PWG Steering Committee:

2006-09-28 conference call minutes

Attendance:

Lee Farrell, Harry Lewis, Ira McDonald, Bill Wagner

Minute Taker:

Harry Lewis

\_\_\_\_\_

## Agenda

- 1. Approval of previous minutes
- 2. Review of Action Items
- 3. October PWG F2F planning status
- 4. DMTF CDM Forum report

\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. Approval of minutes: Approved

\_\_\_\_\_

2. Review of Action Items.

Working Group chairs continue to be encouraged to build a a 6 month work plan so that the need for a December dial-in/web-based plenary meeting can be guaged and the early agenda for the Feb. 2007 F2F meeting can be planned.

(to be completed by the end of the October F2F)

Rick will include the discussions about the placement of the work in the PWG at the October F2F BOF for the PDM effort. (to be completed at the October F2F, initial feedback from the PDM group is positive)

Harry and/or Lee will continue to follow up with the ISTO to get detailed information for the Feb. meeting.

Harry will inform the ISTO to send out invoices (net 90) by Oct 15 of Nov 1 whichever if feasible.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

## 3. October PWG F2F

Discussion: PWG Chair and Working Group Chairs need to start working on their presentations for the Plenary. We would like initial drafts by the Oct 12~SC meeting (next meeting).

New Action Item: Harry and all working group Chairs please draft your presentations

4. Feb. 2007 PWG meeting:

Discussion: We need to get an early indication of attendance for the Feb meeting for planning purposes.

New Action Item: Harry send out queries to PWG, FSG, P2600 and TCG.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

6. Projector and Display management effort

Discussion: Rick could not attend the SC call. There was some (re)discussion by the SC regarding placement of the work group (separate w/g vs WIMS project). The consensus was that the PWG should offer both choices and the PDM participants will decide (same as last week's consensus).

Resolution:

New Action Item:

\_\_\_\_\_

## 7. CDM Forum

Discussion: Harry and Lee provided perspectives on the DMTF Common Diagnostic Model after attending the meeting last week. Sweet spot for CDM is Server management and component providers for PCs and Servers. Storage will also be addressed in time. The question is whether or not Print/MFD is in scope. It appears as if it may be in scope in the future but is certainly not a hot button at this time. Recent work by the PWG related to our DMTF work register (WIMS CIM) plays nicely into support for

CDM, however. Harry to call a separate meeting of interested parties to discuss further.

New Action Item: Indicate your interest if you wish to be on a separate call to evaluate CDM.

\_\_\_\_\_

Next Call. Calls have shifted to a new repeating cycle. Next call October 12.)

\_\_\_\_\_

Meeting Adjourned: