

54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109

- 1 INTRODUCTION.....3
 - 1.1 NAMESPACE USED.....3
 - 1.2 MODEL.....3
 - 1.3 TYPICAL EXCHANGE.....3
 - 1.4 GATEWAYS.....4
- 2 QD DETECTION.....4
 - 2.1 DEGRADED MODE.....4
- 3 DATA FORMATS.....5
 - 3.1 FORMAT NEGOTIATION.....5
 - 3.2 TIFF-FX.....5
- 4 IDENTITY EXCHANGE.....6
 - 4.1 SENDING USER.....6
 - 4.2 RECEIVING USER.....6
 - 4.3 SENDER.....6
 - 4.4 RECEIVER.....6
- 5 DATA EXCHANGE.....6
 - 5.1 ADDRESSING.....6
 - 5.2 TRANSMISSION.....6
 - 5.3 CONFIRMATION.....7
 - 5.4 COVER PAGES.....7
 - 5.5 IDENTITY STAMPING.....7
 - 5.6 COMBINED DEVICES.....7
- 6 IPP IMPLEMENTATION.....7
 - 6.1 CANCELING JOBS.....8
 - 6.2 QUERYING JOBS.....8
 - 6.3 JOB SUBMISSION.....8
 - 6.4 OTHER OPERATIONS.....9
- 7 SECURITY CONSIDERATIONS.....9
 - 7.1 PRIVACY.....9
 - 7.2 SPOOF-PROOFING.....9
 - 7.3 ACCESS CONTROL.....9
 - 7.4 REDUCED FEATURE SET.....9
- 8 GATEWAYS TO OTHER SYSTEMS.....9
 - 8.1 ON-RAMPS.....10
 - 8.2 OFF-RAMPS.....10
- 9 FORMAL ATTRIBUTE DEFINITION.....10
 - 9.1 QD-SENDING-USER-IDENTITY.....10
 - 9.2 QD-RECEIVING-USER-IDENTITY.....10
 - 9.3 QD-SENDER-IDENTITY.....11
 - 9.4 QD-RECEIVER-IDENTITY.....11
 - 9.5 QD-DESTINATION-SCHEME-SUPPORTED.....11
 - 9.6 QD-DESTINATION-URI.....11
 - 9.7 QD-RECEIVER.....12

110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166

9.8 QD-RETURN-ADDRESS.....12
9.9 QD-TIFF-CAPABILITIES.....12
10 ADDENDA.....13
10.1 REFERENCES.....13
10.2 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....13
10.3 FULL COPYRIGHT STATEMENT.....14

1 Introduction.

Note - It is assumed that the reader is familiar with IPP.
QD is primarily intended as a method of supporting a secure, high quality document distribution protocol over the Internet. It therefore discusses paper, pages, scanning and printing, etc. There is however no requirement that the input documents come from actual paper nor is there a requirement that the output of the process be printed paper. The only conformance requirements are those associated with the exchange of data over the network.

1.1 Namespace used

The extension specified in this document uses the prefix 'QD-' for all new IPP elements created.

1.2 Model

This proposal defines a logical model of a QD interchange. The following terms are introduced: -

'Sender'. This is the agent (software, hardware or some combination) that is used to transmit a document to a receiver.

'Receiver'. This is the agent that receives the document sent by the sender.

'Document'. A document is a set of one or more pages that the sender sends to the receiver.

'Sending user'. The person interacting with the sender.

'Receiving user'. The intended human recipient of the document being sent.

'QD Job'. An IPP job submitted by a sender.

'Combined Device'. This is a software / hardware combination that is both a sender and a receiver. This is expected to be a common configuration. There are specific requirements for this type of device . see 'Combined Devices'.

1.3 Typical exchange

The sending user determines the address of the receiver . see 'Addressing'. This document does not specify how the sending user does this. Possible methods include directory lookup, search engines, business cards, network enumeration protocols such as SLP, etc.

167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222

The sending user loads the document into the sender, indicates the receiver's address and starts the exchange.

The sender determines whether or not the receiver is a QD capable device . see 'QD detection'

The following identities are determined and exchanged: sender, sending user, receiver and receiving user . see 'Identity exchange'

The sender scans the documents and converts them into an acceptable data format . see 'data formats'

This data is transmitted to the receiver . see 'Data Transmission'.

