1	PWG DRAFT	Roger deBry
2	File: ipp-collection-attr-syntax-v096.doc	IBM Printing Company
3		T. Hastings
4		Xerox Corporation
5		R. Herriot
6		Sun Microsystems
7		August 6, 1998
8		
9	Proposed Internet Printing Proto	col/1.0 Extension
10	'collection' attribute sy	vntax
11	Status of this Memo:	
10		
12	This document is a PWG Working Draft. It proposes an	
13 14	IPP/1.0 Model and Semantics document [ipp-mod]. Th	
14	4.1, 5, and 7. This attribute syntax will be registered wi WG and after IPP/1.0 has been published as RFCs. We	
16	as well. This attribute syntax had originally been name	• •
10	change its name to 'collection' in [ipp-pro], since the me	
18	typically. [ipp-pro] has reserved the tag value code 0x3	
19	extensions, both registered and private, can make use of	
17	extensions, both registered and private, can make use of	tins new attribute syntax.
20	Abstract	
21	This document specifies a new attribute syntax call	ed 'collection' A 'collection'
22	value is itself a set of attributes, <u>called "member" a</u>	
23	together as the value of an attribute. The member a	• •
24	VALUED or MULTI-VALUED (1setOf). An attri	•
25	attribute syntax may be SINGLE-VALUED ('colled	
26	('1setOf collection') as well.	
27	Table of Contents	
28	1 Problem Statement	
29	2 Summary of the attribute syntax alternative	
30	3 Requirements for and properties of the suggested c	
31 32	4 Examples of collection usage4.1 Example a: "printer-resolution" Job Template at	
32 33	4.1.1 "printer-resolution-default" example	
33 34	4.1.1 "printer-resolution-default" example	
35	4.1.2 printer-resolution-supported example and 4.2 Example b: "job-notify" Operation attribute	
36	4.3 Example c: Start page fields supplied by the end	
37	4.4 Example d: Postal mailing address	
38	5 Detailed description 'collection' attribute syntax	
39	6 Encoding	
40	7 Rejected alternatives for a collection mechanism	9
41	8 IANA Considerations	
42	9 Internationalization Considerations	
43	10 Security Considerations	
44	11 References	

45 **1 Problem Statement**

46 There is no good way to add attributes that contain several fields, whether the fields are 47 mandatory or optional. Instead of each new attribute that needs more than one field 48 (struct), requiring a new ad hoc attribute syntax, such as we have done for the 'resolution' 49 attribute syntax for use in the "printer-resolution" attribute, it would be desirable to have 50 a simple, general mechanism for representing multi-field values. (ISO DPA [ISO-10175] 51 also had many ad hoc syntaxes for structure data types using ASN.1) It would also be 52 desirable to allow fields to be omitted, when the attribute specification allows that. This 53 mechanism would be useful for both new attributes that we might register as extensions 54 to be used with the IPP standard, or that implementers might implement as private 55 extensions.

56 2 Summary of the attribute syntax alternative

57 A number of <u>other</u> alternatives were considered. See the last section for a list and the 58 reasons for their rejection.

59 The proposal is to add a new attribute syntax, called 'collection'. Any attribute of type

60 'collection' MUST have a value that is a set of unordered attributes, <u>called "member"</u>

61 <u>attributes. where</u> Each <u>member</u> attribute MAY be single-valued or multi-valued (1setOf

62 <u>collection</u> as specified for the <u>collection</u> attribute <u>that has the 'collection' attribute syntax</u>.

63 Since the <u>each</u> attribute value has a length, like any other attribute value, IPP objects not

64 supporting the attribute can easily skip over the entire attribute value, i.e., skip over the

entire set of attributes that make up the <u>a</u> collection value.

66 **3** Requirements for and properties of the suggested collection mechanism

The collection mechanism for use with IPP needs to have the following semanticproperties:

- 69 1. The collection mechanism provides a way to supply and query a set of attributes as a
- 70 logical unit. Then each 'field' that is present in the collection would be self-
- 71 identifying by its attribute name.
- The attributes in a collection are unordered. Therefore, an IPP object MUST be able
 to accept attributes in a collection in any order. In order to improve processing
 efficiency, one or more member attributes of the collection may be specified as being
 REQUIRED to be first, just as for operation attributes in an IPP request.
- The semantics of a collection attribute specifies which attributes in a collection
 instance are REQUIRED for the IPP object to support and which are OPTIONAL for
 the IPP object to support when the IPP object supports that collection attribute.
- The semantics of a collection attribute specifies which attributes in a collectioninstance are required for the requester to supply and which the requester may omit.

- 81 5. A collection attribute could be single valued, i.e., with one collection value consisting
- of a set of attributes, or could be multi-valued, i.e., with multiple collection values,
 each consisting of a set of attributes.
- 84 6. An attribute in a collection value can be single valued or multi-valued as well
 85 according to the specification of the collection attribute.
- 7. As with all attribute values, if an IPP object does not support a collection attribute, it
 must be easy for the IPP object to ignore each collection attribute value, including
 returning whatever is required in the Ignored Attributes group in the response.
- 89 8. The syntax of each collection value is the same as a group of attributes in a request or
 90 response, so each attribute in a collection value instance has its keyword name, its
 91 attribute syntax code, and its value.
- 92 9. An implementer MAY support additional registered or private attributes in a
 93 collection. In other words, a collection is extensible, just like an attribute group in an
 94 operation or response.
- 10. Since support of all possible combinations of values for all attributes in a collection
 value may not be supported by some implementations, there should be a way for the
 IPP object to indicate which combinations of values are supported. For example,
 300x300, 600x300, and 600x600, but not 300x600 dpi.
- 99 11. Finally, an attribute in a collection value can be itself a collection, so that nesting
 100 could be allowed, if the specification of a collection attribute allowed a collection
 101 attribute to be contained in its collection.
- 102 **4 Examples of collection usage**
- 103 This section describes four collection Job Template examples: "printer-resolution", "job-104 notify", "job-start-page-contents", and "postal-mail-disposition" attributes. The "printer-
- 105 resolution" and "job-notify" attributes only contains single-valued member attributes,
- 106 while the "job-start-page-contents" and "postal-mail-disposition" "printer-resolution-
- 107 supported" and "job-notify" attributes contains multi-valued collection member
- 108 attributes., i.e., contain more than one collection as a value of an attribute.

109 **4.1** Example a: "printer-resolution" Job Template attribute

110 For example, the new "printer-resolution" attribute was defined using a very ad hoc

¹¹¹ 'resolution' attribute syntax. Had we had the collection attribute syntax, we might have

112 chosen to use it here, though we wouldn't have had to either. If we did use the 'collection'

113 attribute syntax for the "resolution", the attribute value would contain the following 114 attributes: "resolution", "cross-feed-resolution", and "resolution-units". We could have

114 attributes: "resolution", "cross-feed-resolution", and "resolution-units". We could have 115 also specified that the "cross-feed-resolution" attribute is OPTIONAL and when omitted,

the cross-feed resolution is the same as the "resolution" attribute, since most resolutions

