IDS Working Group
2008-07-24 Conference Call Minutes

1. Attendees

Lee Farrell (Canon), Ira McDonald (High North), Dave Whitehead (Lexmark), Ron Bergman (Ricoh), Brian Smithson (Ricoh), Joe Murdock (Sharp), Bill Wagner (TIC), Pete Zehler (Xerox)

2. Agenda

1. Identify minutes taker
2. Approve minutes from June 10 teleconference
3. Review action items
4. Discussion of NAP Binding Proposal ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/ids/white/Proposed-Extensions-to-NAP.pdf
5. New business?
6. Next teleconference

3. Identify Minutes Taker

Lee Farrell

4. Accept Previous Minutes

There were no objections to the previous Minutes.

5. Review Action Items

ACTION: Jerry Thrasher will send a note to Cisco to find out if there is an information path we can develop to learn about attributes (e.g., if there is a better source of information.)

→ *A note has been sent, but no reply received yet. Jerry noted that the Cisco documentation has not been made publicly available.* ***OPEN***

ACTION: Ron Nevo will contact the TNC Chair to try to get the TNC attributes information— and make it available to all PWG members.

→ *A note has been sent, but no reply received yet. Some of the attendees already have access to all the documentation, but membership to the TCG is required.* ***OPEN***

ACTION: All participants in the group—especially the NAP team members—will examine the relevant Microsoft documents to obtain a clearer sense of the requirements for NAP implementation on a printer/MFD.

→ ***CLOSED***

ACTION: Ron Bergman will provide some information on NAP binding and extensions.

→ ***CLOSED***

> ACTION: Randy Turner will ask the IETF NEA Working Group (or other groups) for their thoughts on attributes such as Time Source, Minimum Cipher Suite, Bridging, Minimum Encryption Key Length, etc. Are any of these applicable to the industry in general?

→ **OPEN**

6. Discussion of NAP Binding Proposal

Ron led a review of his NAP Binding Proposal document, in which he makes various detailed proposals for the IDS attributes. Most are newly defined for IDS using the PWG private space.

It was suggested that "HCD_Model_Number" should be renamed to "HCD_Model_Name".

It was suggested that "HCD_Vendor_OID" should be renamed to "HCD_Vendor_SMI".

There was a question about how (or if) the Microsoft Software Version is actually held in only one byte. There was some confusion about how this might be used.

Joe mentioned that HCD_Certification_State was deferred to Phase II of IDS.

It was noted that the Component ID Field is limited to 8 bits—and could be problematic in referencing the various Services (rather than devices) used by MFDs. Given the limitation of 8 bits, it was agreed just to list the Printer, Scanner, Copier, and Fax devices as the component types.

The group seemed to feel that this document is a good "first step" for the NAP binding.

Ron said that he will keep the NAP Binding document consistent with any updates to the HD Health Assessment Attributes draft.

7. Microsoft NAP Protocols

Joe Murdock reviewed and explained the slides that he had produced on the NAP protocols.
[ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/ids/white/ Microsoft NAP Protocols.ppt or .pdf]

8. Status of HCD Health Assessment Attributes draft

Because Jerry Thrasher was not on the teleconference (and no update to the document was distributed), there was no discussion on the draft.

9. Next Teleconference

Scheduled for August 7, 1:00pm Eastern time. However, the call might be cancelled to wait for the face-to-face meeting if there is no update to the HCD Health Assessment Attributes draft.