The sending user receives a confirmation that the receiver received the document . see 'Confirmation'

If the sender is unable to initiate or complete the exchange then it is assumed that it will perform some form of retry. The mechanisms used and the user-visible behavior in this case is an implementer's choice beyond the scope of this document.

1.4 Gateways

The QD protocol may be used as a gateway protocol to or from other image transmission systems. See 'Gateways to other systems' later.

2 QD detection

A sender needs to determine whether or not the destination URL it has represents:-

a) A valid IPP destination

b) A QD receiver (not all IPP destinations are QD receivers)

This document does not specify how to perform the first validation.

Refer to the IPP implementer's guide [6].

To perform the second validation a sender SHOULD execute an IPP 'get-printer-attributes' operation to retrieve the 'QD-receiver' attribute.

If the IPP printer supports this attribute then sender can be sure that it is a QD receiver. If not then the sender may choose to abandon the exchange or to enter degraded mode.

2.1 Degraded Mode

QD describes a variation of IPP . it is perfectly possible for a complete QD-like exchange to take place between a QD client and an IPP printer.

From the viewpoint of QD this is a degraded mode of operation. The main features that will be missing are:-

Guaranteed exchange: Since IPP does not mandate any data formats it is possible that the sender may not be able to discover a common data format that both it and the printer support.

Identity exchange: IPP does not provide the definitive identity exchange that QD does. In many cases however this is acceptable

223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281

3 Data formats

In order to usefully exchange documents between arbitrary QD end points there must be some agreement on what formats are used to represent the data. This document therefore defines two things:-

- . A mechanism for negotiating data formats
- . A minimal set of document formats that all senders and receivers need to implement

3.1 Format negotiation

The format negotiation is very simple. The sender SHOULD use the IPP 'get-printer-attributes' operation to read the 'document-format-supported' attribute of the receiver. The sender then either selects the most appropriate format or decides that it cannot interact with the receiver. Note that this document does not specify the sender behavior in the latter case.

Additional format negotiation may take place depending on the gross format selected (see TIFF-FX below). The additional negotiation is performed by the sender reading one or more format specific IPP printer attributes.

Note that a sender MAY use any means it chooses to determine what format to send. It may have a-priori knowledge of the receiver or determine that it can support other data formats using some other mechanism (for example it can read the receiver's manufacturer and model and therefore determine the formats supported). The sender SHOULD NOT send any data format that the receiver does not support. If it does so the receiver will reject it (IPP conformance).

The sender MAY send any supported format to the receiver. It is the sender's choice; the receiver has no way of indicating preferred formats

The sender MUST specify the data format being sent by including the (optional in IPP) job attribute 'document-format'

3.2 TIFF-FX

A receiver MUST support TIFF-FX format [8]. This means that the 'document-format-supported' printer attribute MUST contain "application/tiff". The conformance requirements are those laid out in [8], this specifies what profiles are required and what profiles are optional. For example a B&W receiver MUST support profile S (MH) and may choose to support profile J (JBIG).

To enable the sender to determine what 'flavor' of TIFF-FX to use the receiver MUST support the 'QD-TIFF-capabilities' attribute.

[NOTE: There is some debate about what profiles, resolution, etc., of FX should be mandated. It seems to me that the right thing to do is to mandate conformance with 2301. If 2301 does not specify the right conformance levels then it should be changed (given that it was designed specifically for this application).

282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339

I also think that we do not need to mandate a lot. Given that I have defined a flavor detection mechanism a sender can tell if a receiver support JBIG or not, supported resolutions, etc. The important point is that by mandating FX we all get into the same ball park- s smart client can do the rest]

4 Identity exchange

4.1 Sending user

The sending user identity SHOULD be sent to the receiver. The identity is specified in a new IPP job attribute . 'QD-sending-user-identity'. This is in mime vcard [12] format.

4.2 Receiving User

The identity of the intended receiving user SHOULD be included in a request. The identity is specified in a new IPP job attribute, 'QD-receiving-user-identity'. This is in mime vcard format[12].

4.3 Sender

The sender MUST have an identity in the same way that a fax machine has a sending station ID. The sender's identity MUST be sent to the receiver using a new IPP job attribute, 'QD-sender-identity'. The value of this identity is not specified but SHOULD be a value that can reasonably be expected to uniquely identify the device. A value derived from the MAC address would be a reasonable starting point.

