# Internet Printing Protocol WG Conference Call Minutes June 13, 2011

Meeting was called to order at approximately 1:00pm (EDT) June 13, 2011.

#### **Attendees**

Nancy Chen (Oki Data)
Justin Hutchings (Microsoft)
Tim McCann (Konica Minolta)
Ira McDonald (High North/Samsung)
Ron Nevo (Samsung)
Glen Petrie (Epson)
Amir Shahindoust (Toshiba)
Michael Sweet (Apple)
Jerry Thrasher (Lexmark)
Bill Wagner (TIC)
Pete Zehler (Xerox)
Rick Yardumian (Canon)

## **Agenda Items**

- 1. IP Policy and Minute Taker
  - a. Policy accepted with Mike taking the minutes
- Approve IPP minutes from PWG face-to-face meeting
  - a. ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/ipp/minutes/ippv2-f2f-minutes-20110525.pdf
  - b. Note speling mistak in Justin Hutchings name Mike to post corrections
  - c. Approved with correction above
- 3. PWG Raster
  - a. ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/ipp/wd/wd-ippraster10-20110327-rev.pdf
  - b. Status: Interim (with very brief Prototype to verify the document covers all of the implementation issues, then Stable for WG last call)
  - c. "IPP Printer" -> "printer" for most places (other than IPP printer description attributes)
  - d. Header: PWG Raster Format, not "PWG Subset of CUPS Raster"
  - e. Section 3: rationale for embedded job ticket?
    - Put it in design requirements?
    - Action: Glen to supply list of page header fields to Mike
    - Address minimal embedded job ticket information
    - Out of scope: numbered list of what is out-of-scope (fields that are not compatible with PWG SM)
  - f. Section 3.2: Use Cases, use new format/cases based on discussion
  - g. Section 3.3: Reword to make legacy PostScript job ticket info OOS
  - h. Section 3.4: Numbered list of requirements
    - Line 266: "Some form of data compression should be used to limit network bandwidth usage."
  - i. Section 4: PWG Raster Format
    - Add all page header header fields
      - Anything that doesn't map to PWG Job Ticket is defaulted to 0 or the empty string
    - 4.3.1: Reference MFD Model?
      - Need to coordinate with Pete to get printer description attributes added to model

- 4.3.2: Reference MFD Model's FeedDirection as well we JPS2's feedorientation
- 4.4: Change 0x and add description of "0xXX.XX.XX" notation with ABNF RFC 5234 reference?
- Add durable link to sample images in informative references

## j. Section 5

- Change to define MFD model properties (extending MFD Model)
- Add appendix with IPP attribute bindings for those properties
- 5.2: Add clarifications for the reason why we have it.
  - Ira: JPS3 might want to have a new document-resolutions-supported for input documents?
  - Ira: Production printing set 1 document-format-details could contain document-resolutions-supported?
  - Mike: May not matter, generally can use printer-resolutions-supported to get a compatible resolution for pre-rendered content/areas
- 5.1: PwgRasterDocumentBackSide
- 5.2: PwgRasterDocumentResolutionSupported
- 5.3: PwgRasterDocumentTypesSupported

### k. Section 6: Conformance

- Add subsections for IPP Printer and IPP Client referencing normative appendix
- Still have generic conformance requirements for raster capabilities
- Will rework for MFD focus and separate IPP conformance requirements
- Add additional requirements as discussed in F2F...
- I. Section 10: Add MFD Model reference
- m. Section 11:
  - Add Ira and other contributors
- 4. Status of IANA IPP Registry updates (Ira/Mike)
  - a. 10 PWG specs through IPP/2.0 SE submitted in 2009
  - b. still pending w/ Michelle Cotton at IANA
  - c. Specs with missing IANA Considerations sections
    - PWG 5101.1 Media Standardized Names
      - need to add photo sizes and possibly other updates (media colors?)
    - PWG 5101.2 RepertoireSupported Element
      - Appendix B defines IPP repertoire-supported attribute and ABNF
- 5. Review common scenarios/use cases (Mike)
  - a. Continue discussion from Cloud Imaging WG meeting on 6 June
  - b. Danger of getting too broad is that we essentially end up with a menu of use cases that a spec wanted to implement defeats the purpose of defining the use cases and then coming up with a solution.
  - Use broad scenario(s) in common use cases document to derive use case classes and instances
    - Individual protocol specs can define narrow scenarios that reference common use cases or their own use cases.
  - d. Broad Scenario: Mobile Device User Prints Document to Printer
    - Not a pre-configured printer, a "compatible printer"
    - Not a mobile device, a "client device"
    - "Client device types", include desktop, server, etc.
    - Source of document: web page, remote document, generated content, etc.
    - Merge type of application, connection of a printer, and mobile communication info networking or communication between client and printer
      - Client/printer are "attached" to network/interface
      - Cient/application connects to printer

- Printing type -> processing intent/instructions
  - Photo printing
  - Plain paper printing
  - Duplex
  - Finishing options (staple, etc.)
- Missing steps: initiate, select printer, select job ticket settings, submit job, monitor job/printer, completion (go through prior minutes to get full list of steps)
- e. Capture use cases/scenarios from MFD Requirements
- Next steps:
  - a. Action: Mike to post update to common use case document before next concall
  - b. Review Larry's posted use cases/scenarios

# **Next Steps / Open Actions**

- Next Cloud Imaging WG conference call June 20, 2011 at 1:00pm (EST) 2 HOURS
- Next IPP WG conference call July 11, 2011 at 1:00pm (EDT) 2 HOURS
- · Action: Glen to supply list of page header fields to Mike
- Action: Mike to post update to common use case document before next concall
- Action: Paul and Ira to solicit DLNA assistance for UPNP discovery (ONGOING)
- Action: Mike and Paul to reach out to label/portable printer vendors to join IPP WG discussions (ONGOING - both to send summary to ipp list and details to SC list)