateReasons in this case.
1224	CANCEL - processingToStopPoint
1225	which progresses to

1226	CANCEL - jobCanceledByUser
1227	The question is, since these jobStateReasons are not "mandatory", how do we
1228	communicate and agree on this recommendation? In other words, how do we achieve
1229	interoperability? Raised by Harry Lewis on 7/8/97.
1229	interoperating: Raised by Harry Lewis on 7/6/97.
1230	Closed: Move the processingToStopPoint reason from the jobStateReasons2 attribute
1231	to the jmJobStateReasons1 object immediately after abortedBySystem reason and
1232	renumber the ones following. Also recommend using processingToStopPoint reason with
1233	the aborted and canceled states. The new text is:
1234	processingToStopPoint 0x4000
1235	The requester has issued an operation to cancel
1236	or interrupt the job or the server/device has
1237	aborted the job but the server/device is still
238	performing some actions on the job until a
1239	specified stop point occurs or job
1240	termination/cleanup is completed.
1241	
1242	This reason is recommended to be used in
1243	conjunction with the canceled or aborted job
1244	state to indicate that the server/device is
1245	still performing some actions on the job after
1246	the job leaves the processing state, so that
1247	some of the jobs resources consumed counters may
1248	still be incrementing while the job is in the
1249 1250	canceled or aborted job states.
1251	
1252	ISSUE 116: Delete the 'other(1)' jmJobState value? I thought we had covered all
1253	possible states with the 7 primary plus the JmJobStateReasons . Why do we need other?
254	Did we not accomplish what was claimed? Raised by Ron Bergman on 7/28/97.
234	Did we not accomplish what was claimed: Raised by Roll Berghlah on 7/26/37.
255	Closed: delete the 'other(1)' jmJobState value.
256	
.230	
1257	ISSUE 117: What is the difference between Toner Economy (tonerEconomyRequested
258	and tonerEconomyUsed) and Toner Density (tonerDensityRequested and
259	tonerDensityUsed)? (see page #51) They appear to be identical from the description (or
260	very very close!) Raised by Ron Bergman on 7/28/97.
261	Closed: Cut and paste error copied the explanation from one to the other. Change the
262	tonerEconomy to say toner economy. Economy is an enum, density is a number from 1 to
263	100. Several printers have both, so we need both.
264	
265	ISSUE 118: Alignment of IPP and JMP. A job monitoring MIB agent providing access
266	to an IPP system should be able to access the same data as is created by IPP. Lengths of
267	text characters should be the same, not different (255 vs 63, respectively). Are there any

1268 other differences that would cause problems. See ietf-ipp.doc .pdf in protomap sub-1269 directory. Raised by Paul Moore on 8/7/97. 1270 Closed: Leave the lengths as they are. Most real IPP implementations will not exceed the 1271 63 length in practice. 1272 1273 ISSUE 119: Is the new 32-bit IPP "job-identifier" now the same as the 32-bit 1274 imJobIndex? Is the maximum range 31-bits: 1 to 2**31-1 in both? Raised by Paul Moore 1275 on 8/7/97. 1276 Closed: Clarify that the jmJobIndex is the same as the IPP "job-identifier", if IPP keeps 1277 the job-identifier. However, push back since the IPP meeting indicates that maybe this 1278 issue isn't settled. Also there was discussion that an agent that is providing access to a 1279 device that supports multiple job submission protocols, including IPP, may have a problem 1280 using the IPP "job-identifiers", unless the device also assigns the identifiers for the other job submission protocols from the same job-identifier number space. 1281 1282 The following text has been incorporated into section 3.6: 1283 It is recommended that agents that are providing access to servers/devices that already 1284 allocate job-identifiers for jobs as integers use the same integer value for the **imJobIndex**. 1285 Then the jobs will have the same job identifier value as the **jmJobIndex** value, so that users viewing jobs by management applications using this MIB and applications using 1286 1287 other protocols will see the same job identifiers for the same jobs. Agents providing 1288 access to systems that contain jobs with a job identifier of 0 SHALL map the job identifier value 0 to a **jmJobIndex** value that is one higher than the highest job identifier value that 1289 1290 any job can have on that system. Then only job 0 will have a different job-identifier value 1291 than the job's **jmJobIndex** value. 1292 NOTE - If a server or device accepts jobs using multiple job submission protocols, it may 1293 be difficult for the agent to meet the recommendation to use the job-identifier values that 1294 the server or device assigns as the **imJobIndex** value, unless the server/device assigns 1295 job-identifiers for each of its job submision protocols from the same job-identifier number 1296 space. 1297 1298 ISSUE 120: The management app should be able to read an object(s) to get the number 1299 of consecutive rows in a "packed" table to put into a single Get, so as to reduce network 1300 traffic and decrease the time to get the data. What about falling off the end of the job and 1301 attribute tables? Raised by Paul Moore on 8/7/97 after having this trouble with the Printer MIB Alert table. 1302 1303 Closed: The attribute table is by definition sparse, since the fourth index is present. For 1304 the other tables, since jobs may be canceled or aborted before completing, there can be holes in the job tables, even though we require that the **jmJobIndex** be assigned 1305 incrementally on job acceptance. So we can't add any objects that can help in addition to 1306 1307 the jmGeneralOldestActiveJobIndex and jmGeneralNewestActiveJobIndex that we

1308	already have that say where to start and end. SNMP v2 solves the problem of reducing
1309	network traffic by using bulk get.
1310	
1311	ISSUE 121: jmJobKOctetesProcessed can be a multiple of jmJobKOctetsRequested,
1312	for implementations that make multiple passes over the data. However, the same
1313	difference is not specified for
1314	jmJobImpressionsComplete/jmJobImpressionsRequested objects and for
1315	pagesCompleted/pagesRequested attributes.
1316	Closed: Make jmJobImpressionsComplete/jmJobImpressionsRequested objects and
1317	for pagesCompleted/pagesRequested attributes consistent with
1318	jmJobKOctetesProcessed/jmJobKOctetsRequested.