4.4 Receiver

The receiver MUST have an identity that the sender MAY read. The receiver MUST make this available via a new IPP printer attribute, 'QD-receiver-identity'. The same rules apply as for the sender identity.

5 Data Exchange

5.1 Addressing

The receiver's address MUST be an IPP1.1 URL using the 'ipp' scheme. Example: <ipp://www.acme.com/ipp/print5>

5.2 Transmission

Documents MUST be sent using the IPP print-job operation. There is no requirement for the receiver to support any other IPP job submission operations. The sender MAY include any valid job description or job template attributes.

340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394

5.3 Confirmation

The sender knows when the receiver has successfully received the entire document, the sender can then inform the sending user.

The sender SHOULD use the successful end of the print-job operation as an indication that the receiver has received the document.

5.4 Cover Pages

A receiver MAY create a cover page to be placed at the beginning of the received document. This mechanism replaces (and uses the same attributes) the IPP job sheet system.

The format of the cover page is not specified by this document but SHOULD include:-

- . The sending users details . from 'QD-sending-user-identity'
- . The receiving user details . from 'QD-receiving-user-identity'
- . The sender's identity . from 'QD-sender-identity'
- . The receiver's identity . from 'QD-receiver-identity'
- . The local date and time
- . The subject . from job-description
- . The page count . from job-media-sheets if it was supplied

In some cases a client may not want a cover page to be automatically generated (there might already be a cover page included in the document). It MAY therefore set the job-sheets job attribute to 'none' to indicate that it does not want a cover page.

5.5 Identity Stamping

The receiver SHOULD place the receiver identity on the first page of the received document.

5.6 Combined Devices

If a device implements both a sender and a receiver then there are certain things it is required to do.

The 'QD-sender-identity' MUST be the same as the 'QD-receiver-identity'. That means that they match byte for byte.

The sender MUST include the address of its receiver component in every request. It does this with a new IPP job attribute, 'QD-return-address'.

A non-combined device MAY include a 'QD-return-address' in a request.

6 IPP Implementation

The receiver MUST implement a fully conformant IPP1.1 printer object. There is no requirement for the receiver to implement any of the optional features of IPP unless explicitly stated elsewhere in this document.

395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450

INTERNET-DRAFT

QUALDOCS protocol

17 December 1999

QD restricts the use of IPP in certain cases. One aim is to make attaching a receiver to the Internet a safe option . see 'security considerations'

6.1 Canceling jobs

It is inappropriate for a sender to transmit a document, receive confirmation of its arrival and then cancel it. Therefore: -
The sender SHOULD NOT attempt to cancel the print job once it has been sent to the receiver.

The receiver MUST reject cancel job operations targeted at QD jobs. (The receiver can determine that this is a QD job by the presence of the mandatory 'QD-sender-identity' job attribute). The 'cancel-job' operation therefore becomes a privileged operation on all QD-jobs. This is a change to the IPP behavior.

6.2 Querying jobs

The public nature of QD interactions make it inappropriate for a sender to be able to query a receiver for information about jobs that it did not send.

The MUST restrict the job attributes that any sender can request for any QD job in a 'get-jobs' or 'get-job-attributes' operation to: -

- . job-id, job-URI
- . job-k-octets, job-k-octets-completed
- . job-media-sheets, job-media-sheets-completed,
- . time-at-creation, time-at-processing
- . job-state, job-state-reasons
- . number-of-intervening-jobs

This attribute set allows a sender to determine the load on a receiver (and perhaps choose an alternative destination or warn the sending user).

See the discussion in section 8.4 of [4] for a description of how a receiver must behave if it receives a request for an attribute outside this set.

An IPP administrator may read all attributes.

6.3 Job submission

A receiver MUST NOT support any of the optional job submission operations. What this means is that the IPP printer object must not allow 'QD-sender-identity' on any operation other than 'print-job'. A receiver MUST reject an operation (other than 'print-job') that contains a 'QD-sender-identity' job attribute. It does this by returning a '401 not authorized' error

451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509

6.4 Other Operations

All other IPP operations MUST be treated as administrative by the receiver even in the case where IPP would normally permit them. For example the hold-job operation cannot be used by a sender except in the case where the sending user is identified as an IPP administrator.

7 Security considerations

QD presents an interesting challenge of balancing security and openness. Many of the envisaged uses of QD require confidentiality of the data . at the same time the receiver typically has no prior knowledge of the sender or the sending user. This last point will normally rule out all user-based authentication and access control. This is the reason for the restriction placed on querying and canceling QD jobs.