117 118	are the same in both directions. We could have also specified that the "resolution-units" attribute is OPTIONAL and when omitted, the resolution units are dots per inch.			
119 120 121 122	For the resolution, the "resolution" member attribute may be supplied by the client by itself when the value is the same for feed and cross-feed and the units are dots per inch. This would allow simple clients to provide most of the resolution capability in a simple way.			
123 124 125	ISSUE: Should we also allow the themselves when the client does n alternative form?		11 2	
126 127	The specification for the "printer-row value is made up of the following		attribute is that its collection	
128	Attribute name	syntax	in request	
129 130 131 132	"resolution" "cross-feed-resolution" "resolution-units"	integer integer enum	required optional optional	
133	For a simplified collection attribut	e notation, lets use:		
134	"collection attribute" = { s	et of attributes and val	lues }	
135	where a set of {} is used to group	a single collection valu	le.	
136 137	For example, a client supplying a using the following notation:	resolution of 600 x 300	0 would be indicated in examples	
138	"printer-resolution" = { "re	solution" = '600', "cro	ss-feed-resolution" = '300' }	
139	4.1.1 "printer-resolution-d	efault'' example		
140 141 142	The Printer object could represent single collection value. For examp specify the default as:			
143	"printer-resolution-default"	' = { "resolution" = '30	00' }	
144 145	4.1.2 "printer-resolution-su collections	ipported'' exampl	e and validation of	
146 147 148 149	The Printer object could indicate t three sets of collection values which respectively (300x600, say, is not represented in examples as:	ch represent 300x300,	600x300, and 600x600 dpi,	
150	"printer-resolution-supported"	= {		

151	$\{ "resolution" = '300' \},\$
152	{ "resolution" = '600', "cross-feed-resolution" = '300' },
1 - 0	

153 { "resolution" = 600 } }

154 **4.2** Example b: "job-notify" Operation attribute

155 <u>NOTE: The current proposal for notification does not use the collection mechanism [ipp-</u> 156 not]. This example just shows how we could use the collection attribute syntax, if it is

157 necessary to be able to group events and methods, rather than saying that the mail method

158 ignores most of the events, so that other methods can be specified in the same job

159 subscription. Because the 'collection' attribute syntax is itself multi-valued, the member

160 attributes do not need to be, thus simplifying the syntax However, the same recipient can

161 be in more than one collection value, and the same event group can be in more than one

162 <u>collection value.</u>

163 In order to allow a client to supply different event groups for different

164 <u>recipients/methods</u>meet the IPP notification requirements, the requester must be able to

supply one or more notification profile <u>collection</u> values, where each <u>collection</u> profile

166 value consists of <u>onea set of "job-notify-events" attribute and</u>, one "job notify-method",

167 <u>multiple_one</u> "job-notify-recipients" <u>attribute</u>, one "job-notify-natural-language", one

168 "job-notify-charset", and possibly multiple "job-notify-additional-requested-attributes".

169 Additional registered or private attributes may be included in the future. There might be

a similar multi-valued "printer-notify" Printer object collection attribute that is

171 <u>suppliedset</u> by <u>a new Subscribe operationmeans outside of the IPP/1.0 protocol</u>, but is

172 independent of jobs, so that they would specify notification to operators. Both the "job-

173 notify" and the "printer-notify" collection attributes are MULTI-VALUED <u>but and</u>

174 contain attributes that themselves are <u>only SINGLEMULTI</u>-VALUED.

175 The "job-notify" Operation collection attribute would have collection values with the176 following syntax:

177	Attribute name	syntax	in request
178			
179	"notify-event-group s "	1setOf type2 keyword	OPTIONAL
180	"notify-recipients"	1setOf -uri	REQUIRED

181 A Print-Job request could supply the collection attribute values in order to send

182 immediate 'job-aborted' and 'job-canceled''job-error' events to Smith (himself) and e-mail

183 <u>"job-completed"job-completion</u>" to Jones and White. <u>A notation for this example could be</u>
 184 to use a set of [] to indicate each

185	"job-notify" = {		"notify-event-group <mark>s</mark> " = 'job-errors'
186	• • •		"notify-recipient <mark>s</mark> " =
187			"ipp-tcpip-socket:13.240.120.138/port=6000'},
188	{	{	"notify-event-group <mark>s</mark> " = 'job-completion'
189			"notify-recipients" = 'mailto:Jones', 'mailto:White' }
190	{	{	"notify-event-group" = 'job-completion'
191			"notify-recipient" = 'mailto:White' }
192			

193 **4.3** Example c: Start page fields supplied by the end-user

As a third example of a collection, an attribute could represent the fields that the
submitter wishes to be printed on the job-start page. The name of the attribute might be:
"job-start-page-contents". The collection value might include: "job-name", "user-name",
"job-comment", "account-name", "job-disposition", "job-delivery", etc. where the values
of the attributes in the collection are printed after each attribute name on the job-start-

199 page.

