

# IDS Working Group

2009-04-16 Conference Call Minutes

## 1. Attendees

Randy Turner	Amalfi
Lee Farrell	Canon
Glen Petrie	Epson
Ira McDonald	High North
Lida Wang	Kyocera Mita
Dave Whitehead	Lexmark
Mike Fenelon	Microsoft
Nancy Chen	Oki Data
Brian Smithson	Ricoh
Joe Murdock	Sharp
Ron Nevo	Sharp
Bill Wagner	TIC

Dave Whitehead opened the IDS session and provided the planned agenda topics:

- Identify minute taker
- Meeting conducted under rules of PWG IP Policy
- Review/approve previous Minutes
- Review Action Items
- Discuss NAP with respect to Microsoft NAP Team
- Discuss F2F Agenda
- Next Steps

## 2. Minutes Taker

Lee Farrell

## 3. PWG Operational Policy

It was noted that all attendees should be aware that the meeting is conducted under the PWG Membership and Intellectual Property rules. There were no objections.

## 4. Approve Minutes from March 26 Teleconference

There were no objections to the previous Minutes.

**IDS Working Group**  
2009-04-16 Conference Call Minutes

**5. Review Action Items**

AI 001: Randy Turner will try to find other contacts that would be willing to work with the PWG to help deploy NEA health assessment. (Juniper, Symantec, Cisco are suggested candidates.) Is someone willing to sit down with the PWG and “have discussions”?

→ **ONGOING**

AI 002: Joe Murdock will add NAP protocol information to document and update the conformance section.

→ *Joe plans to have this before the face-to-face meeting.*

→ **OPEN**

AI 003: Joe Murdock will include sequence diagrams as illustrative examples for the NAP binding document.

→ *These are in the process of being updated.*

→ **OPEN**

AI 004: Dave Whitehead will coordinate with Randy Turner to generate a proposal to Microsoft on proceeding with obtaining NAP information on what they envision would be the content of a profile—including remediation. Need to identify the appropriate point of contact within Microsoft.

→ *Mike Fenelon is acting as the liaison between IDS and the MS NAP team.*

→ **OPEN**

AI 005: Dave Whitehead will attempt to resolve the following issue:  
Which of the defined transport(s) are required to be supported in order to guarantee a device can attach to the network? MS defines DHCP, 802.1x, IPSec, and VPN and has extended each to add SOH information. So, in an environment where we are attaching wirelessly via 802.1x and receive our IP address from DHCP, what happens if we only support SOH over DHCP (or 802.1x)? Will we attach or fail?

→ *This issue has been added to the list of questions to be discussed with the MS NAP team.*

→ **CLOSED**

AI 007: Dave Whitehead and Randy Turner will compile a set of questions that are intended for Microsoft—and maintain the answers on an ongoing basis for future reference. This list should include the topic of the four SOH attributes:

- MS-Quarantine-State
- MS-Machine-Inventory
- MS-Packet-Info
- MS-CorrelationId

→ *Randy and Dave have developed a preliminary list of questions, which Randy will distribute to the e-mail list. [Completed after the teleconference.]*

→ *Mike Fenelon is acting as the liaison between IDS and the MS NAP team.*

→ **ONGOING**

**IDS Working Group**  
2009-04-16 Conference Call Minutes

AI 008: Ron and Dave will maintain an Action Item spreadsheet that assigns unique IDs to each Action Item and retains the resolution history.

→ **OPEN**

**6. Discuss NAP with respect to Microsoft NAP Team**

Mike has had a “sit-down” discussion with the NAP team. To this point, devices are not high on their priority list. They are more interested in compliance rather than remediation. Remediation is viewed as a “more thorny issue.” Mike says that the NAP group is willing to accept our questions and discuss any issues we might raise. Mike has given them a copy of the IDS Health Assessment Attributes document and the initial draft of the NAP Binding specification, and will forward them the list of questions generated by Dave and Randy.

**7. Attributes Document Comments from Steve Hanna**

Steve Hanna (Juniper, NEA Chair) has submitted some comments about the Health Assessment Attributes document. Dave and Ira both feel that Steve’s comments are good ones—and his proposals are probably things that could be accepted and added into the Attributes document.

AI 009: Everyone will review Steve Hanna’s comments [<http://www.pwg.org/hypermail/ids/0242.html>] and be prepared for discussion at the next face-to-face meeting.

→ **NEW**

**8. Discuss Face-to-face Agenda: Wed Apr 29**

Ron provided a draft list of agenda topics:

- Review Health Assessment Attributes document
- NAP Binding document
- Review discussion on NEA Binding document
- How to proceed with Microsoft NAP group

Randy suggested that the group might discuss the possibilities of advising remediation guidelines or other items that the IDS would like to address. Also to identify any items that we would recommend the TCG group should cover in their work. It would be good to discuss a more formalized outline of the relationship – and communication mechanism – that is planned between the TCG HCWG and the PWG.

Randy conveyed his concern that the two organizations should be sure to generate specifications that are compatible.

Perhaps a more formal relationship agreement could be established between the two organizations?

# IDS Working Group

2009-04-16 Conference Call Minutes

AI 010: Brian Smithson will investigate whether a formal relationship document can be created between TCG and PWG. He will find out their position on liaison agreements.

→ **NEW**

It was noted that before we can suggest what we want the HCWG to do, the IDS group should explore and define its own goals first.

## **9. Next Teleconference**

The next teleconference will be scheduled after the April 29 face-to-face meeting.

## **10. Summary of New Action Items and Issues**

AI 009: Everyone will review Steve Hanna's comments [<http://www.pwg.org/hypermail/ids/0242.html>] and be prepared for discussion at the next face-to-face meeting.

AI 010: Brian Smithson will investigate whether a formal relationship document can be created between TCG and PWG. He will find out their position on liaison agreements.

IDS meeting adjourned.