7.1 Privacy

Any exchange between a sender and a receiver MAY be carried using the privacy mechanism specified in IPP1.1 namely TLS [9]. In some cases this will also result in mutual authentication of the sender and receiver (in the case where both sides have certificates).

7.2 Spoof-proofing

It is unlikely that large numbers of QD devices will have certificates that will allow for mutual trusted authentication. This presents the problem of how one can guarantee that the QD identities that are exchanged are valid. The best solution to this would be to use digital signatures . this is beyond the scope of this document.

7.3 Access control

It is expected that the majority of QD receivers will operate in a public mode. However a receiver MAY protect itself using any method specified in [4] (digest authentication [11] for example) to restrict access to any or all of its functionality.

7.4 Reduced feature set

An administrator or device implementer may choose to setup up a device so that it only works as a QD receiver (i.e., offers no 'native' IPP features). In this mode it offers a restricted set of features and may be more safely connected to the Internet. A receiver that is operating in this mode SHOULD do so by rejecting any non-QD request with a '401 not authorized' error code.

8 Gateways to other systems

A common scenario will be where QD acts as an on-ramp or off-ramp to other document transmission systems.

510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567

INTERNET-DRAFT

QUALDOCS protocol

17 December 1999

8.1 On-Ramps

'On-ramp' means that the user with a document to send uses a QD sender to transmit a document to a QD receiver that in turn transmits it to some other destination.

In order that the intermediate gateway should know where to send the document the sender needs to tell the gateway where to send the document. The sender uses the 'QD-destination-URI' job description attribute for this purpose. Note that this is only useful in the on-ramp case.

The on-ramping receiver SHOULD indicate to the sender the addressing schemes it supports for 'QD-destination-URI'. It does this with the 'QD-destination-schemes-supported' attribute.

8.2 Off-ramps

'Off-ramp' means that the user originally sends the document using some other mechanism. The intermediate agent then uses QD to transmit the document to its final destination. QD has no specific support for off-ramps.

9 Formal Attribute Definition

9.1 QD-sending-user-identity

Format: octetString(1023)

Type: job description

Description: This attribute is used by the sender to indicate the sending user's identity to the receiver. It is in vcard format. Note that a valid vcard identity block could exceed 1023 octets in length. The sender implementation must ensure that the actual value is 1023 or less I length.

Conformance: A QD receiver MUST support this attribute. A sender SHOULD send this attribute

Example:

```
BEGIN:VCARD
VERSION:2.1
N:Moore;Paul
FN:Paul Moore
ORG:Peerless Systems Networking
TEL;CELL;VOICE:(206) 251-7008
ADR;WORK;;;10900 NE 8th St;Bellvue;WA;98004;United States of
America
EMAIL;PREF;INTERNET:pmoore@peerless.com
REV:19991207T215341Z
END:VCARD
```

9.2 QD-receiving-user-identity

Format: octetString(1023)

Moore

QUALDOCS working group

[Page 10]

568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621

Type: Job description

Description: This attribute is used by the sender to indicate the identity of the intended human recipient. Refer to the description of QD-sending-user-identity for a discussion of the length of this attribute.

Conformance: A QD receiver MUST support this attribute. A sender SHOULD send this attribute

9.3 QD-sender-identity

Format: name

Type: Job description

Description: This attribute is used by the sender to identify itself. The presence of this job description attribute also marks the job as a QD job.

Conformance: A receiver MUST support this attribute. A sender MUST send this attribute

9.4 QD-receiver-identity

Format: name

Type: Printer description

Description: This attribute uniquely identifies the receiver.

Conformance: A receiver MUST implement this attribute.

9.5 QD-destination-scheme-supported

Format: lsetOf type2 keyword

Type: Printer Description

Description: This attribute is used by the receiver to indicate what formats it supports for the 'QD-destination-URI' attribute. The values in this list are URI scheme names without their trailing ':' . i.e.

'ipp', 'mailto', .

Conformance: A receiver SHOULD implement this attribute if it is acting as an on-ramp.

9.6 QD-destination-URI

Format: URI

Type: Job description

Description: A sender SHOULD include this attribute in a job in the case where it knows that the receiver is not the final destination. The scheme of this URI MUST be one of those specified by 'QD-destination-scheme-supported'.