200	Attribute name	syntax	in request
201			
202	"job-name"	name	required
203	"user-name"	name	required
204	"job-comment"	text	optional
205	"account-name"	name	optional
206	"job-disposition"	keyword	optional
207	"job-delivery"	1setOf keyword	optional

208 4.4 Example d: Postal mailing address

As a final example of a collection, an attribute could represent a postal mailing address for the output. The name of the attribute might be "postal-mail-disposition" and it would be multi-valued, i.e., 1setOf collection. The collection attribute might have the following specification and support requirements if the "postal-mail-" postal-mail-disposition" collection attribute is supported at all:

214	Attribute name	syntax	in request	IPP object support
215				
216	"addressee-name"	text	required	REQUIRED
217	"company-name"	text	optional	OPTIONAL
218	"internal-mail-stop"	text	optional	OPTIONAL
219	"apartment-number	text	optional	REQUIRED
220	"street-address"	text	required	REQUIRED
221	"city-or-town	text	required	REQUIRED
222	"state"	text	required	REQUIRED
223	"postal-zone	text	required	REQUIRED
224	"country"	text	optional	OPTIONAL
225	"phone-numbers	1setOf text	optional	OPTIONAL

226 5 Detailed description 'collection' attribute syntax

- Register the following attribute syntax, written in the style of section 4.1 AttributeSyntaxes of the IPP Model specification:
- 4.1.n 'collection'
- A set of unordered attributes <u>called member attributes</u>, where each <u>member attribute</u>
- 231 MAY be single-valued or multi-valued as specified for the collection attribute. <u>The</u>
- 232 length of each collection value MUST be less than 1024 octets. The maximum length of a

233 collection value is specified enclosed in parentheses in the sub-section header of the

234 specification of the attribute.

235	As	in the attribute sets that are passed in operations, an operation group, an IPP object			
236	MU	JST accept the attributes in a collection value in any order. The specification of an			
237	attı	ribute whose attribute syntax is 'collection' MAY specify one or more member			
238		ributes that MUST be first in each collection value, in order to simplify processing, just			
239	as in the Operation attributes. If an attribute that is specified to be first is not in its				
240		uired position, the IPP object MUST reject the operation and return the 'client-error-			
241		1 syntax' error status code. See [ipp-mod] Section 16.3.4.1.			
242	nol	No attribute SHALL occur more than once in acollection. However, collection value.			
243	_	in operation requests, if the same attribute does occur more than once in a collection			
244		<u>ue</u> by error, the IPP object MUST reject the operation and MUST return the 'client-			
244					
243	err	or-bad syntax' error status code. See [ipp-mod] Section 16.3.4.3.			
246 247		e specification of the attribute that uses the 'collection' attribute syntax SHALL ecif <u>ies</u> :			
248 249	1.	as with any attribute, whether the attribute is single-valued (attribute syntax = 'collection') or multi-valued (attribute-syntax = '1setOf collection').			
250	2.	for each member attribute in the collection value, whether the IPP object MUST			
250	2.	implement support the attribute (REQUIRED) or MAY implement support the			
252		attribute (OPTIONAL).			
253 254 255	3.	for each <u>member</u> attribute in the collection value, whether the <u>client MUST supply or</u> <u>MAY omit the member attribute in a request and whether the IPP object MUST</u> <u>supply or MAY omit the member attribute in a response attribute's presence is</u>			
256		REQUIRED or OPTIONAL.			
257 258 259 260 261 262	4.	for each <u>member</u> attribute permitted in the collection value, the completed specification of that <u>member</u> attribute <u>isshall be</u> included or inferred by reference to the specification of that attribute elsewhere, including its keyword name, its attribute syntax, including '1setOf, if it is multi-valued, and the semantics of the values. <u>The</u> specification for a collection may include attributes that have already been defined for use by themselves and/or for use in other collections.			
263 264 265 266 267	5.	for each <u>member</u> attribute defined in the collection, whether that attribute may also be used separately by itself. For example, in the "job-notify" example, could the "job- notify-events" and "job-notify-recipients" attributes occur by themselves in a create operation, say, when the client is only specifying a single collection or must they always occur within a collection value.			
268 269 270	<u>6.</u>	for each member attribute defined in the collection, whether that attribute MAY occur anywhere in the collection value (the default case) or MUST be first or after some other attribute that MUST be first (must be explicitly specified).			
271 272 273	attı	collection may contain another collection, i.e., may include an <u>member</u> attribute whose ribute syntax is, itself, a 'collection', if the specification of the (outer) collection ribute allows.			

