## 1. Attendees

| Randy Turner | Amalfi Systems |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ira McDonald | High North |
| Jerry Thrasher | Lexmark |
| Dave Whitehead | Lexmark |
| Mike Fenelon | Microsoft |
| Anthony | Microsoft |
| Brian Smithson | Ricoh |
| Ron Nevo | Sharp |
| Joe Murdock | Sharp |
| Bill Wagner | TIC |

## 2. Agenda

Dave Whitehead opened the IDS session and provided the planned agenda topics:

- Assign Scribe
- Statement of IP Policy
- Accept Previous Minutes
ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/ids/minutes/IDS-ftf-minutes-20090709.pdf
- Review Action Items
- TCG HCWG - Role of IDS
- August Meetings
* Microsoft NAP Team
- Confirm agenda
* IDS Agenda
- Adjourn


## 3. Minutes Taker

Ron Nevo

## 4. PWG Operational Policy

It was noted that all attendees should be aware that the meeting is conducted under the PWG Membership and Intellectual Property rules. There were no objections.

## 5. Approve Minutes from July 92009 Conf call

There were no objections to the previous Minutes.

## 6. Review Action Items

NOTE: The latest Action Item spreadsheet is available at: ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/ids/Actionltems/

```
AI 001: Randy Turner will try to find other contacts that would be willing to work with the
    PWG to help deploy NEA health assessment. (Juniper, Symantec, Cisco are
    suggested candidates.) Is someone willing to sit down with the PWG and "have
    discussions"?
    -> No new info to report. Randy believes that we need to make more progress on the NEA
    Binding document before they show
O ONGOING
```

AI 010: Brian Smithson will investigate whether a formal relationship document can be created between TCG and PWG. He will find out their position on liaison agreements.
$\rightarrow$ Brian sent a message to Seigo Kotani, and is awaiting a response.
$\rightarrow$ OPEN
AI 012: Mike Fenelon will coordinate the next opportunity for a discussion with the Microsoft NAP team.
$\rightarrow$ Awaiting determination on whether a teleconference or a face-to-face meeting is preferable.
$\rightarrow$ Currently considering a face-to-face on Aug 17, but participation at the next teleconference is a good idea as well.
$\rightarrow$ CLOSED

AI 017: Joe Murdock will send an e-mail to one of the Microsoft NAP team members asking his opinion on the use of an opaque value for HCD Certification State-and specifically the topic of using vendor-specific plug-ins.
$\rightarrow$ OPEN

AI 018: Brian Smithson and/or Joe Murdock will include the attributes that were added to the latest Attribute specification in the next version of the NAP Binding document.
$\rightarrow$ OPEN

AI 019: Dave Whitehead will collect all questions for the Microsoft NAP team that are submitted to the IDS reflector and will pass them along to Microsoft.
$\rightarrow$ No questions or topic ideas have been received.
$\rightarrow$ OPEN
AI 020: Randy Turner will post a link to the RSA discussion of TPM alternatives.

```
-> OPEN
```


## 7. TCG Hardcopy Working Group - Role of IDS

Brian Smithson reported that the TCG HCWG will have a meeting on Thursday this week 7-23-09

## 8. August Meetings

### 8.1 Microsoft NAP Team discussions

Mike Fenelon confirmed the planned discussion with the NAP team members.
Anthony.. Participated in the call. Anthony is the PM for the NAP system
IDS will have another meeting with the Microsoft NAP team on Monday afternoon starting 1:00pm

No additional questions to Microsoft beside the one listed here.
Dave provided a proposed list of topics for discussing with the NAP team that was subsequently expanded, resulting in the following items:

- Short overview of NAP
- How the IDS group proposes to map attributes to the NAP protocol
- Discuss the alignment of attributes
- Discuss questions to the NAP team
- Discuss remote attestation - how to make sure the remote device does not lie about its statement of health (without a TPM, how reliable can it be?) Is this an important concern of the NAP team?
- Review the questions previously submitted to the NAP team in April. Expand on the answers as possible.
- Demo

Mike and Anthony explained that the NAP Team isn't going to be addressing the development of a System Health Validator (SHV). This is not in their scope, and is defined elsewhere.

We need to make sure that remote respond from the HCD is fully trusted.
Randy raised the issue if there is any opportunity for incorporating standard remediation methods for "configuration" of device attributes that conflict with site security policy....

Randy asked the Microsoft team question about device remediation when the HCD configuration was changed without using a remote firmware or remote control changes, How to correct it? Anthony said that SOH can provide information about how the device is not complying.

Microsoft was asked to demo a device that is a in comply status, changed to non comply and then the system put the device in comply mode again

### 8.2 IDS Agenda

The major discussion topics for the IDS face-to-face meeting (without the NAP Team) were identified:

- Plug in development
- Developing an SHV
- Remediation
- IDS futures and "Phase II" activity


## 9. Next Steps

Next teleconference will be on Aug 6, 1:00pm Eastern Time.
IDS meeting adjourned.

## 10. Summary of New Action Items and Issues

No New Action Items

## 11. Announcements

Dave Whitehead announced that he will no longer works for Lexmark, therefore he will not continue to be the Co-Chairmen of the group.

We all wish him good luck and thanks for all his efforts for the PWG and the IDS groups.