Conformance: An on-ramping receiver MUST support this attribute.

622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677

INTERNET-DRAFT

QUALDOCS protocol

17 December 1999

9.7 QD-receiver

Format: Boolean

Type: Printer description

Description: A receiver uses this attribute to indicate that it is a QD receiver.

Conformance: A QD receiver must support this value and it must have the value TRUE.

9.8 QD-return-address

Format: URI

Type: Job description

Description: A combined device uses this attribute to indicate the address of its receiver when sending a job.

Conformance: A receiver MUST support this attribute (note that this does not mean it necessarily does anything useful with it). A combined device SHOULD send this attribute.

9.9 QD-TIFF-capabilities

Format: octetString(1023)

Type: Printer description

Description: The receiver uses this attribute to indicate the TIFF-FX feature set it supports. It is encoded as per RFC 2531 [7].

Conformance: A receiver MUST implement this attribute.

Example:

This is taken directly from [7].

```
(& (| (& (color=Binary)
      (image-file-structure=[TIFF-S,TIFF-F,TIFF-J])
      (| (image-coding=[MH,MR,MMR])
        (& (image-coding=JBIG)
          (image-coding-constraint=JBIG-T85)
            (JBIG-stripe-size=128) ) )
      (| (& (dpi=200) (dpi-xyratio=200/100) )
        (& (dpi=200) (dpi-xyratio=1) )
        (& (dpi=204) (dpi-xyratio=204/391) )
        (& (dpi=300) (dpi-xyratio=1) ) ) )
  (& (| (& (color=Grey) (color-levels<=256) )
      (& (color=Full) (color-levels<=65536)
        (color-subsampling=["1:1:1","4:1:1"]) ) )
    (image-file-structure=[TIFF-C,TIFF-L])
    (color-space=CIELAB)
    (| (& (image-coding=JPEG)
      (image-coding-constraint=JPEG-T4E) )
      (& (image-coding=JBIG)
        (image-coding-constraint=JBIG-T43)
```

Moore

QUALDOCS working group

[Page 12]

678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733

INTERNET-DRAFT

QUALDOCS protocol

17 December 1999

```
(JBIG-stripe-size=128)
(image-interleave=stripe) ) )
(dpi=[100,200,300])
(dpi-xratio=1) ) )
(MRC-mode=0)
(paper-size=[A4,B4]) )
```

[Issue: The size of any attribute is limited to 1024 by IPP . the above example is around 600. This could cause some problems with receivers that support a lot of features.

Either we cross our fingers and hope . or use an array]

10 Addenda

10.1 references

- [1] Masinter , "Terminology and Goals for Internet Fax", RFC2542
- [2] Toyoda, Ohno, Murai, Wing "A Simple Mode of Facsimile Using Internet Mail" RFC2305
- [3] Masinter, Wing, "Extended Facsimile Using Internet Mail", RFC2532
- [4] deBry, Hastings, Herriot, Isaacson, Powell, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Model and Semantics", draft-ietf-ipp-model-v11-04.txt
- [5] Herriot, Butler , Moore, Turner, Wenn. "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Encoding and Transport", draft-ietf-ipp-protocol-v11-03.txt
- [6] Hastings, Manros, ,Kugler, Holst, "Internet Printing Protocol/1.1: Implementer's Guide", draft-ietf-ipp-implementers-guide-v11-00.txt
- [7] Klyne, McIntyre. "Content Feature Schema for Internet Fax", RFC2531.
- [8] McIntyre, Zilles, Buckley, Venable, Parsons, Rafferty "File Format for Internet Fax", RFC2301
- [9] Dierks, Allen "The TLS Protocol Version 1.0",RFC 2246
- [10] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Level", RFC2119
- [11] Franks, Hallam-Baker, Hostetler, Leach, Luotonen,, Sink, Stewart, "An Extension to HTTP: Digest Access Authentication", RFC2069
- [12] Dawson, Howes, "vCard MIME Directory Profile", RFC 2426

10.2 Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the following for their contributions:
Nick Webb, Richard Shockey, Tom Hastings, Carl-Uno Manros.

Moore

QUALDOCS working group

[Page 13]

734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793

INTERNET-DRAFT

QUALDOCS protocol

17 December 1999

10.3 Authors Address

Paul Moore
pmoore@peerless.com

10.4 Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (1998). All Rights Reserved.
This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.
The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns. This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

794 14]
795
796
797
798