- Additional attributes may be registered for use in a collection attribute.
- 275 Implementers MAY support additional private attributes in a collection value.

276 ISSUE: What should the maximum size of a collection value be? If it is much bigger

277 than the current maximum of 1023 octets, it may not be safely ignored by existing

278 parsers. Is 2047 octets sufficiently big, without being a problem to existing parsers?

279 **6 Encoding**

- 280 This section shows the encoding for the alternative of representing a collection as a new
- attribute syntax. The <u>new 'collection' attribute syntax will use the 0x34 tag value that has</u>
- 282 <u>been reserved in the IPP/1.0: Protocol Specification [ipp-pro] for this purpose.</u>
- 283 <u>The following example is written in the style of the IPP/1.0 "Encoding and Transport"</u>
- 284 (nee "Protocol") document [ipp-pro]. In order to show a member attribute with multiple
- values, the member attributes are specified as 1setOf, unlike the "job-notify" example b
 above (see section 4.2).

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field	comments
0x34 0x000a	collection type	value-tag name-length	"job-notify" attribute
Job-notify 0x0064	job-notify	Name value-length	100 octets in 1st dict
0x45	uri type	value-tag	value "notify-recipients" attribute
0x0011 notify-recipients 0x0019	notify-recipients	name-length Name value-length	
ipp-tcpip- socket:port=700	ipp-tcpip- socket:port=700	Value	
0x44	keyword type	value-tag	"notify-event-groups" attribute
0x0013 notify-event- groups	notify-event- groups	name-length Name	
0x0b job-errors	job-errors group	value-length Value	
0x44	keyword type	value-tag	start of 2nd job-notify- event-groups value
0x0000		name-length	0 length means next multiple value
0x000e job-completion	job-completion	value-length Value	*

IPP 'collection' attribute syntax

Octets	Symbolic Value	Protocol field	comments
0x34	collection-type	value-tag	start of 2nd collection value
0x0000		name-length	0 length mean next multiple value
Oxnnnn	Oxnnnn	value-length	nnnn octets in 2nd dict value
0x45	uri type	value-tag	"notify-recipients" attribute
0x0015		name-length	
notify-recipients	notify-recipients	Name	
0x000c		value-length	
mailto:smith	mailto:smith	Value	
			nnnn octets of the next dict value

287 **7** Rejected alternatives for a collection mechanism

This section lists the alternatives we considered for adding a new attribute syntax to represent a collection value.

- Increase the maximum somewhat above the current maximum (1023), say, 2047
 octets.
- 292 <u>Reason for rejection: Not completely compatible with current parsers that have a fixed</u>
 293 <u>buffer size for entities of around 1023 octets, the current IPP data type maximum.</u>
- 294 ISSUE: Is this rejection argument correct, because current parsers really do have a fixed
- 295 <u>buffer size? What about the case when the attribute syntax type is one that the</u>
- 296 <u>implementation doesn't support and are going to ignore?</u> They wouldn't need to return
- 297 the value in the Ignored Attributes group, since we could clarify that a supported attribute
- 298 that has an unsupported attribute syntax, is treated as an unsupported attribute, rather than
- 299 as an unsupported value. Then the IPP object returns the attribute with the 'unsupported'
- 300 <u>out-of-band value, rather than the potentially longer than their buffer collection value.</u> Or
- 301 would it be a problem to current parsers to specify the maximum as 2047 octets for the
- 302 <u>'collection' attribute syntax?</u>
- 303 2. No maximum length for the new attribute syntax: 'collection'. If an IPP object
 304 supports collection it has to read a piece at a time. If it doesn't it has to be able to
 305 ignore an arbitrarily long data value. See the encoding example in the next section.
- Reason for rejection: Not completely compatible with current parsers that have a fixed butter size for entities of around 1023 octets, the current IPP data type maximum.
- 308 ISSUE: Is this rejection argument correct, because current parsers have a fixed buffer
 309 size, even for attribute syntax types that they don't support and are going to ignore? They

310 311 312 313 314	wouldn't need to return the value in the Ignored Attributes group, since we could clarify that a supported attribute that has an unsupported attribute syntax, is treated as an unsupported attribute, rather than as an unsupported value. Then the IPP object returns the attribute with the 'unsupported' out-of-band value, rather than the potentially longer than their buffer collection value.
315 316	3. Have a <u>2047-1023</u> octet max length, continueCollection as a second attribute syntax and endCollection so that dictionaries can nest.
317	Reason for rejection: More complexity.
318 319	4. Have a <u>2047-1023</u> octet max length but allow repeated instances of an attribute to append additional collection values.
320 321	Reason for rejection: Not the current procedure for duplicate attributes; the IPP Object is to return an error. <u>See [ipp-mod] section 16.3.4.3.</u>
322 323	5. Add a new group tag to represent a collection value somehow. Groups do NOT have lengths and existing parsers are supposed to ignore group tags they don't understand.
324	Reason for rejection: Not completely compatible with existing parsers.
325 326	6. Add an out-of-band value that indicates that this attribute was the beginning of a collection and add an attribute that marked the end of the collection value.
327 328	Reason for rejection: Not completely compatible with existing parsers. Existing parser would try to interpret the contents of the collection as regular attributes.
329 330 331 332 333 334	7. Extend the attribute naming mechanism to include a collection name and a collection index for use with multi-valued dictionaries. Use the colon (":") to separate component names. Thus if foo is a set of dictionaries, then "foo:1:x" is the name that accesses field x of the 2^{nd} collection of attribute foo (indexing is 0 based). Leaving off the syntax after either colon, is interpreted as a wild card meaning all values with the prefix up to the colon.
335 336 337	Reason for rejection: Changing the naming <u>is</u> more of a change than is necessary with the current 1setOf 1setOf proposal, which does not change the naming and does not <u>simply</u> add <u>s</u> an attribute syntax.
338 339 340 341 342 343	8. Use the semantics of parallel multi-valued attributes that we have in IPP/1.0, such as we already have for the "printer-uri-supported" and "uri-security-supported" Printer attributes, in order to achieve the effect of multi-valued dictionaries containing single values attributes. In order to represent the effect of a collection which contains attributes that are multi-valued, we only need to introduce the model semantics of: 1setOf 1setOf X as an attribute syntax.
344 345	Reason for rejection: Implementation <u>experience</u> with DPA [ISO-10175] parallel attributes has shown that it is too difficult for clients and servers to deal with parallel

- values. It is much better if the values in a collection value are all bound together. Also
- 347 what if the number of values isn't the same in the parallel attributes?
- 348348 9. Add a numeric instance number to the end of parallel attributes, i.e., "notify-method-349 supported-1".
- 350 Reason for rejection: Parallel attributes have proven as problematic in DPA
- 351 implementations (see previous reason). Also we don't need the capability to be able to
- 352 address a particular instance of a particular collection value.
- 353 10.Calling the new data type a 'dictionary'. Instead, we chose 'collection', since the name
- 354 dictionary implies some sort of sorting or ordering.
 - 8 IANA Considerations

854