HCD iTC Network SG Minutes * 8 September 2020

Attendees

- Tom Benkart (Acumen Security)
- Gerardo Colunga (HP Inc)
- Anantha Kandiah (Teron Labs)
- Kwangwoo Lee (HP Inc, HCD iTC Chair)
- Ira McDonald (High North, HCD iTC Network SG Leader, IEEE-ISTO PWG)
- Anders Staaf (Combitech AB)
- William Wagner (TIC, IEEE-ISTO PWG)

Preface

This meeting was announced and chaired by Ira McDonald.

These minutes were prepared by Ira McDonald with help from the participants.

Minutes

• (0) Revised Agenda

```
(1) Administrivia
```

- (2) HCD iTC Alignment Sources
- (3) Experts for Secure Channel Protocols
- (4) IPsec
- (5) SSH
- (6) TLS
- (7) Consensus on Alignment Sources
- (8) IPP
- (9) HCD cPP Schedule for Network SG
- (10) Next Meetings
- (1) Administrivia
 - Roll call
 - Agenda discussion
- (2) HCD iTC Alignment Sources
 - ND cPP
 - CCUF Crypto WG
 - FDE cPP
 - others?
- (3) Experts for Secure Channel Protocols
 - Volunteers
 - HTTPS Ira McDonald
 - IPsec Gerardo Colunga

- SSH Anantha Kandiah
- TLS Anantha Kandiah
- (4) IPsec
 - While there is an Initiator and Responder, there is not a fixed Client of Server (Tom Benkart)
 - So no HCD separation of Client and Server SFRs for IPsec
- (5) SSH
 - ND cPPv2.2e does separate Client and Server SFRs for SSH
 - So HCD separation of Client and Server SFRs for SSH
 - CCUF Crypto WG has done work on SSH requirements - contacts are Ashit Vora, Michael Vogel, Terry Diaz

ACTION: 09/08/20 - Kwangwoo Lee to contact CCUF Crypto WG about SSH requirements - OPEN

- (6) TLS
 - TLS/1.3 should be added to both Client and Server SFRs for HCD (Ira McDonald)
 Not necessary to change selection, because currently permitted (Tom Benkart)
 - TLS/1.3 is being requested by many large enterprise clients of HCDs (Ira McDonald) - Agree we need TLS/1.3 in selection in HCD cPP (Gerardo Colonga, Kwangwoo Lee)
 - IETF TLS/1.3 deprecated SHA-1 hash, symmetric encryption modes (e.g., AES_CBC), and TLS versions (e.g., TLS/1.0 and TLS/1.3) should not be allowed in HCD cPP (Ira McDonald)
 Choice should be up to customer and not PP (Tom Benkart)
 - HCD cPP is a security profile and should be authoritative (Ira McDonald)
 - IETF TLS/1.3 spec takes precedence over any Common Criteria Protection Profile text for protocol conformance (Ira McDonald)
 - [Rough consensus agreement with this stance]
 - HCD cPP should not invent new TLS SARs (Tom Benkart), but instead should defer to the experts in the Network Device TLS WG
 - [Rough consensus agreement with this stance]
 - Suggest adding informative notes to PP / SD for IETF TLS Best Practices (Ira McDonald)
 Exact Compliance means evaluation labs *cannot* vary from stated SARs (Tom Benkart)
 - Agree we should add informational references to IETF TLS Best Practices (Kwangwoo Lee)
 - Network Device cPPv2.2e primary source of HCD cPP TLS requirements? (Ira McDonald)
 [Rough consensus agreement with this stance]
 - Network Device TLS WG is addressing TLS/1.3 (Anantha Kandiah)
 - Network Device TLS WG is also addressing DTLS/1.3 (Anantha Kandiah)
- (7) Consensus on Alignment Sources
 - HCD cPP IPsec/SSH/TLS requirements should come (mainly) from ND cPPv2.2e (Ira McDonald)
 [Rough consensus agreement with this stance]
- (8) IPP

- Should HCD cPPv1.0 address requirements for IPP? (Ira McDonald)
 IPP goes to parking lot for a later version of HCD cPP (Kwangwoo Lee)
- (9) HCD cPP Schedule for Network SG
 - Timeline for requirements from Network SG? (Kwangwoo Lee)
 - text sometime in November 2020 for Public Draft
 - exact deadline in November is TBD

ACTION: 09/08/20 - Kwangwoo Lee and Ira McDonald to determine exact timeline for secure channel requirements from Network SG - OPEN

- (10) Next Meetings (All)
 - Keep current bi-weekly timeslot for Network SG
 - Network SG Tuesday 22 September 2020 9-10am US Eastern
 - IPsec SFRs/SARs overview (Jerry Colunga)
 - TLS SFRs/SARs overview (Anantha Kandiah)
 - IPsec or TLS further discussion?
 - Network SG Tuesday 6 October 2020 9-10am US Eastern
 - SSH SFRs/SARs overview (Anantha Kandiah)
 - HTTPS SFRs/SARs overview (Ira McDonald)
 - IPsec or TLS further discussion?