## IDS Working Group 2009-06-18 Conference Call Minutes

### 1. Attendees

Lee Farrell	Canon
Glen Petrie	Epson
Ira McDonald	High North
Jerry Thrasher	Lexmark
Dave Whitehead	Lexmark
Mike Fenelon	Microsoft
Joe Murdock	Sharp
Ron Nevo	Sharp
Bill Wagner	TIC

## 2. Agenda

Dave Whitehead opened the IDS session and provided the planned agenda topics:

- Assign Scribe
- Statement of IP Policy
- Accept Previous Minutes <u>ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/ids/minutes/IDS-call-minutes-20090604.pdf</u>[.doc]
- Agenda Bashing
- Review Action Items
- Discuss Glen's Comments on Attribute document ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/ids/wd/wd-idsattributes10-20090604-Glen.doc
- Next Steps
- Adjourn

## 3. Minutes Taker

Lee Farrell

## 4. PWG Operational Policy

It was noted that all attendees should be aware that the meeting is conducted under the PWG Membership and Intellectual Property rules. There were no objections.

#### 5. Approve Minutes from June 4 Teleconference

There were no objections to the previous Minutes.

#### 6. <u>Review Action Items</u>

NOTE: The latest Action Item spreadsheet is available at: ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/ids/ActionItems/

## IDS Working Group

#### 2009-06-18 Conference Call Minutes

- AI 001: Randy Turner will try to find other contacts that would be willing to work with the PWG to help deploy NEA health assessment. (Juniper, Symantec, Cisco are suggested candidates.) Is someone willing to sit down with the PWG and "have discussions"?
- $\rightarrow$  No new info to report.

#### $\rightarrow$ **ONGOING**

AI 002: Joe Murdock will add NAP protocol information to document and update the conformance section.

 $\rightarrow$  In progress. Will be done by the June face-to-face meeting.

 $\rightarrow OPEN$ 

AI 010: Brian Smithson will investigate whether a formal relationship document can be created between TCG and PWG. He will find out their position on liaison agreements.

 $\rightarrow OPEN$ 

- AI 012: Mike Fenelon will coordinate the next opportunity for a discussion with the Microsoft NAP team.
- $\rightarrow$  Mike reported that getting a response from the NAP team has been very frustrating.
- $\rightarrow$  It might be possible to set up a face-to-face meeting with the prior to the August

 $\rightarrow$  ONGOING

- AI 015: Jerry Thrasher will create yet another LCRC draft of the Attribute document to include corrections identified at the June 4 teleconference.
- $\rightarrow OPEN$

AI 016: Jerry Thrasher will add the topic of including IDS information in the MFD Semantic Model to the June Plenary meeting.

### $\rightarrow$ **CLOSED**

## 7. Glen's Comments on Attribute document

Dave led a review of each of Glen's comments, and Jerry (as Editor) recorded which modifications were accepted and should be included in the next draft. A few modifications that Jerry had proposed were also discussed. This occupied the remaining of the meeting.

The group considered eliminating any "endian" commentary in the definitions of terms. This is more appropriate to specific bindings.

Glen had several suggestions for changes to move text that he thought was related to conformance from the Attribute definitions to the Conformance section. Jerry suggested that we should change the title of the section from "General Attribute Definitions" to "General Attribute Definitions."

# IDS Working Group

2009-06-18 Conference Call Minutes

## 8. Prototype of a Health Validator

Mike noted that because some of the attributes are only specified as "vendor defined," he is not sure how things will actually be validated without a better understanding of the acceptable values and/or format. He would like to avoid a method that puts a significant burden on the Validator.

The problem and concern was acknowledged by the attendees, but no one had an immediate suggestion on how to address the issue—other than having a vendor plug-in that does the interpretation.

Perhaps a recommended approach on format and content could be developed and documented to address this issue.

Jerry noted that the attribute HCD\_Certification\_State might be dictated by an outside organization—for example Common Criteria certification values will be different for various products, and not up to the vendor to choose.

## 9. Next Steps

Next teleconference will be after the face-to-face meeting.

IDS meeting adjourned.

## 10. Summary of New Action Items and Issues

None.